

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For transition period from ____ to ____

Commission File Number: 001-33216

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

68-0423298
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

1129 N. McDowell Blvd.
Petaluma, California 94954
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(707) 782-0792
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
common stock, \$0.0001 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data file required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of September 30, 2008, the aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$28.0 million, based on the closing price of the common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market for that date.

There were 20,136,722 shares of the registrant's common stock issued and outstanding on June 4, 2009.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Item 10 (as to directors and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance), 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III incorporate by reference information from the registrant's proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the registrant's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>ITEM 1.</u>	3
<u>ITEM 1A.</u>	27
<u>ITEM 2.</u>	39
<u>ITEM 3.</u>	39
<u>ITEM 4.</u>	39
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>ITEM 5.</u>	40
<u>ITEM 6.</u>	41
<u>ITEM 7.</u>	41
<u>ITEM 7A.</u>	49
<u>ITEM 8.</u>	50
<u>ITEM 9.</u>	88
<u>ITEM 9A.</u>	88
<u>ITEM 9B.</u>	88
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>ITEM 10.</u>	89
<u>ITEM 11.</u>	89
<u>ITEM 12.</u>	90
<u>ITEM 13.</u>	90
<u>ITEM 14.</u>	90
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>ITEM 15.</u>	90
<u>Signatures</u>	96
<u>EX-4.16</u>	
<u>EX-10.52</u>	
<u>EX-10.53</u>	
<u>EX-10.54</u>	
<u>EX-23.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	

PART I

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report, the words "expects," "anticipates," "suggests," "believes," "intends," "estimates," "plans," "projects," "continue," "ongoing," "potential," "expect," "predict," "believe," "intend," "may," "will," "should," "could," "would" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These are statements that relate to future periods and include statements about, but not limited to: the progress and timing of our development programs and regulatory approvals for our products; the benefits and effectiveness of our products; the ability of our products to meet existing or future regulatory standards; the progress and timing of clinical trials and physician studies; our expectations related to the use of our cash reserves; our expectations and capabilities relating to the sales and marketing of our current products and our product candidates; our ability to compete with other companies that are developing or selling products that are competitive with our products; the establishment of strategic partnerships for the development or sale of products; the timing of commercializing our products; our relationship with Quimica Pasteur; our ability to penetrate markets through our sales force, distribution network, and strategic business partners and generate attractive margins; the expansion of our sales force and distribution network; the ability to attain specified revenue goals within a specified time frame, if at all, or to reduce costs; the outcome of discussions with the FDA and other regulatory agencies; the content and timing of submissions to, and decisions made by, the FDA and other regulatory agencies, including demonstrating to the satisfaction of the FDA the safety and efficacy of our products; our ability to manufacture sufficient amounts of our product candidates for clinical trials and products for commercialization activities; our ability to protect our intellectual property and operate our business without infringing on the intellectual property of others; our ability to continue to expand our intellectual property portfolio; our expectations about the outcome of litigation and controversies with third parties; our ability to attract and retain qualified directors, officers and employees; our expectations relating to the concentration of our revenue from international sales; our ability to expand to and commercialize products in markets outside the wound care market; and the impact of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and any future changes in accounting regulations or practices in general with respect to public companies.

Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, our ability to develop and commercialize new products; the risk of unanticipated delays in research and development efforts; the risk that we may not obtain reimbursement for our existing test and any future products we may develop; the risks and uncertainties associated with the regulation of our products by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration; our ability to compete against third parties; our ability to obtain capital when needed; our history of operating losses and the risks set forth under "Risks Related to our Business." These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date hereof. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based except as required by law.

ITEM 1. Business

Corporate Information

We incorporated under the laws of the State of California in April 1999 as Micromed Laboratories, Inc. In August 2001, we changed our name to Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. In December 2006, we reincorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. Our principal executive offices are located at 1129 N. McDowell Blvd., Petaluma, California, 94954, and our telephone number is (707) 782-0792. We have two principal subsidiaries: Oculus Technologies of Mexico, S.A. de C.V., organized in Mexico, and Oculus Innovative Sciences Netherlands, B.V., organized in the Netherlands. On January 20, 2009, we dissolved our subsidiary, Oculus Innovative Sciences Japan, K.K., organized under Japanese law. Our fiscal year end is March 31. Our website is www.oculusis.com. We do not intend for information on our website to be incorporated into this 10-K.

Our Business

We develop, manufacture and market, a family of products intended to significantly reduce the need for antibiotics as it prevents and treats infections in chronic and acute wounds while simultaneously enhancing wound

healing through modes of action unrelated to the treatment of infection. Infection is a serious potential complication in both chronic and acute wounds, and controlling infection is a critical step in wound healing. Our platform technology, called Microcyn[®], is a proprietary solution of electrically charged oxychlorine small molecules designed to treat a wide range of organisms that cause disease, or pathogens. These include viruses, fungi, spores and antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria, such as Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, or MRSA, and Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*, or VRE, in wounds. Our device product is cleared for sale in the United States as a 510(k) medical device for wound cleaning, debridement, lubricating, moistening and dressing; is a device under CE Mark in Europe; is approved by the State Food and Drug Administration, or SFDA, in China as a technology that reduces the propagation of microbes in wounds and creates a moist environment for wound healing; and is approved as a drug in India and Mexico. We do not have the necessary regulatory approvals to market Microcyn in the United States as a drug, nor do we have the necessary regulatory clearance or approval to market Microcyn in the U.S. as a medical device for an antimicrobial or wound healing indication.

Clinical testing we conducted in connection with our submissions to the FDA, as well as physician clinical studies, suggest that our Microcyn-based product may help reduce a wide range of pathogens from acute and chronic wounds while curing or improving infection and concurrently enhancing wound healing through modes of action unrelated to the treatment of infection. These physician clinical studies suggest that our Microcyn-based product is safe, easy to use and complementary to many existing treatment methods in wound care. Physician clinical studies and usage in the United States suggest that our 510(k) product may shorten hospital stays, lower aggregate patient care costs and, in certain cases, reduce the need for systemic antibiotics. We are also pursuing the use of our Microcyn platform technology in other markets outside of wound care, including in the respiratory, ophthalmology, dental and dermatology markets.

In 2005, chronic and acute wound care represented an aggregate of \$9.6 billion in global product sales, of which \$3.3 billion was spent for the treatment of skin ulcers, \$1.6 billion to treat burns and \$4.7 billion for the treatment of surgical and trauma wounds, according to Kalorama Information, a life sciences market research firm. In the Kalorama Information, we believe the markets most related to our product involve approximately \$1.3 billion for the treatment of skin ulcers, \$300 million for the treatment of burns and \$700 million for the treatment of surgical and trauma wounds. Common methods of controlling infection, including topical antiseptics and antibiotics, have proven to be only moderately effective in combating infection in the wound bed. However, topical antiseptics tend to inhibit the healing process due to their toxicity and may require specialized preparation or handling. Antibiotics can lead to the emergence of resistant bacteria, such as MRSA and VRE. Systemic antibiotics may be less effective in controlling infection in patients with disorders affecting circulation, such as diabetes, which are commonly associated with chronic wounds. As a result, no single treatment is used across all types of wounds and stages of healing.

We believe Microcyn is the only known stable, anti-infective therapeutic available in the world today that simultaneously cures or improves infection while also promoting wound healing through increased blood flow to the wound bed and reduction of inflammation. Also, we believe Microcyn provides significant advantages over current methods of care in the treatment of a wide range of chronic and acute wounds throughout all stages of treatment. These stages include cleaning, debridement, prevention and treatment of infections and wound healing. We believe that unlike antibiotics, antiseptics, growth regulators and other advanced wound care products, Microcyn is the only stable wound care solution that is as safe as saline, and also cures infection while simultaneously accelerating wound healing. Also, unlike most antibiotics, we believe Microcyn does not target specific strains of bacteria, a practice which has been shown to promote the development of resistant bacteria. In addition, our products are shelf stable, require no special preparation and are easy to use.

Our goal is to become a worldwide leader as the standard of care in the treatment and irrigation of open wounds. We currently have, and intend to seek additional, regulatory clearances and approvals to market our Microcyn-based products worldwide. In July 2004, we began selling Microcyn in Mexico after receiving approval from the Mexican Ministry of Health, or MOH, for the use of Microcyn as an antiseptic, disinfectant and sterilant. Since then, physicians in the United States, Europe, India, Pakistan, China and Mexico have conducted more than 28 physician clinical studies assessing Microcyn's use in the treatment of infections in a variety of wound types, including hard-to-treat wounds such as diabetic ulcers and burns. Most of these studies were not intended to be rigorously designed or controlled clinical trials and, as such, did not have all of the controls required for clinical

trials used to support a new drug application, or NDA, submission to the FDA. A number of these studies did not include blinding, randomization, predefined clinical end points, use of placebo and active control groups or U.S. good clinical practices requirements. We used the data generated from some of these studies to support our application for the CE Mark, or European Union certification, for wound cleaning and reduction of microbial load. We received the CE Mark in November 2004 and additional international approvals in China, Canada, Mexico and India. Microcyn has also received five FDA 510(k) clearances for use as a medical device in wound cleaning, or debridement, lubricating, moistening and dressing, including traumatic wounds and acute and chronic dermal lesions. Most recently, on May 27, 2009, we received a 510(k) clearance from the FDA to market our Microcyn Skin and Wound Gel as both a prescription and over-the-counter formulation. Additionally, on June 4, 2009, we received an expanded 510(k) label clearance from the FDA to market our Microcyn Skin and Wound Cleanser with preservatives as both a prescription and over-the-counter formulation. The new prescription product is indicated for use by health care professionals to manage the debridement of wounds such as stage I-IV pressure ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, post-surgical wounds, first- and second-degree wounds, grafted and donor sites.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we completed a Phase II randomized clinical trial, which was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of Microcyn in mildly infected diabetic foot ulcers with the primary endpoint of clinical cure or improvement in signs and symptoms of infection according to guidelines of Infectious Disease Society of America. We used 15 clinical sites and enrolled 48 evaluable patients in three arms, using Microcyn alone, Microcyn plus an oral antibiotic and saline plus an oral antibiotic. We announced the results of our Phase II trial in March 2008. In the clinically evaluable population of the study, the clinical success rate at visit four (test of cure) for patients treated with Microcyn alone was 93.3% compared to 56.3% for the Levofloxacin plus saline-treated patients. This study was not statistically powered, but the high clinical success rate (93.3%) and the p-value (0.033) would suggest the difference is meaningfully positive for the Microcyn-treated patients. Also, for this set of data, the 95.0% confidence interval for the Microcyn-only arm ranged from 80.7% to 100.0% while the 95.0% confidence interval for the Levofloxacin and saline arm ranged from 31.9% to 80.6%; the confidence intervals do not overlap, thus indicating a favorable clinical success for Microcyn compared to Levofloxacin. At visit three (end of treatment) the clinical success rate for patients treated with Microcyn alone was 77.8% compared to 61.1% for the Levofloxacin plus saline-treated patients.

We conducted a review meeting with the FDA in August 2008 to discuss the results of our Phase II trial and our future clinical program. Following a review of the Phase II data on Microcyn Technology for the treatment of mildly infected diabetic foot ulcers, the FDA agreed:

- We may move forward into the pivotal phase of our U.S. clinical program for Microcyn Technology.
- There were no safety issues relative to moving into this next clinical phase immediately, and carcinogenicity studies will not be required for product approval; and
- Clinical requirements for efficacy and safety for a new drug application, or NDA, will be appropriately accounted for within the agreed upon pivotal trial designs.

Two pivotal clinical trials must be completed for submission to the FDA of an NDA, for the treatment of mildly infected diabetic foot ulcers. Commencement of these trials will be dependent upon the support of a strategic partner. In the event that we successfully complete clinical trials and obtain drug approval from the FDA, we may seek clearance for treatment of other types of wounds. We are currently pursuing strategic partnerships to assess potential applications for Microcyn in several other markets and therapeutic categories, including respiratory, ophthalmology, dermatology, dental and veterinary markets. FDA or other governmental approvals will be required for any potential new products or new indications.

We currently make Microcyn available, both as a prescription and over-the-counter product, under our five 510(k) clearances in the United States, primarily through a partnership with Advocos, a specialty U.S. contract sales organization. In the quarter ending December 31, 2008, we initiated an aggressive commercialization into the podiatry market in the United States. In the second quarter of 2009, we expanded this sales effort to include wound care centers, hospitals, nursing homes, urgent care clinics and home healthcare. Additionally, we are in the process of introducing Microcyn-based consumer healthcare products both in the United States and abroad. Initially, these will include an oral care rinse, nasal care wash and a skin hydrogel.

On January 26, 2009, we announced a strategic revenue-sharing partnership with Vetericyn, Inc. Pursuant to this agreement, we granted Vetericyn, Inc. exclusive rights to market the Microcyn Technology in the North American animal healthcare market. As part of this agreement, we will not incur marketing or sales expenses, but will share in all revenues.

Our partner, Union Springs Pharmaceuticals, a subsidiary of the Drug Enhancement Company of America, or DECA, has marketed MyClyns, an over-the-counter “first responder” pen application, with Microcyn in the United States since January 2008.

We have announced the development of a Microcyn hydrogel which we received a 510(k) approval in the U.S. We will pursue additional approvals in Europe, China, India and Mexico and we will initiate commercialization upon obtaining these approvals.

We currently rely on exclusive agreements with country-specific distributors for the sale of Microcyn-based products in Europe. In Mexico, we sell Microcyn through a network of distributors and through a contract sales force dedicated exclusively to selling Microcyn, including salespeople, nurses and clinical support staff. In India, we sell through Alkem, the fifth largest pharmaceutical company in India. The first full year of Microcyn product distribution in India was in 2008. In China, we signed a distribution agreement with China Bao Tai, which secured marketing approval from the SFDA in March 2008. China Bao Tai is working with Sinopharm, the largest pharmaceutical group in China, to distribute Microcyn-based products to hospitals, doctors and clinics. China Bao Tai and Sinopharm are in process of providing samples broadly to many hospitals and doctors throughout many provinces in China in anticipation of a product launch after price registration has been completed.

Market Opportunity — Key Limitations of Existing Treatments

Commonly used topical antiseptics and antibiotics have limitations and side effects that may constrain their usage. For example:

- antibiotics and antiseptics can kill bacteria and cure infection but do not independently accelerate wound healing;
- many antiseptics, including Betadine, hydrogen peroxide and Dakin’s solution, are toxic, can destroy human cells and tissue, may cause allergic reactions and can impede the wound healing process;
- silver-based products are expensive and require precise dosage and close monitoring by trained medical staff to minimize the potential for tissue toxicity, allergic reactions and bacterial resistance;
- the increase in antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains, such as MRSA and VRE, have compromised the effectiveness of some widely used topical and systemic antibiotics, including Neosporin and Bacitracin;
- oral and systemic antibiotics often are not effective in treating topical infections especially if the patient does not have adequate blood flow to the wound and they can also cause serious side effects; and
- growth regulators, skin substitutes and vacuum-assisted closure accelerate wound healing but do not cure infection.

Our Solution

We believe Microcyn has potential advantages over current methods of care in the treatment of chronic and acute wounds, including the following:

- *Reduces Need for Antibiotics While Curing Infection.* Our Phase II results and several physician based studies suggest that Microcyn may be effective in curing and improving the signs and symptoms of infections while reducing the use of antibiotics.
- *Accelerates Wound Healing.* Based on numerous physician based studies and usage feedback from doctors, we believe that Microcyn may accelerate the wound healing process independently of the benefits of curing the infection.

- *Wound Care Solution.* Our 510(k) product is cleared as a medical device for sale in the United States for wound cleaning, or debridement, lubricating, moistening and dressing. Laboratory testing and physician clinical studies further suggest that our 510(k) Microcyn product is effective against a wide range of bacteria that causes infection in a variety of acute and chronic wounds. In addition, because of its mechanism of action, we believe Microcyn does not target specific strains of bacteria, the practice of which has been shown to promote the development of resistant bacteria. In physician clinical studies, our 510(k) Microcyn product has been used in conjunction with other wound care therapeutic products. Data from these studies suggest that patients generally experienced less pain, improved mobility and physical activity levels and better quality of life.
- *Non-irritating.* Our 510(k) product label states that our 510(k) product, which is based on our Microcyn technology, is non-irritating and non-sensitizing to the skin and eyes. Throughout all our clinical trials and physician clinical studies to date and since our first commercial sale of Microcyn in Mexico in 2004, we have received no reports of serious adverse events related to the use of Microcyn products when used according to label instructions.
- *Ease of Use.* Our 510(k) product label states that our 510(k) product requires no special handling precautions. Our products require no preparation before use or at time of disposal, and caregivers can use our products without significant training. In addition, Microcyn can be stored at room temperature. Unlike other oxychlorine solutions, which are typically stable for not more than 48 hours, our laboratory tests show that Microcyn has a shelf life of up to two years depending on the size and type of packaging. Our products are also designed to be complementary to most advanced technologies to treat serious wounds, such as negative-pressure wound therapy, jet lavage and tissue-engineered skin substitutes.
- *Cost-Effectiveness.* The treatment of many wounds requires extended hospitalization and care, including the use of expensive systemic antibiotics. Infection prolongs the healing time and necessitates increased use of systemic antibiotics. We believe that Microcyn has the potential to cure infection, accelerate healing time and, in certain cases, may help reduce the need for systemic antibiotics, reduce the need for amputation and lead to earlier hospital discharge, thereby lowering overall patient cost.

Microcyn Platform Technology

Mechanism of Action

We believe Microcyn's ability to reduce the use of antibiotics through prevention and treatment of infections while promoting wound healing is based on its uniquely engineered chemistry. As a result of our patented manufacturing process, Microcyn is a proprietary solution of oxychlorine compounds that, among other things, interact with and inactivate surface proteins on cell walls and membranes of microorganisms and viruses. The function of these proteins are varied and play significant roles in cell communication, nutrient and waste transport and other required functions for cell viability. Once Microcyn surrounds single cell microorganisms, it damages these proteins, causing the cell membrane to rupture, leading to cell death, which we believe is caused by increased membrane permeability and induced osmotic pressure imbalance. We continue to study the exact mechanisms by which protein and structural components of the bacterial cell walls and membranes, and the protein shell that surrounds a virus, are affected by Microcyn. This destruction of the cell appears to occur through a fundamentally different process than that which occurs as a result of contact with a bleach-based solution because experiments have demonstrated that Microcyn kills bleach-resistant bacteria. However, we believe the solution remains non-irritating to human tissues because human cells have unique protective mechanisms, are interlocked, and prevent Microcyn from targeting and surrounding single cells topically on the body. Our laboratory tests suggest that our solution does not penetrate and kill multi-cellular organisms and does not damage or affect human DNA.

In laboratory tests, Microcyn has been shown to destroy certain biofilms. A biofilm is a complex cluster of microorganisms or bacteria marked by the formation of a protective shell, allowing the bacteria to collect and proliferate. It is estimated that over 65% of microbial infections in the body involve bacteria growing as a biofilm. Bacteria living in a biofilm typically have significantly different properties from free-floating bacteria of the same species. One result of this film environment is increased resistance to antibiotics and to the body's immune system.

In chronic wounds, biofilms interfere with the normal healing process and halt or slow wound closure. In our laboratory studies, Microcyn was shown to destroy two common biofilms after five minutes of exposure.

In recently published studies, Microcyn has been shown to significantly increase the dilation of capillaries in wounds as indicated by higher levels of oxygen at a wound site after the application of our product and also reduce inflammation by inhibiting certain inflammatory responses from allergy-producing mast cells. It is widely accepted that reducing inflammation surrounding an injury or wound is beneficial to wound healing. Our laboratory research suggests that Microcyn's interference with these cells is selective to only the inflammatory response and does not interfere with other functions of these cells.

Microcyn has demonstrated antimicrobial activity against numerous bacterial, viral and fungal pathogens, including antibiotic-resistant strains, as evidenced by passing results in numerous standardized laboratory microbiology tests conducted on our 510(k) product by a variety of certified independent testing laboratories. Some of the pathogens against which Microcyn has demonstrated antimicrobial activity are listed below:

Pathogen

Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria

Vancomycin Resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* (VRE)

Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)

Other Bacteria

Acinetobacter baumannii

Aspergillus niger

Clostridium difficile

Escherichia coli

Escherichia coli O157:H7

Mycobacterium bovis

Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Salmonella typhi

Viruses

Human Coronavirus

Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 — HIV

Influenza A

Rhinovirus Type 37

Fungi

Candida albicans

Trichophyton mentagrophytes

In addition to the above mentioned independent laboratory microbiology tests, a study was completed and published in the *Journal of Hospital Infection* in 2005, that showed that Microcyn exerts a wide range of antimicrobial activity (Landa-Solis, González-Espinosa D, Guzman B, Snyder M, Reyes-Terán G, Torres K and Gutiérrez AA. Microcyn: a novel super-oxidized water with neutral pH and disinfectant activity. *J Hosp Infect* (UK) 61: 291-299).

Current Regulatory Approvals and Clearances

All of our current products are based on our Microcyn platform technology. We are able to modify the chemistry of Microcyn by changing the oxidation-reduction potential, pH level and concentrations of specific ions or chemicals, which allows us to manufacture a variety of solutions, each specifically designed for maximum efficacy and safety by indication. The indications for our products vary from country to country due to different regulatory requirements and standards from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. The indications below are summaries of the indications approved by the regulatory authority or authorities in the listed jurisdiction. The similarly named products have similar formulations; however, they may not have identical specifications due to varying

[Table of Contents](#)

requirements in different jurisdictions' regulatory agencies. The following is a list of the regulatory approvals and clearances that Microcyn-based products have received for our most significant or potentially significant markets:

<u>Region</u>	<u>Approval or Clearance Type</u>	<u>Year of Approval or Clearance</u>	<u>Summary Indication</u>
United States	510(k)	2005	Moistening and lubricating absorbent wound dressings for traumatic wounds.
	510(k)	2005	Moistening and debriding acute and chronic dermal lesions.
	510(k)	2006	Moistening absorbent wound dressings and cleaning minor cuts.
European Union	CE Mark	2004	Debriding, irrigating and moistening acute and chronic wounds in comprehensive wound treatment by reducing microbial load and creating moist environment.
Mexico	Product Registration	2004	Antiseptic treatment of wounds and infected areas.
	Product Registration	2003	Antiseptic disinfection solution for high level disinfection of medical instruments, and/or equipment and clean-rooms, areas of medical instruments, equipment and clean room areas.
Canada	Class II Medical Device	2004	Moistening, irrigating, cleansing and debriding acute and chronic dermal lesions, diabetic ulcers and post-surgical wounds.
India(1)	Drug License	2006	Cleaning and debriding in wound management.
China	Medical Device	2008	Reduces the propagation of microbes in wounds and creates a moist environment for wound healing.
United States	510(k)	2009	Management of exuding wounds such as leg ulcers, pressure ulcers, diabetic ulcers and for the management of mechanically or surgically debridement of wounds.
United States	510(k)	2009	Debridement of wounds, such as stage I-IV pressure ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, post surgical wounds, first and second burns, grafted and donor sites.

Notes

(1) Drug license held by Indian distributor as required by Indian law.

Clinical Trials

We have completed a proof-of-concept Phase II trial in the U.S., which demonstrated the effectiveness of Microcyn in mildly infected diabetic foot ulcers with the primary endpoint of clinical cure and improvement of infection. We used 15 clinical sites and enrolled 48 evaluable patients in three arms, using Microcyn alone, Microcyn plus an oral antibiotic and saline plus an oral antibiotic. We announced the results of our Phase II trial in March 2008. In the clinically evaluable population of the study, the clinical success rate at visit four (test of cure) for Microcyn-alone-treated patients was 93.3% compared to 56.3% for the levofloxacin plus saline-treated patients. This study was not statistically powered, but the high clinical success rate (93.3%) and the p-value (0.033) suggests the difference is meaningfully positive for the Microcyn-treated patients. Also, for this set of data, the 95.0% confidence interval for the Microcyn only arm ranged from 80.7% to 100% while the 95.0% confidence interval for the levofloxacin and saline arm ranged from 31.9% to 80.6%; the confidence intervals do not overlap, indicating a favorable clinical success for Microcyn compared to levofloxacin. At visit 3 (end of treatment), the clinical success rate for patients treated with Microcyn-alone was 77.8% compared to 61.1% for the levofloxacin plus saline-treated patients.

Physician Clinical Studies

In addition to the Phase II trial mentioned above, several physicians and scientists have conducted more than 28 clinical studies of Microcyn generating data suggesting that the Microcyn technology is non-irritating to healthy tissue, reduces microbial load, accelerates wound healing, reduces pain, shortens treatment time and may have the potential to reduce costs to healthcare providers and patients. We have sponsored many of the physicians performing these studies by supplying Microcyn, unrestricted research grants, paying expenses or providing honoraria. In some cases, the physicians who performed these studies also hold equity in our Company. The studies were performed in the United States, Europe, India, Pakistan, China and Mexico, and used various endpoints, methods and controls (for example, saline, antiseptics and antibiotics). These studies were not intended to be rigorously designed or controlled clinical trials and, as such, did not have all of the controls required for clinical trials used to support an NDA submission to the FDA in that they did not necessarily include blinding, randomization, predefined clinical endpoints, use of placebo and active control groups or U.S. good clinical practice requirements.

In many cases the physicians who led these studies have published articles on their studies and results. The following table lists publications and presentations at peer-reviewed meetings from physicians who have completed studies on the use of Microcyn for wound care and wound irrigation.

<u>Physician</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Number of Patients</u>	<u>Publication</u>
David E. Allie, M.D.(1)	U.S.	40	Allie D. Super-Oxidized Dermacyn in Lower-Extremity Wounds. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, 18 (Suppl), 3-6.
Tom Wolvos, M.D.(2)	U.S.	26	Wolvos TA. Advanced Wound Care with Stable, Super-Oxidized Water. A look at how combination therapy can optimize wound healing. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, 18 (Suppl), 11-13.
Cheryl Bongiovanni, Ph.D.(3)	U.S.	8	Bongiovanni CM. Superoxidized Water Improves Wound Care Outcomes in Diabetic Patients. <i>Diabetic Microvascular Complications Today</i> , 2006, May-Jun: 11-14.
		3	Bongiovanni CM. Nonsurgical Management of Chronic Wounds in Patients with Diabetes. <i>Journal of Vascular Ultrasound</i> , 2006, 30: 215-218,

[Table of Contents](#)

Physician	Country	Number of Patients	Publication
Luca Dalla Paola, M.D.(4)	Italy	218	Dalla Paola L, Brocco E, Senesi, A, Merico M, De Vido D, Assaloni R, DaRos R. Super-Oxidized Solution (SOS) Therapy for Infected Diabetic Foot Ulcers. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, vol. 18: 262-270 Dalla Paola, L. Treating diabetic foot ulcers with super-oxidized water. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, 18 (Suppl), 14-16
Alberto Piagessi, M.D.(5)	Italy	33	Goretti C, Mazzurco S, Ambrosini Nobili L, Macchiarini S, Tedeschi A, Palumbo F, Scatena A, Rizzo L and Piagessi A. Clinical Outcomes of Wide Postsurgical Lesions in the Infected Diabetic Foot Managed With 2 Different Local Treatment Tegimes Compared Using a Quasi-Experimental Study Design: A Preliminary Communication. <i>Int. J. Lower Extremity Wounds</i> , 2007 6: 22-27.
Ariel Miranda, M.D.(5)	Mexico	64	Miranda-Altamirano A. Reducing Bacterial Infectious Complications from Burn Wounds. A look at the use of Oculus Microcyn60 to treat wounds in Mexico. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, 18 (Suppl), 17-19.
Lenka Veverkova, M.D.(3)	Czech Republic	27	Veverkova L, Jedlicka V, Vesely M, Tejkalova R, Zabranska S, Capov I, Votava M. Methicilin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus — problem in health care. <i>J Wound Healing</i> 2005, 2:201-202.
Elia Ricci M.D.(6)	Italy	40	Ricci E, Astolfi S, Cassino R. Clinical results about an antimicrobial solution (Dermacyn Wound Care) in the treatment of infected chronic wounds. 17th Conference. EWMA Meeting 2005. Glasgow, UK. May 2-4, 2007. In preparation for publication.
Alfredo Barrera MD(5)	Mexico	40	Barrera-Zavala A, Guillen-Rojas M, Escobedo-Anzures J, Rendon J, Ayala O & Gutiérrez AA. A pilot study on source control of peritonitis with a neutral pH — super oxidized solution 16th World Congress of the International Association of Surgeons and Gastroenterologists (IASG). Madrid, Spain. 25th-27th May, 2006.
D Peterson MD	U.S.	5	Peterson D, Hermann K, Niezgoda J, Dermacyn Effective in Treatment of Chronic Wounds with Extensive Bioburden While Reducing Local Pain Levels. Symposium on Advanced Wound Care and Wound Healing Society, Tampa, FL, April 28-May 1, 2007.
Steenvoorde, P.M.D, Van Doorn, L.P., M.A., Jacobi, C.E, PhD & Oskam, J., M.D., PhD.(3)	Netherlands	10	An unexpected effect of Dermacyn on infected leg ulcers, <i>J Wound Care</i> 2007, 16: 60-61.

[Table of Contents](#)

Physician	Country	Number of Patients	Publication
Fermin Martinez M.D.	Mexico	45	Martínez-De Jesús FR, Ramos-De la Medina A, Remes-Troche JM, Armstrong DG, Wu SC, Lázaro Martínez JL, Benoit-Montesinos JV. Efficacy and safety of neutral pH superoxidized solution in severe diabetic foot infections. <i>Int Wound J</i> . 2007, 4:353-362.
Hadi SF MD(3)	Pakistan	100	Hadi SF, Khaliq T, Bilal N, Sikandar I, Saaiq M, Zubair M, Aurangzeb S. Treating infected diabetic wounds with superoxidized water as anti-septic agent: a preliminary experience. <i>J Coll Physicians Surg Pak</i> . 2007, 17:740-743.
BT Monaghan DPM(3)	Ireland	10	Monaghan BT & Cundell JH. Dermacyn as the Local Treatment for Infected Diabetic Foot Wounds. A case series. 5th Int. Symp. On the Diabetic Foot. Noordwijkerhout. 2007, The Netherlands. May 9-12, 2007.
Fernando Uribe MD(6)	Mexico	80	Uribe F. Effect of neutral pH Superoxidized solution in the healing of diabetic foot ulcers. 47(th) ICAAC Meeting. Poster L-1144. Chicago, IL. USA. Sept 17-20, 2007.
Ning Fanggang MD(3)	China	20	Fanggang N, Guoan Z. The clinical efficacy of Dermacyn on deep partial thickness burn wounds.
Amar Pal Suri DPM(6)	India	100	Suri AP. The Effectiveness of Stable Neutral Super-oxidized Solution for the Treatment of Infected Diabetic Foot Wounds. Diabetic Foot Global Conference. Hollywood, CA. 13-15 March. 2008.
Alberto Piaggese M.D.(5)	Italy	40	Submitted for publication Jan, 2008.
Robert G. Frykberg, DPM, MPH(6)	U.S.	23	Piaggese A et al. Efficacy and safety of microcyn® technology in wide post-surgical lesions in the infected diabetic foot. Diabetic Foot Global Conference. Hollywood, Ca. 13-15 March. 2008.
Matthew Regulski DPM(5)	U.S.	18	RG. Frykberg, RG, Tallis A, Tierney, E.: Wound Healing in Chronic Lower Extremity Wounds Comparing Super-Oxidized Solution (SOS) vs. Saline. Diabetic Foot Global Conference. Hollywood, Ca. 13-15 March. 2008.
			Regulski M, Floros R, Petranto R, Migliori V, Alster H, Pfeiffer D. Efficacy and Compatibility of Combination Therapy with Super-Oxidized Solution and a Skin Substitute for Lower Extremity Wounds. Symposium on Advanced Wound Care and Wound Healing Society, San Diego, CA, April 24-28, 2008.

[Table of Contents](#)

Physician	Country	Number of Patients	Publication
Adam Landsman DPM PhD,(5) Andres A Gutierrez MD PhD(1) & Oculus Collaborative Group	U.S.	48	Landsman A, Blume P, Palladino M, Jordan D, Vayser DJ, Halperin G, Gutierrez AA and Oculus Collaborative Group. An Open Label, Three Arm Study of the Safety and Clinical Efficacy of Topical Wound Care vs. Oral Levofloxacin vs. Combined Therapy for Mild Diabetic Foot Infections. Diabetic Foot Global Conference. Hollywood, CA. 13-15 March. 2008.
Christopher Gauland, DPM(3)	U.S.	5	Gauland C., Sickle Cell Disease, Symposium on Advanced Wound Care and Wound Healing Society, San Diego, CA, April 24-28, 2008.
Hadi, SF	Pakistan	100	Hadi SF, Khaliq T, Bilal N, Sikandar I, Saaq M, Zubair M, Aurangzeb S. Treating infected diabetic wounds with superoxidized water as anti-septic agent <i>J Coll Physicians Surg Pak.</i> 2007, 17:740-743.
Chittoria RV	India	20	Chittoria RK, Yootla M, Sampatrao LM, Raman SV. The role of super oxidized solution in the management of diabetic foot ulcer: our experience. <i>Nepal Med Coll J.</i> 2007, 9:125-128.
Anand A	India	50	Anand, AR Comparative Efficacy and Tolerability of Oxum against Povidone Iodine Topical Application in the Post-caesarean Section Wound Management <i>Indian Medical Gazette</i> December 2007, 498-505.
Dharap SB	India	30	Dharap SB, Ghag GS, Kulkarni KP, Venkatesh V. Efficacy and safety of Oxum in the treatment of the venous ulcer. <i>J Indian Med Assoc</i> 2008, 106:326-330.
Dhusia H	India	41	Dhusia H, Comparative Efficacy and Tolerability of Microcyn Superoxidized Solution (Oxum) against Povidone Iodine Application in Ororenal Infections. <i>Indian Medical Gazette</i> February 2008, 68-75.
Khairulasri MG	Malaysia	178	Khairulasri MG, Ramzisham ARM, Ooi JSM, Zamrin DM. Dermacyn irrigation in reducing sternotomy wound infection following coronary artery bypass graft surgery 11th Scientific Conference. Kota Bharu, Malaysia 2008.

Notes

- (1) indicates that the physician is a stockholder and was a member of our Medical and Business Advisory Board that we dissolved in April 2007, and was a paid consultant and received research grants, expense payments, honorarium and Microcyn to complete the study.
- (2) indicates that the physician was a paid consultant, received expenses in connection with corporate development and licensing evaluations and is a warrant holder.
- (3) indicates that the physician received Microcyn to complete the study.
- (4) indicates that the physician is a paid consultant, was a member of our Medical and Business Advisory Board, which we dissolved in April 2007, and received expense payments and Microcyn to complete the study.

[Table of Contents](#)

(5) indicates that the physician received payments, expense payments and Microcyn to complete the study.

(6) indicates that the physician received reimbursement of travel expenses and received Microcyn to complete the study.

In addition to the above articles and publications, several additional papers on the basic science of the technology have been published or have been submitted for peer review and publication, including:

Researchers	Country	Publication
Landa-Solis, González-Espinosa D., Guzman B, Snyder M, Reyes-Terán G., Torres K. and Gutiérrez A.A.(1)	Mexico	Microcyn(tm) a novel super-oxidized water with neutral pH and disinfectant activity. <i>J Hosp Infect</i> (UK) 2005, 61: 291-299.
Gutiérrez, A.A.(1)	U.S.	The science behind stable, super-oxidized water. Exploring the various applications of super-oxidized solutions. <i>Wounds</i> , 2006, 18 (Suppl), 7-10.
Dalla Paola L. and Faglia E.(2)	Italy	Treatment of diabetic foot ulcer: an overview. Strategies for clinical approach. <i>Current Diabetes Reviews</i> , 2006, 2, 431-447
González-Espinosa D., Pérez-Romano L., Guzman Soriano B., Arias E., Bongiovanni, C.M. & Gutiérrez A.A.(1),(3)	Mexico, U.S.	Effects of neutral super-oxidized water on human dermal fibroblasts in vitro. <i>International Wound Journal</i> , 2007, 4: 241-250.
Medina-Tamayo J., Balleza-Tapia H., López, X., Cid, M.E., González-Espinosa, D. Gutiérrez A.A., and González-Espinosa C. (1)	Mexico, U.S.	Super-oxidized water inhibits IgE-antigen- induced degranulation and cytokine release in mast cells. <i>International Immunopharmacology</i> 2007. 2007, 7:1013-1024.
Q LeDuc.	UK	Le Duc Q, Breetveld M, Middelkoop E, Scheper RJ, Ulrich MMW, Gibbs S. A cytotoxic analysis of antiseptic medication on skin substitutes and autograft. <i>Br J Dermatology</i> . 2007, 157:33-40.
B McCurdy	U.S.	McCurdy B. Emerging Innovations in Treatment. <i>Podiatry Today</i> 2006, 19: 40-48.
Zahumensky E.	Czech Republic	Infections and diabetic foot syndrome in field practice. <i>Vnitr Lek</i> . 2006;52:411-416.
Rose R., Setlow B., Monroe A., Mallozzi M., Driks A., Setlow P. (5)	U.S.	Comparison of the properties of Bacillus subtilis spores made in liquid or on agar plates. Submitted 2008.
Paul M., Setlow B. and Setlow P.(5)	U.S.	The killing of spores of <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> by Microcyn(tm), a stable superoxidized water. Submitted 2008.
Eileen Thatcher(4) & Andres A Gutierrez(1)	U.S.	Thatcher E & Gutiérrez AA. The Anti-Bacterial Efficacy of a New Super-Oxidized Solution. 47(th) ICAAC Meeting. Chicago, IL. USA. Sept 17-20, 2007.
Michael Taketa-Graham(5), Gutierrez AA(1), Thatcher E.(4)	U.S.	Taketa-Graham M, Gutierrez AA, Thatcher E. The Anti-Viral Efficacy of a New Super-Oxidized Solution.. 47th ICAAC Meeting. Poster L-1144. Chicago, IL. USA. Sept 17-20, 2007.

Table of Contents

<u>Researchers</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Publication</u>
Dardine J, Martinez C, & Thatcher E.(4)	U.S.	Dardine J, Martinez C, & Thatcher E. Activity of a pH Neutral Super-Oxidized Solution Against Bacteria Selected for Sodium Hypochlorite Resistance. 47th ICAAC Meeting. Poster L-1144. Chicago, IL. USA. Sept 17-20, 2007.
Sauer K, Vazquez G., Thatcher E., Northey R. and Gutierrez A.A. (1),(4),(5)	U.S.	Neutral super-oxidized solution is effective in killing <i>P. aeruginosa</i> biofilms. Submitted 2007.

Notes

- (1) Dr. Gutierrez was our Director of Medical Affairs and conducted the study during his employment by our Company.
- (2) Dr. Dalla Paola was a member of our Medical and Business Advisory Board, which we dissolved in April 2007, and received expense payments and Microcyn to complete the study.
- (3) Dr. Bongiovanni received Microcyn to complete the study.
- (4) Dr. Thatcher is a full-time consultant to us, is a stockholder, previously served on our board of directors, and received Microcyn to complete the study.
- (5) Dr. Northey is our Director of Research and Development and conducted the study during his employment by our Company.

Sales and Marketing

Our products are purchased by hospitals, physicians, nurses and other healthcare practitioners who are the primary caregivers to patients being treated for acute or chronic wounds undergoing surgical procedures. We currently make Microcyn available under our five 510(k) clearances in the United States, primarily through a partnership with Advocos, a specialty U.S. contract sales organization. In the quarter ended December 31, 2008, we initiated commercialization into the podiatry market in the United States. In the Spring of 2009, we expanded this sales effort to include wound care centers, hospitals, nursing homes, urgent care clinics and home healthcare. Additionally, we are in the process of introducing Microcyn-based consumer healthcare products both in the United States and abroad. Initially, these will include an oral care rinse, nasal care wash and a skin care hydrogel.

In January 2009, we announced a strategic revenue-sharing partnership with Vetericyn, Inc. whereby we granted Vetericyn, Inc., exclusive rights to market the Microcyn Technology in the North American animal healthcare market. As part of this agreement, we will not incur marketing or sales expenses, but will share in all revenues.

Our partner, Union Springs Pharmaceuticals, a subsidiary of the Drug Enhancement Company of America, or DECA, has marketed MyClyns, an over-the-counter "first responder" pen application, with Microcyn in the United States since January 2008.

In Europe, we currently have distribution agreements with distributors in the Netherlands, Italy, and the Czech Republic for distribution of our Microcyn-based products in those countries.

In Mexico, we market our products through our established distribution network and direct sales organization. We have a dedicated contract sales force, including salespeople, nurses and clinical support staff responsible for selling Microcyn to private and public hospitals and to retail pharmacies.

In India, we entered into an exclusive agreement with Alkem Laboratories, a large pharmaceutical company in India, for the sale of Microcyn-based products in India and Nepal.

In China, we signed a distribution agreement with China Bao Tai, which in March 2008 secured marketing approval from the Chinese State Food and Drug Administration, or SFDA. China Bao Tai and Sinopharm, the

largest pharmaceutical group in China, are providing free samples of Microcyn-based products to hospitals, doctors and clinics in anticipation of a product launch after price registration is obtained.

Throughout the rest of the world, we intend to use strategic partners and distributors, who have a significant sales, marketing and distribution presence in their respective countries. We have established partners and distribution channels for our wound care products in Bangladesh, Pakistan, Singapore, United Arab Emirates and Saudi Arabia.

Manufacturing

We manufacture Microcyn through a proprietary electrolysis process within a multi-chamber system. We are able to control the passage of ions through proprietary membranes, yielding electrolyzed water with only trace amounts of chlorine. This process is fundamentally different from the processes for manufacturing hydrogen peroxide and bleach and, we believe, is the basis for our technology's effectiveness and safety. Our manufacturing process produces very little waste, which is disposed of as water after a simple non-toxic chemical treatment.

We manufacture our products in San Diego using a contract manufacturing organization located in San Diego, California. We also manufacture our products at our facility in Zapopan, Mexico and we have a research and development pilot plant in Petaluma, California. We have developed an automated manufacturing process and conduct quality assurance testing on each production batch in accordance with current U.S. Current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP. Our facilities are required to meet and maintain regulatory standards applicable to the manufacture pharmaceutical and medical device products. Our United States facilities are certified and comply with cGMP medical device Quality Systems Regulation, or QSR, and International Organization for Standardization, or ISO, guidelines. Our Mexico facility has been approved by the MOH and is also ISO certified.

Our machines are subjected to a series of tests, which is part of a validation protocol mandated by cGMP, QSR and ISO requirements. This validation is designed to ensure that the final product is consistently manufactured in accordance with product specifications at all manufacturing sites. Certain materials and components used in manufacturing our machines are proprietary to us.

We believe we have a sufficient number of machines to produce an adequate amount of Microcyn to meet anticipated future requirements for at least the next two years. As we expand into new geographic markets, we may establish additional manufacturing facilities to better serve those new markets.

Intellectual Property

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain proprietary protection for our product technology and know-how, to operate without infringing proprietary rights of others, and to prevent others from infringing our proprietary rights. We seek to protect our proprietary position by, among other methods, filing, when possible, U.S. and foreign patent applications relating to our technology, inventions and improvements that are important to our business. We also rely on trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovation, and in-licensing opportunities to develop and maintain our proprietary position.

As of May 1, 2009, we own two issued U.S. patents, two issued European patents, one issued Japanese patent, 13 pending U.S. patent applications and 69 foreign pending patent applications generally relating to electrolyzed water. These applications include two provisional U.S. patent applications for which the time to file non-provisional U.S. patent applications has not expired and one international PCT application for which the time to file counterpart national phase applications has not yet expired. Our portfolio of issued and pending applications can be divided into two groups. The first group includes two issued U.S. patents, two issued European patents, one issued Japanese patent, two pending U.S. patent applications, and four foreign patent applications that relate to early generation electrolyzed water product, methods of using electrolyzed water, and aspects of the method and apparatus for manufacturing electrolyzed water. The second group includes 13 pending U.S. patent applications (including provisional U.S. patent applications) and 65 foreign patent applications (including international PCT applications) that relate to Microcyn, the method and apparatus for manufacturing Microcyn, and its uses.

Although we work to protect our technology, we cannot assure you that any patent will issue from currently pending patent applications or from future patent applications. We also cannot assure you that the scope of any

patent protection will exclude competitors or provide competitive advantages to us, that any of our patents will be held valid if subsequently challenged, or that others will not claim rights in or ownership of our patents and proprietary rights. Furthermore, we cannot assure you that others have not developed or will develop similar products, duplicate any of our products or design around our patents.

We have also filed for trademark protection for marks used with our Microcyn products in each of the United States, Europe, Canada, certain countries in Central and South America, including Mexico and Brazil, and certain countries in Asia, including Japan, China, the Republic of Korea, India and Australia. In addition to patents and trademarks, we rely on trade secret and other intellectual property laws, nondisclosure agreements and other measures to protect our intellectual property rights. We believe that in order to have a competitive advantage, we must develop and maintain the proprietary aspects of our technologies. We require our employees, consultants and advisors to execute confidentiality agreements in connection with their employment, consulting or advisory relationship with us. We also require our employees, consultants and advisors whom we expect to work on our products to agree to disclose and assign to us all inventions made in the course of our working relationship with them, while using our property or which relate to our business. Despite any measures taken to protect our intellectual property, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy aspects of our products or to wrongfully obtain or use information that we regard as proprietary.

Competition

The wound care market is highly competitive. We compete with a number of large, well-established and well-funded companies that sell a broad range of wound care products, including topical anti-infectives and antibiotics, as well as some advanced wound technologies, such as skin substitutes, growth factors and sophisticated delayed release silver-based dressings. We believe the principal competitive factors in our target market include improved patient outcomes, such as time in the hospital, healing time, adverse events, safety of products, ease of use, stability, pathogen killing and cost effectiveness.

Our products compete with a variety of products used for wound cleaning, debriding and moistening, including sterile saline, and chlorhexadine-based products, and they also compete with a large number of prescription and over-the-counter products for the prevention and treatment of infections, including topical anti-infectives, such as Betadine, silver sulfadiazine, hydrogen peroxide, Dakin's solution and hypochlorous acid, and topical antibiotics, such as Neosporine, Mupirocin and Bacitracin. Currently, no single anti-infective product dominates the chronic or acute wound markets because many of the products have serious limitations or tend to inhibit the wound healing process.

Our products can replace the use of sterile saline for debriding and moistening a dressing as well as for use as a complementary product with many advanced wound care technologies, such as the VAC Therapy System from Kinetic Concepts Inc., skin substitute products from Smith & Nephew, Integra Life Sciences, Life Cell, Organogenesis and Ortec International, and ultrasound from Celleration. We believe that Microcyn can enhance the effectiveness of many of these advanced wound care technologies. Because Microcyn is competitive with some of the large wound care companies' products and complementary to others, we may compete with such companies in some product lines and complement such companies in other product lines.

While many companies are able to produce oxychlorine formulations, their products, unlike ours, typically become unstable after 48 hours. One such company, PuriCore, sells electrolysis machines used to manufacture brine-based oxidized water primarily as a sterilant. Additionally, we believe that Microcyn is the only stable anti-infective therapeutic available in the world today that simultaneously cures or improves infection while also accelerating wound healing through increased blood flow to the wound bed and reduction of inflammation.

Some of our competitors enjoy several competitive advantages, including:

- significantly greater name recognition;
- established relationships with healthcare professionals, patients and third-party payors;
- established distribution networks;
- additional product lines and the ability to offer rebates or bundle products to offer discounts or incentives;

- greater experience in conducting research and development, manufacturing, obtaining regulatory approval for products and marketing; and
- greater financial and human resources for product development, sales and marketing and patient support.

Government Regulation

Government authorities in the United States at the federal, state and local levels and foreign countries extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, labeling, promotion, advertising, distribution, sampling, marketing, and import and export of pharmaceutical products, biologics and medical devices. All of our products in development will require regulatory approval or clearance by government agencies prior to commercialization. In particular, human therapeutic products are subject to rigorous pre-clinical and clinical trials and other approval procedures of the FDA and similar regulatory authorities in foreign countries. Various federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations also govern testing, manufacturing, safety, labeling, storage, distribution and record-keeping related to such products and their marketing. The process of obtaining these approvals and clearances, and the subsequent process of maintaining substantial compliance with appropriate federal, state, local, and foreign statutes and regulations, require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. In addition, statutes, rules, regulations and policies may change and new legislation or regulations may be issued that could delay such approvals.

Before we are permitted to sell Microcyn as a drug in the United States, we must, among other things, successfully complete additional preclinical studies and well-controlled clinical trials, submit a New Drug Application, or NDA, to the FDA and obtain FDA approval. In July 2006, we completed a controlled clinical trial for pre-operative skin preparation. After completion of this trial, the FDA advised us that it is considering adopting new heightened performance requirements for evaluating efficacy of products designed to be used in pre-operative skin preparation such as ours. In discussions with the FDA, the FDA has not provided us with the definitive timing for, or parameters of, any such requirements, and has informally stated that it is uncertain during what time frame it will be able to do so. We plan to continue our discussions with the FDA regarding the possible timing and parameters of any new guidelines for evaluating efficacy for pre-operative skin preparations. Depending on the ultimate position of the FDA regarding performance criteria for pre-operative skin preparations, we may reassess our priorities, clinical timelines and schedules for pursuing a pre-operative skin preparation indication or may decide not to pursue this indication. We also intend to seek FDA approval for the use of Microcyn to treat infections in wounds.

We have sponsored the majority of physicians performing physician clinical studies of Microcyn and in some cases, the physicians who performed these studies also hold equity in our Company. The physician clinical studies were performed in the United States, Mexico, Europe, Pakistan, India and China, and used various endpoints, methods and controls. These studies were not intended to be rigorously designed or controlled clinical trials and, as such, did not have all of the controls required for clinical trials used to support an NDA submission to the FDA in that they did not include blinding, randomization, predefined clinical endpoints, use of placebo and active control groups or U.S. good clinical practice requirements. Consequently, we may not use the results of these physician clinical studies to support an NDA submission for Microcyn to the FDA. In addition, any results obtained from clinical trials designed to support an NDA submission for Microcyn to the FDA may not be as favorable as results from such physician clinical studies and otherwise may not be sufficient to support an NDA submission or FDA approval of any Microcyn NDA.

Medical Device Regulation

Microcyn has received five 510(k) clearances for use as a medical device in wound cleaning, or debridement, lubricating, moistening and dressing, including traumatic wounds and acute and chronic dermal lesions. Any future product candidates or new applications using Microcyn that are classified as medical devices will need clearance by the FDA.

New medical devices, such as Microcyn, are subject to FDA clearance and extensive regulation under the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA. Under the FDCA, medical devices are classified into one of three classes: Class I, Class II or Class III. The classification of a device into one of these three classes generally depends

on the degree of risk associated with the medical device and the extent of control needed to ensure safety and effectiveness.

Class I devices are those for which safety and effectiveness can be assured by adherence to a set of general controls. These general controls include compliance with the applicable portions of the FDA's Quality System Regulation, which sets forth good manufacturing practice requirements; facility registration, device listing and product reporting of adverse medical events; truthful and non-misleading labeling; and promotion of the device only for its cleared or approved intended uses. Class II devices are also subject to these general controls, and any other special controls as deemed necessary by the FDA to ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device. Review and clearance by the FDA for these devices is typically accomplished through the so-called 510(k) pre-market notification procedure. When 510(k) clearance is sought, a sponsor must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to a legally marketed Class II device (for example, a device previously cleared through the 510(k) premarket notification process). If the FDA agrees that the proposed device is substantially equivalent to the predicate device, then 510(k) clearance to market will be granted. After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could require pre-market approval, or PMA.

Clinical trials are almost always required to support a PMA application and are sometimes required for a 510(k) pre-market notification. These trials generally require submission of an application for an investigational device exemption, or IDE. An IDE must be supported by pre-clinical data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, which show that the device is safe to test in humans and that the study protocols are scientifically sound. The IDE must be approved in advance by the FDA for a specified number of patients, unless the product is deemed a non-significant risk device and is eligible for more abbreviated investigational device exemption requirements.

Both before and after a medical device is commercially distributed, manufacturers and marketers of the device have ongoing responsibilities under FDA regulations. The FDA reviews design and manufacturing practices, labeling and record keeping, and manufacturers' required reports of adverse experiences and other information to identify potential problems with marketed medical devices. Device manufacturers are subject to periodic and unannounced inspection by the FDA for compliance with the Quality System Regulation, which sets forth the current good manufacturing practice requirements that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the design, manufacture, packaging, servicing, labeling, storage, installation and distribution of all finished medical devices intended for human use.

FDA regulations prohibit the advertising and promotion of a medical device for any use outside the scope of a 510(k) clearance or PMA approval or for unsupported safety or effectiveness claims. Although the FDA does not regulate physicians' practice of medicine, the FDA does regulate manufacturer communications with respect to off-label use.

If the FDA finds that a manufacturer has failed to comply with FDA laws and regulations or that a medical device is ineffective or poses an unreasonable health risk, it can institute or seek a wide variety of enforcement actions and remedies, ranging from a public warning letter to more severe actions such as:

- fines, injunctions and civil penalties;
- recall or seizure of products;
- operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production;
- refusing requests for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products;
- withdrawing 510(k) clearance or PMA approvals already granted; and
- criminal prosecution.

The FDA also has the authority to require repair, replacement or refund of the cost of any medical device.

The FDA also administers certain controls over the export of medical devices from the United States, as international sales of medical devices that have not received FDA clearance are subject to FDA export requirements.

Additionally, each foreign country subjects such medical devices to its own regulatory requirements. In the European Union, a single regulatory approval process has been created, and approval is represented by the CE Mark.

Pharmaceutical Product Regulation

We have two pharmaceutical product candidates that are regulated by the FDA and will require approval before we can market or sell them as drugs. Any future product candidates or new applications using Microcyn that are classified as drugs will need approval by the FDA.

In the United States, the FDA regulates drugs under the FDCA and implementing regulations that are adopted under the FDCA. In the case of biologics, the FDA regulates such products under the Public Health Service Act. If we fail to comply with the applicable requirements under these laws and regulations at any time during the product development process, approval process, or after approval, we may become subject to administrative or judicial sanctions. These sanctions could include the FDA's refusal to approve pending applications, withdrawals of approvals, clinical holds, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of our operations, injunctions, fines, civil penalties or criminal prosecution. Any agency enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us. The FDA also administers certain controls over the export of drugs and biologics from the United States.

- *Pre-Clinical Phase.* The pre-clinical phase involves the discovery, characterization, product formulation and animal testing necessary to prepare an Investigational New Drug application, or IND, for submission to the FDA. The IND must be accepted by the FDA before the drug can be tested in humans.
- *Clinical Phase.* The clinical phase of development follows a successful IND submission and involves the activities necessary to demonstrate the safety, tolerability, efficacy, and dosage of the substance in humans, as well as the ability to produce the substance in accordance with cGMP requirements. Data from these activities are compiled in a New Drug Application, or NDA, or for biologic products a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for submission to the FDA requesting approval to market the drug.
- *Post-Approval Phase.* The post-approval phase follows FDA approval of the NDA or BLA, and involves the production and continued analytical and clinical monitoring of the product. The post-approval phase may also involve the development and regulatory approval of product modifications and line extensions, including improved dosage forms, of the approved product, as well as for generic versions of the approved drug, as the product approaches expiration of patent or other exclusivity protection.

Each of these three phases is discussed further below.

Pre-Clinical Phase. The development of a new pharmaceutical agent begins with the discovery or synthesis of a new molecule. These agents are screened for pharmacological activity using various animal and tissue models, with the goal of selecting a lead agent for further development. Additional studies are conducted to confirm pharmacological activity, to generate safety data, and to evaluate prototype dosage forms for appropriate release and activity characteristics. Once the pharmaceutically active molecule is fully characterized, an initial purity profile of the agent is established. During this and subsequent stages of development, the agent is analyzed to confirm the integrity and quality of material produced. In addition, development and optimization of the initial dosage forms to be used in clinical trials are completed, together with analytical models to determine product stability and degradation. A bulk supply of the active ingredient to support the necessary dosing in initial clinical trials must be secured. Upon successful completion of pre-clinical safety and efficacy studies in animals, an IND submission is prepared and provided to the FDA for review prior to commencement of human clinical trials. The IND consists of the initial chemistry, analytical, formulation and animal testing data generated during the pre-clinical phase. The review period for an IND submission is 30 days, after which, if no comments are made by the FDA, the product candidate can be studied in Phase I clinical trials.

Clinical Phase. Following successful submission of an IND, the sponsor is permitted to conduct clinical trials involving the administration of the investigational product candidate to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with Good Clinical Practice, or GCP. Clinical trials are conducted under protocols detailing, among other things, the objectives of the study and the parameters to be used in assessing the

safety and the efficacy of the drug. Each protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND prior to beginning the trial. Each trial must be reviewed, approved and conducted under the auspices of an independent Institutional Review Board, and each trial, with limited exceptions, must include the patient's informed consent. Typically, clinical evaluation involves the following time-consuming and costly three-phase sequential process:

- *Phase I.* Phase I human clinical trials are conducted on a limited number of healthy individuals to determine the drug's safety and tolerability and include biological analyses to determine the availability and metabolization of the active ingredient following administration. The total number of subjects and patients included in Phase I clinical trials varies, but is generally in the range of 20 to 80 people.
- *Phase II.* Phase II clinical trials involve administering the drug to individuals who suffer from the target disease or condition to determine the drug's potential efficacy and ideal dose. These clinical trials are typically well controlled, closely monitored, and conducted in a relatively small number of patients, usually involving no more than several hundred subjects. These trials require scale up for manufacture of increasingly larger batches of bulk chemical. These batches require validation analysis to confirm the consistent composition of the product.
- *Phase III.* Phase III clinical trials are performed after preliminary evidence suggesting effectiveness of a drug has been obtained and safety (toxicity), tolerability, and an ideal dosing regimen have been established. Phase III clinical trials are intended to gather additional information about the effectiveness and safety that is needed to evaluate the overall benefit-risk relationship of the drug and to complete the information needed to provide adequate instructions for the use of the drug. Phase III trials usually include from several hundred to several thousand subjects.

Throughout the clinical phase, samples of the product made in different batches are tested for stability to establish shelf life constraints. In addition, large-scale production protocols and written standard operating procedures for each aspect of commercial manufacture and testing must be developed. Phase I, II, and III testing may not be completed successfully within any specified time period, if at all. The FDA closely monitors the progress of each of the three phases of clinical trials that are conducted under an IND and may, at its discretion, reevaluate, alter, suspend, or terminate the testing based upon the data accumulated to that point and the FDA's assessment of the risk/benefit ratio to the patient. Clinical investigators, or IRBs, and companies may be subject to pre-approval, routine, or "for cause" inspections by the FDA for compliance with GCPs, and FDA regulations governing clinical investigations. The FDA may suspend or terminate clinical trials, or a clinical investigator's participation in a clinical trial, at any time for various reasons, including a finding that the subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. The FDA can also request additional clinical trials be conducted as a condition to product approval. Additionally, new government requirements may be established that could delay or prevent regulatory approval of our products under development. Furthermore, institutional review boards, which are independent entities constituted to protect human subjects in the institutions in which clinical trials are being conducted, have the authority to suspend clinical trials in their respective institutions at any time for a variety of reasons, including safety issues.

Post-Approval Phase. After approval, we are still subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including, but not limited to, record keeping requirements, submitting periodic reports to the FDA, reporting of any adverse experiences with the product, and complying with drug sampling and distribution requirements. In addition, we are required to maintain and provide updated safety and efficacy information to the FDA. We are also required to comply with requirements concerning advertising and promotional labeling. In that regard, our advertising and promotional materials must be truthful and not misleading. We are also prohibited from promoting any non-FDA approved or "off-label" indications of products. Failure to comply with those requirements could result in significant enforcement action by the FDA, including warning letters, orders to pull the promotional materials, and substantial fines. Also, quality control and manufacturing procedures must continue to conform to cGMP after approval.

Drug and biologics manufacturers and their subcontractors are required to register their facilities and products manufactured annually with the FDA and certain state agencies and are subject to periodic routine and unannounced inspections by the FDA to assess compliance with cGMP regulations. Facilities may also be subject to inspections by other federal, foreign, state, or local agencies. In addition, approved biological drug products may be subject to

lot-by-lot release testing by the FDA before these products can be commercially distributed. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP and other aspects of regulatory compliance. Future FDA inspections may identify compliance issues at our facilities or at the facilities that may disrupt production or distribution, or require substantial resources to correct.

In addition, following FDA approval of a product, discovery of problems with a product or the failure to comply with requirements may result in restrictions on a product, manufacturer, or holder of an approved marketing application, including withdrawal or recall of the product from the market or other voluntary or FDA-initiated action that could delay further marketing. Newly discovered or developed safety or effectiveness data may require changes to a product's approved labeling, including the addition of new warnings and contraindications. Also, the FDA may require post-market testing and surveillance to monitor the product's safety or efficacy, including additional clinical studies, known as Phase IV trials, to evaluate long-term effects.

Regulation of Disinfectants

In the United States, the EPA regulates disinfectants as antimicrobial pesticides under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act, or FIFRA, and the implementing regulations that the EPA has adopted under FIFRA. Before marketing a disinfectant in the United States, we must satisfy the EPA's pesticide registration requirements. That registration process requires us to demonstrate the disinfectant's efficacy and to determine the potential human and ecological risks associated with use of the disinfectant. The testing and registration process could be lengthy and could be expensive. We may not be able to satisfy all of the pesticide registration requirements for a particular proposed new disinfectant product. Once we satisfy the FIFRA registration requirements for an individual disinfectant, additional FIFRA regulations will apply to our various business activities, including marketing, related to that EPA-registered product.

Failure to comply with FIFRA's requirements could expose us to various enforcement actions. FIFRA empowers the EPA to seek administrative or judicial sanctions against those who violate FIFRA. Among the potential FIFRA penalties are civil administrative penalties, stop sale orders, cancellation of our registration, seizures, injunctions and criminal sanctions. If EPA were to initiate a FIFRA enforcement action against us, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

Other Regulation in the United States

Health Care Coverage and Reimbursement by Third-Party Payors

Commercial success in marketing and selling our products depends, in part, on the availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party health care payors, such as government and private health insurers and managed care organizations. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the pricing of medical products and services. Government and private sector initiatives to limit the growth of health care costs, including price regulation, competitive pricing, and managed-care arrangements, are continuing in many countries where we do business, including the United States. These changes are causing the marketplace to be more cost-conscious and focused on the delivery of more cost-effective medical products. Government programs, including Medicare and Medicaid, private health care insurance companies, and managed-care plans control costs by limiting coverage and the amount of reimbursement for particular procedures or treatments. This has created an increasing level of price sensitivity among customers for our products. Some third-party payors also require that a favorable coverage determination be made for new or innovative medical devices or therapies before they will provide reimbursement of those medical devices or therapies. Even though a new medical product may have been cleared or approved for commercial distribution, we may find limited demand for the product until adequate coverage and reimbursement have been obtained from governmental and other third-party payors.

Fraud and Abuse Laws

In the United States, we are subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, which, among other things, prohibit the offer or acceptance of remuneration intended to induce or in exchange for the purchase of products or services reimbursed under a federal healthcare program and the submission of false or

fraudulent claims with the government. These laws include the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the False Claim Act and comparable state laws. These laws regulate the activities of entities involved in the healthcare industry, such as us, by limiting the kinds of financial arrangements such entities may have with healthcare providers who use or recommend the use of medical products (including for example, sales and marketing programs, advisory boards and research and educational grants). In addition, in order to ensure that healthcare entities comply with healthcare laws, the Office of Inspector General, or OIG, of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services recommends that healthcare entities institute effective compliance programs. To assist in the development of effective compliance programs, the OIG has issued model Compliance Program Guidance, or CPG, materials for a variety of healthcare entities which, among other things, identify practices to avoid that may implicate the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and other relevant laws and describes elements of an effective compliance program. While compliance with the CPG materials is voluntary, a recent California law requires pharmaceutical and devices manufacturers to initiate compliance programs that incorporate the CPG and the July 2002 Pharmaceuticals Research and Manufacturers of America Code on Interactions with Healthcare Professionals.

Due to the scope and breadth of the provisions of some of these laws, it is possible that some of our practices might be challenged by the government under one or more of these laws in the future. Violations of these laws, which are discussed more fully below, can lead to civil and criminal penalties, damages, imprisonment, fines, exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal health care programs, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. Any such violations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Anti-Kickback Laws. Our operations are subject to federal and state anti-kickback laws. The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, receiving, offering or providing remuneration directly or indirectly to induce either the referral of an individual for a good or service reimbursed under a federal healthcare program, or the furnishing, recommending, or arranging of a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program, such as Medicare or Medicaid. The definition of "remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value, including such items as gifts, discounts, the furnishing of supplies or equipment, waiver of co-payments, and providing anything at less than its fair market value. Because the Anti-Kickback Statute makes illegal a wide variety of common (even beneficial) business arrangements, the OIG was tasked with issuing regulations, commonly known as "safe harbors," that describe arrangements where the risk of illegal remuneration is minimal. As long as all of the requirements of a particular safe harbor are strictly met, the entity engaging in that activity will not be prosecuted under the federal Anti-Kickback Statute. The failure of a transaction or arrangement to fit precisely within one or more safe harbors does not necessarily mean that it is illegal or that prosecution will be pursued. However, business arrangements that do not fully satisfy an applicable safe harbor may result in increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities, such as the OIG. Our agreements to pay compensation to our advisory board members and physicians who conduct clinical trials or provide other services for us may be subject to challenge to the extent they do not fall within relevant safe harbors under state and federal anti-kickback laws. In addition, many states have adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute which apply to the referral of patients for healthcare services reimbursed by Medicaid, and some have adopted such laws with respect to private insurance. Violations of the Anti-Kickback Statute are subject to significant fines and penalties and may lead to a company being excluded from participating in federal health care programs.

False Claims Laws. The federal False Claims Act prohibits knowingly filing a false claim, knowingly causing the filing of a false claim, or knowingly using false statements to obtain payment from the federal government. Under the False Claims Act, such suits are known as "qui tam" actions, and those who bring such suits. Individuals may file suit on behalf of the government share in any amounts received by the government pursuant to a settlement. In addition, certain states have enacted laws modeled after the federal False Claims Act under the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, the federal government created financial incentives for states to enact false claims laws consistent with the federal False Claims Act. As more states enact such laws, we expect the number of qui tam lawsuits to increase. Qui tam actions have increased significantly in recent years, causing greater numbers of healthcare companies to have to defend a false claims action, pay fines or be excluded from Medicare, Medicaid or other federal or state government healthcare programs as a result of investigations arising out of such actions.

HIPAA. Two federal crimes were created under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA: healthcare fraud and false statements relating to healthcare matters. The healthcare fraud statute prohibits knowingly and willfully executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private payors. The false statements statute prohibits knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services.

Health Information Privacy and Security

Individually identifiable health information is subject to an array of federal and state regulation. Federal rules promulgated pursuant to HIPAA regulate the use and disclosure of health information by “covered entities.” Covered entities include individual and institutional health care providers from which we may receive individually identifiable health information. These regulations govern, among other things, the use and disclosure of health information for research purposes, and require the covered entity to obtain the written authorization of the individual before using or disclosing health information for research. Failure of the covered entity to obtain such authorization could subject the covered entity to civil and criminal penalties. We may experience delays and complex negotiations as we deal with each entity’s differing interpretation of the regulations and what is required for compliance. Also, where our customers or contractors are covered entities, including hospitals, universities, physicians or clinics, we may be required by the HIPAA regulations to enter into “business associate” agreements that subject us to certain privacy and security requirements. In addition, many states have laws that apply to the use and disclosure of health information, and these laws could also affect the manner in which we conduct our research and other aspects of our business. Such state laws are not preempted by the federal privacy law where they afford greater privacy protection to the individual. While activities to assure compliance with health information privacy laws are a routine business practice, we are unable to predict the extent to which our resources may be diverted in the event of an investigation or enforcement action with respect to such laws.

Foreign Regulation

Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain approval of a product by the applicable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA approval. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement also vary greatly from country to country. Although governed by the applicable country, clinical trials conducted outside of the United States typically are administered under a three-phase sequential process similar to that discussed above for pharmaceutical products.

European Union Regulation

Medical Device Regulation. Our Microcyn products are classified as medical devices in the European Union. In order to sell our medical device products within the European Union, we are required to comply with the requirements of the Medical Devices Directive, or MDD, and its national implementations, including affixing CE Marks on our products. In order to comply with the MDD, we must meet certain requirements relating to the safety and performance of our products and, prior to marketing our products, we must successfully undergo verification of our product’s regulatory compliance, or conformity assessment.

Medical devices are divided into three regulatory classes: Class I, Class IIb and Class III. The nature of the conformity assessment procedures depends on the regulatory class of the product. We executed the conformity assessment for production quality assurance for Class IIb products for Dermacyn Wound Care. Compliance with production quality assurance is audited every year by a private entity certified by government regulators. In order to comply with the examination, we completed, among other things, a risk analysis and presented clinical data, which demonstrated that our products met the performance specifications claimed by us, provided sufficient evidence of adequate assessment of unwanted side effects and demonstrated that the benefits to the patient outweigh the risks associated with the device. We will be subject to continued supervision and will be required to report any serious adverse incidents to the appropriate authorities. We will also be required to comply with additional national requirements that are beyond the scope of the MDD.

We received our CE certificate for Dermacyn Wound Care as a Class IIb medical device in February 2005. We may not be able to maintain the requirements established for CE Marks for any or all of our products or be able to produce these products in a timely and profitable manner while complying with the requirements of the MDD and other regulatory requirements.

Marketing Authorizations for Drugs. In order to obtain marketing approval of any of our drug products in Europe, we must submit for review an application similar to a U.S. NDA to the relevant authority. In contrast to the United States, where the FDA is the only authority that administers and approves NDAs, in Europe there are multiple authorities that administer and approve these applications. Marketing authorizations in Europe expire after five years but may be renewed.

We believe that our Microcyn-based drugs will be reviewed by the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or CHMP, on behalf of the European Medicines Agency, or EMEA. Based upon the review of the CHMP, the EMEA provides an opinion to the European Commission on the safety, quality and efficacy of the drug. The decision to grant or refuse an authorization is made by the European Commission.

Approval of applications can take several months to several years, or may be denied. This approval process can be affected by many of the same factors relating to safety, quality and efficacy as in the approval process for NDAs in the United States. As in the United States, European drug regulatory authorities can require us to perform additional non-clinical studies and clinical trials. The need for such studies or trials, if imposed, may delay marketing approval and involve unanticipated costs. Inspection of clinical investigation sites by a competent authority may also be required as part of the regulatory approval procedure. In addition, as a condition of marketing approval, regulatory agencies in Europe may require post-marketing surveillance to monitor for adverse effects, or other additional studies as deemed appropriate. The terms of any approval, including labeling content, may be more restrictive than expected and could affect the marketability of a product. In addition, after approval for the initial indication, further clinical studies are usually necessary to gain approval for any additional indications.

European GMP. In the European Union, the manufacture of pharmaceutical products and clinical trial supplies is subject to good manufacturing practice, or GMP, as set forth in the relevant laws and guidelines. Compliance with GMP is generally assessed by the competent regulatory authorities. They may conduct inspections of relevant facilities, and review manufacturing procedures, operating systems and personnel qualifications. In addition to obtaining approval for each product, in many cases each drug manufacturing facility must be approved. Further inspections may occur over the life of the product.

Mexico. The MOH is the authority in charge of sanitary controls in Mexico. Sanitary controls are a group of practices related to the orientation, education, testing, verification and application of security measures and sanctions exercised by the MOH. The MOH acts by virtue of the Federal Commission for the Protection against Sanitary Risks, or COFEPRIS, a decentralized entity of the MOH whose mission is to protect the population against sanitary risks, by means of centralized sanitary regulations, controls and by raising public awareness.

The MOH is responsible for the issuance of Official Mexican Standards and specifications for drugs subject to the provisions of the General Health Law, which govern the process and specifications of drugs, including the obtaining, preparation, manufacturing, maintenance, mixture, conditioning, packaging, handling, transport, distribution, storage and supply of products to the public at large. In addition, a medical device is defined as a device that may contain antiseptics or germicides used in surgical practice or in the treatment of continuity solutions, skin injuries or its attachments.

Regulations applicable to medical devices and drugs are divided into two sections: the business that manufactures the medical device or drug and the product itself.

Manufacturing a Medical Device or Drug. Under the General Health Law, a business that manufactures drugs is either required to obtain a Sanitary Authorization or to file an Operating Notice. Our Mexico subsidiary, Oculus Technologies of Mexico, S.A. de C.V. is considered a business that manufactures medical devices and therefore is not subject to a Sanitary Authorization, but rather only an Operating Notice.

[Table of Contents](#)

In addition to its Operating Notice, our Mexico subsidiary has obtained a “Good Processing Practices Certificate” issued by COFEPRIS, which demonstrates that the manufacturing of Microcyn at the facility located in Zapopan, Mexico, operates in accordance with the applicable official standards.

Commercialization of Drugs and Medical Devices. Drugs and medical devices should be commercialized in appropriate packaging containing labels printed in accordance with specific official standards. For medical devices, there are no specific standards or regulations related to the labeling of the product, but rather only a general standard related to the labeling for all types of products to be commercialized in Mexico. Advertising of medical devices is regulated in the General Health Law and in the specific regulations of the General Health Law related to advertising. Generally, the advertising of medical devices is subject to a permit only in the case that such advertising is directed to the general public.

Medical Devices and Drugs as a Product. To produce, sell or distribute medical devices, a Sanitary Registry is required in accordance with the General Health Law and the Regulation for Drugs. Such registry is granted for a term of five years, and this term may be extended. The Sanitary Registry may be revoked if the interested party does not request the extension in the term or the product or the manufacturer or the raw material is changed without the permission of the MOH.

The MOH classifies the medical devices in three classes:

- *Class I.* Devices for which safety and effectiveness have been duly proved and are generally not used inside the body;
- *Class II.* Devices that may vary with respect to the material used for its fabrication or in its concentration and generally used in the inside of the body for a period no greater than 30 days; and
- *Class III.* New devices or recently approved devices in the medical practice or those used inside the body and which shall remain inside the body for a period greater than 30 days.

Violation of these regulations may result in the revocation of the registrations or approvals, and, in addition, economic fines. In some cases, such violations may constitute criminal actions.

In addition, regulatory approval of prices is required in most countries other than the United States, which could result in lengthy negotiations delaying our ability to commercialize our products. We face the risk that the prices which result from the regulatory approval process would be insufficient to generate an acceptable return.

Research and Development

Research and development expense consists primarily of personnel expenses, clinical and regulatory services and supplies. For the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, research and development expense amounted to \$6,252,000 and \$9,778,000, respectively. None of this expense was borne by our customers.

Our Employees

As of May 21, 2009, we had 44 full-time employees and 4 part-time employees. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreements. We believe our relations with our employees are good.

Available Information

Our website is located at www.oculusis.com. We make available free of charge on our website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such materials to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 1A: Risk Factors

Factors that May Affect Results

Risks Related to Our Business

We have a history of losses, we expect to continue to incur losses and we may never achieve profitability.

We incurred a net loss of \$17,656,000 and \$20,339,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At March 31, 2009, our accumulated deficit amounted to \$108,482,000. During the year ended March 31, 2009, net cash used in operating activities amounted to \$16,832,000. At March 31, 2009, our working capital amounted to \$1,263,000. We need to raise additional capital from external sources in order to sustain our operations while continuing the longer term efforts contemplated under our business plan. We expect to continue incurring losses for the foreseeable future and must raise additional capital to pursue product development initiatives, penetrate markets for the sale of our products and continue as a going concern. We may not raise additional capital. We believe that we have access to capital resources through possible public or private equity offerings, debt financings, corporate collaborations or other means. If the economic climate in the U.S. does not improve or continues to deteriorate, our ability to raise additional capital could be negatively impacted. If we are unable to secure additional capital, we may be required to curtail our research and development initiatives and take additional measures to reduce costs in order to conserve our cash in amounts sufficient to sustain operations and meet our obligations. These measures could cause significant delays in our efforts to commercialize our products in the U.S., which is critical to the realization of our business plan and the future operations. These matters raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Declining general economic or business conditions may have a negative impact on our business.

Concerns over inflation, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, the U.S. mortgage market and a declining real estate market in the U.S. have contributed to increased volatility and diminished expectations for the global economy and expectations of slower global economic growth going forward. These factors, combined with volatile oil prices, declining business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment, have precipitated a global economic slowdown. If the economic climate in the U.S. does not improve or continues to deteriorate, our business, including our patient population, our suppliers and our third-party payors, could be negatively affected, resulting in a negative impact on our business.

Our inability to raise additional capital on acceptable terms in the future may cause us to curtail certain operational activities, including regulatory trials, sales and marketing, and international operations, in order to reduce costs and sustain the business, and would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We expect capital outlays and operating expenditures to increase over the next several years as we work to conduct regulatory trials, commercialize our products and expand our infrastructure. We may need to raise additional capital to, among other things:

- fund our clinical trials and preclinical studies;
- sustain commercialization of our current products or new products;
- expand our manufacturing capabilities;
- increase our sales and marketing efforts to drive market adoption and address competitive developments;
- acquire or license technologies; and
- finance capital expenditures and our general and administrative expenses.

Our present and future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the progress and timing of our clinical trials;
- the level of research and development investment required to maintain and improve our technology position;

[Table of Contents](#)

- cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights;
- our efforts to acquire or license complementary technologies or acquire complementary businesses;
- changes in product development plans needed to address any difficulties in commercialization;
- competing technological and market developments; and
- changes in regulatory policies or laws that affect our operations.

If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to our stockholders could result. Any equity securities issued also may provide for rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock. If we raise additional funds by issuing debt securities, these debt securities would have rights, preferences and privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock, and the terms of the debt securities issued could impose significant restrictions on our operations. If we raise additional funds through collaborations and licensing arrangements, we might be required to relinquish significant rights to our technologies or products, or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us. A failure to obtain adequate funds may cause us to curtail certain operational activities, including regulatory trials, sales and marketing, and international operations, in order to reduce costs and sustain the business, and would have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We do not have the necessary regulatory approvals to market Microcyn as a drug in the United States.

We have obtained five 510(k) clearances in the United States that permit us to sell Microcyn as a medical device to clean, moisten and debride wounds. Before we are permitted to sell Microcyn as a drug in the United States, we must, among other things, successfully complete additional preclinical studies and well-controlled clinical trials, submit a New Drug Application, or NDA, to the FDA and obtain FDA approval.

The FDA approval process is expensive and uncertain, requires detailed and comprehensive scientific and other data and generally takes several years. Despite the time and expense exerted, approval is never guaranteed. Even if we obtain FDA approval to sell Microcyn as a drug, we may not be able to successfully commercialize Microcyn as a drug in the United States and may never recover the substantial costs we have invested in the development of our Microcyn products.

Delays or adverse results in clinical trials could result in increased costs to us and delay our ability to generate revenue.

Clinical trials can be long and expensive, and the outcome of clinical trials is uncertain and subject to delays. It may take several years to complete clinical trials, if at all, and a product candidate may fail at any stage of the clinical trial process. The length of time required varies substantially according to the type, complexity, novelty and intended use of the product candidate. Interim results of a preclinical study or clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results, and acceptable results in preclinical studies or early clinical trials may not be repeatable in later subsequent clinical trials. The commencement or completion of any of our clinical trials may be delayed or halted for a variety of reasons, including the following:

- insufficient funds to continue our clinical trials;
- the FDA requirements for approval, including requirements for testing efficacy or safety, may change;
- the FDA or other regulatory authorities do not approve a clinical trial protocol;
- patients do not enroll in clinical trials at the rate we expect;
- delays in reaching agreement on acceptable clinical trial agreement terms with prospective sites;
- delays in obtaining institutional review board approval to conduct a study at a prospective site;

- third party clinical investigators do not perform our clinical trials on our anticipated schedule or consistent with the clinical trial protocol and good clinical practices, or the third party organizations do not perform data collection and analysis in a timely or accurate manner; and
- governmental regulations or administrative actions are changed.

We do not know whether future clinical trials will demonstrate safety and efficacy sufficiently to result in additional FDA approvals. While a number of physicians have conducted clinical studies assessing the safety and efficacy of Microcyn for various indications, the data from these studies is not sufficient to support approval of Microcyn as a drug in the United States.

The FDA and other regulatory bodies may also change standards and acceptable trial procedures required for a showing of safety and efficacy. For example, until recently, the FDA accepted non-inferiority clinical trials, or clinical trials that show that a new treatment is equivalent to standard treatment, as the standard for anti-infective drug approvals. On October 12, 2007, the FDA released draft guidance entitled Antibacterial Drug Products: Use of Noninferiority Studies to Support Approval. This new agency guidance requires either placebo-controlled or superiority trial designs, which are designed to test whether, and to what extent, a new treatment is better than the placebo. The uncertainty of clinical trial protocols and changes within FDA guidelines could have a negative impact on the timelines and milestones for our clinical program.

If we fail to obtain, or experience significant delays in obtaining, additional regulatory clearances or approvals to market our current or future products, we may be unable to commercialize these products.

Developing, testing, manufacturing, marketing and selling of medical technology products are subject to extensive regulation by numerous governmental authorities in the United States and other countries. The process of obtaining regulatory clearance and approval of medical technology products is costly and time consuming. Even though the underlying product formulation may be the same or similar, our products are subject to different regulations and approval processes depending upon their intended use.

To obtain regulatory approval of our products as drugs in the United States, we must first show that our products are safe and effective for target indications through preclinical studies (laboratory and animal testing) and clinical trials (human testing). The FDA generally clears marketing of a medical device through the 510(k) pre-market clearance process if it is demonstrated that the new product has the same intended use and the same or similar technological characteristics as another legally marketed Class II device, such as a device already cleared by the FDA through the 510(k) premarket notification process, and otherwise meets the FDA's requirements. Product modifications, including labeling the product for a new intended use, may require the submission of a new 510(k) clearance and FDA approval before the modified product can be marketed.

The outcomes of clinical trials are inherently uncertain. In addition, we do not know whether the necessary approvals or clearances will be granted or delayed for future products. The FDA could request additional information, changes to formulation or clinical testing that could adversely affect the time to market and sale of products as drugs. If we do not obtain the requisite regulatory clearances and approvals, we will be unable to commercialize our products as drugs or devices and may never recover any of the substantial costs we have invested in the development of Microcyn.

Distribution of our products outside the United States is subject to extensive government regulation. These regulations, including the requirements for approvals or clearance to market, the time required for regulatory review and the sanctions imposed for violations, vary from country to country. We do not know whether we will obtain regulatory approvals in such countries or that we will not be required to incur significant costs in obtaining or maintaining these regulatory approvals. In addition, the export by us of certain of our products that have not yet been cleared for domestic commercial distribution may be subject to FDA export restrictions. Failure to obtain necessary regulatory approvals, the restriction, suspension or revocation of existing approvals or any other failure to comply with regulatory requirements would have a material adverse effect on our future business, financial condition, and results of operations.

If our products do not gain market acceptance, our business will suffer because we might not be able to fund future operations.

A number of factors may affect the market acceptance of our products or any other products we develop or acquire, including, among others:

- the price of our products relative to other treatments for the same or similar treatments;
- the perception by patients, physicians and other members of the health care community of the effectiveness and safety of our products for their indicated applications and treatments;
- our ability to fund our sales and marketing efforts; and
- the effectiveness of our sales and marketing efforts.

If our products do not gain market acceptance, we may not be able to fund future operations, including developing, testing and obtaining regulatory approval for new product candidates and expanding our sales and marketing efforts for our approved products, which would cause our business to suffer.

If our competitors develop products similar to Microcyn, we may need to modify or alter our business strategy, which may delay the achievement of our goals.

Competitors may develop products with similar characteristics as Microcyn. Such similar products marketed by larger competitors can hinder our efforts to penetrate the market. As a result, we may be forced to modify or alter our business and regulatory strategy and sales and marketing plans, as a response to changes in the market, competition and technology limitations, among others. Such modifications may pose additional delays in achieving our goals.

We intend to license or collaborate with third parties in various potential markets, and events involving these strategic partners or any future collaborations could delay or prevent us from developing or commercializing products.

Our business strategy and our short-term and long-term operating results will depend in part on our ability to execute on existing strategic collaborations and to license or partner with new strategic partners. We believe collaborations allow us to leverage our resources and technologies and to access markets that are compatible with our own core areas of expertise while avoiding the cost of establishing or maintaining a direct sales force in each market. We may incur significant costs in the use of third parties to identify and assist in establishing relationships with potential collaborators.

To penetrate our target markets, we may need to enter into additional collaborative agreements to assist in the development and commercialization of products. For example, depending upon our analysis of the time and expense involved in obtaining FDA approval to sell a product to treat open wounds, we may choose to license our technology to a third party as opposed to pursuing commercialization ourselves. Establishing strategic collaborations is difficult and time-consuming. Potential collaborators may reject collaborations based upon their assessment of our financial, regulatory or intellectual property position and our internal capabilities. Our discussions with potential collaborators may not lead to the establishment of new collaborations on favorable terms and may have the potential to provide collaborators with access to our key intellectual property filings and next generation formations. We have limited control over the amount and timing of resources that our current collaborators or any future collaborators devote to our collaborations or potential products. These collaborators may breach or terminate their agreements with us or otherwise fail to conduct their collaborative activities successfully and in a timely manner. Further, our collaborators may not develop or commercialize products that arise out of our collaborative arrangements or devote sufficient resources to the development, manufacture, marketing or sale of these products. By entering into a collaboration, we may preclude opportunities to collaborate with other third parties who do not wish to associate with our existing third party strategic partners. Moreover, in the event of termination of a collaboration agreement, termination negotiations may result in less favorable terms.

If we are unable to expand our direct domestic sales force, we may not be able to successfully sell our products in the United States.

We have very limited commercialization capability and make Microcyn-based products available primarily through our website, and several regional distributors. We plan for a more aggressive commercialization and product launch in the event we obtain drug approval from the FDA or obtain other clearance or approval with wound healing claims. Developing a sales force is expensive and time consuming, and the lack of qualified sales personnel could delay or limit the success of our product launch. Our domestic sales force, if established, will be competing with the sales operations of our competitors, which are better funded and more experienced. We may not be able to develop domestic sales capacity on a timely basis or at all.

Our dependence on distributors for sales could limit or prevent us from selling our products and from realizing long-term revenue growth.

We currently depend on distributors to sell Microcyn in the United States, Europe and other countries and intend to continue to sell our products primarily through distributors in Europe and the United States for the foreseeable future. If we are unable to expand our direct sales force, we will continue to rely on distributors to sell Microcyn. Our existing distribution agreements are generally short-term in duration, and we may need to pursue alternate distributors if the other parties to these agreements terminate or elect not to renew their agreements. If we are unable to retain our current distributors for any reason, we must replace them with alternate distributors experienced in supplying the wound care market, which could be time-consuming and divert management's attention from other operational matters. In addition, we will need to attract additional distributors to expand the geographic areas in which we sell Microcyn. Distributors may not commit the necessary resources to market and sell our products to the level of our expectations, which could harm our ability to generate revenues. In addition, some of our distributors may also sell products that compete with ours. In some countries, regulatory licenses must be held by residents of the country. For example, the regulatory approval for one product in India is owned and held by our Indian distributor. If the licenses are not in our name or under our control, we might not have the power to ensure their ongoing effectiveness and use by us. If current or future distributors do not perform adequately, or we are unable to locate distributors in particular geographic areas, we may not realize long-term revenue growth.

If we fail to comply with ongoing regulatory requirements, or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, these products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market.

Regulatory approvals or clearances that we currently have and that we may receive in the future are subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the products may be marketed, and any future approvals could contain requirements for potentially costly post-marketing follow-up studies. If the FDA determines that our promotional materials or activities constitute promotion of an unapproved use or we otherwise fail to comply with FDA regulations, we may be subject to regulatory enforcement actions, including a warning letter, injunction, seizure, civil fine or criminal penalties. In addition, the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion, distribution and record-keeping for approved products are subject to extensive regulation. Our manufacturing facilities, processes and specifications are subject to periodic inspection by the FDA, European and other regulatory authorities and from time to time, we may receive notices of deficiencies from these agencies as a result of such inspections. Our failure to continue to meet regulatory standards or to remedy any deficiencies could result in restrictions being imposed on products or manufacturing processes, fines, suspension or loss of regulatory approvals or clearances, product recalls, termination of distribution or product seizures or the need to invest substantial resources to comply with various existing and new requirements. In the more egregious cases, criminal sanctions, civil penalties, disgorgement of profits or closure of our manufacturing facilities are possible. The subsequent discovery of previously unknown problems with Microcyn, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, may result in restrictions on the marketing of our products, and could include voluntary or mandatory recall or withdrawal of products from the market.

New government regulations may be enacted and changes in FDA policies and regulations, their interpretation and enforcement, could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our products. We cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of adverse government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad. Therefore, we do not know whether we will be able to continue to comply with

any regulations or that the costs of such compliance will not have a material adverse effect on our future business, financial condition, and results of operations. If we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we will not be permitted to market our products and our business would suffer.

We may experience difficulties in manufacturing Microcyn, which could prevent us from commercializing one or more of our products.

The machines used to manufacture our Microcyn-based products are complex, use complicated software and must be monitored by highly trained engineers. Slight deviations anywhere in our manufacturing process, including quality control, labeling and packaging, could lead to a failure to meet the specifications required by the FDA, the EPA, European notified bodies, Mexican regulatory agencies and other foreign regulatory bodies, which may result in lot failures or product recalls. If we are unable to obtain quality internal and external components, mechanical and electrical parts, if our software contains defects or is corrupted, or if we are unable to attract and retain qualified technicians to manufacture our products, our manufacturing output of Microcyn, or any other product candidate based on our platform that we may develop, could fail to meet required standards, our regulatory approvals could be delayed, denied or revoked, and commercialization of one or more of our Microcyn-based products may be delayed or foregone. Manufacturing processes that are used to produce the smaller quantities of Microcyn needed for clinical tests and current commercial sales may not be successfully scaled up to allow production of significant commercial quantities. Any failure to manufacture our products to required standards on a commercial scale could result in reduced revenues, delays in generating revenue and increased costs.

Our competitive position depends on our ability to protect our intellectual property and our proprietary technologies.

Our ability to compete and to achieve and maintain profitability depends on our ability to protect our intellectual property and proprietary technologies. We currently rely on a combination of patents, patent applications, trademarks, trade secret laws, confidentiality agreements, license agreements and invention assignment agreements to protect our intellectual property rights. We also rely upon unpatented know-how and continuing technological innovation to develop and maintain our competitive position. These measures may not be adequate to safeguard our Microcyn technology. If we do not protect our rights adequately, third parties could use our technology, and our ability to compete in the market would be reduced.

Although we have filed U.S. and foreign patent applications related to our Microcyn based products, the manufacturing technology for making the products, and their uses, only one U.S. patent has been issued from these applications to date.

Our pending patent applications and any patent applications we may file in the future may not result in issued patents, and we do not know whether any of our in-licensed patents or any additional patents that might ultimately be issued by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office or foreign regulatory body will protect our Microcyn technology. Any claims that issue may not be sufficiently broad to prevent third parties from producing competing substitutes and may be infringed, designed around, or invalidated by third parties. Even issued patents may later be found to be invalid, or may be modified or revoked in proceedings instituted by third parties before various patent offices or in courts. For example, a competitor filed a Notice of Opposition with the Opposition Division of the European Patent Office in February 2008 opposing our recently issued European patent.

The degree of future protection for our proprietary rights is more uncertain in part because legal means afford only limited protection and may not adequately protect our rights, and we will not be able to ensure that:

- we were the first to invent the inventions described in patent applications;
- we were the first to file patent applications for inventions;
- others will not independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate our products without infringing our intellectual property rights;
- any patents licensed or issued to us will provide us with any competitive advantages;

- we will develop proprietary technologies that are patentable; or
- the patents of others will not have an adverse effect on our ability to do business.

The policies we use to protect our trade secrets may not be effective in preventing misappropriation of our trade secrets by others. In addition, confidentiality and invention assignment agreements executed by our employees, consultants and advisors may not be enforceable or may not provide meaningful protection for our trade secrets or other proprietary information in the event of unauthorized use or disclosures. We cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent the misappropriation and use of our intellectual property in the United States, or in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States.

We may face intellectual property infringement claims that could be time-consuming, costly to defend and could result in our loss of significant rights and, in the case of patent infringement claims, the assessment of treble damages.

On occasion, we may receive notices of claims of infringement, misappropriation or misuse of other parties' proprietary rights. We may have disputes regarding intellectual property rights with the parties that have licensed those rights to us. We may also initiate claims to defend our intellectual property. Intellectual property litigation, regardless of outcome, is expensive and time-consuming, could divert management's attention from our business and have a material negative effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. In addition, the outcome of such litigation may be unpredictable. If there is a successful claim of infringement against us, we may be required to pay substantial damages (including treble damages if we were to be found to have willfully infringed a third party's patent) to the party claiming infringement, develop non-infringing technology, stop selling our products or using technology that contains the allegedly infringing intellectual property or enter into royalty or license agreements that may not be available on acceptable or commercially practical terms, if at all. Our failure to develop non-infringing technologies or license the proprietary rights on a timely basis could harm our business. In addition, modifying our products to exclude infringing technologies could require us to seek re-approval or clearance from various regulatory bodies for our products, which would be costly and time consuming. Also, we may be unaware of pending patent applications that relate to our technology. Parties making infringement claims on future issued patents may be able to obtain an injunction that would prevent us from selling our products or using technology that contains the allegedly infringing intellectual property, which could harm our business.

Our ability to generate revenue will be diminished if we are unable to obtain acceptable prices or an adequate level of reimbursement from third-party payors of healthcare costs.

The continuing efforts of governmental and other third-party payors, including managed care organizations such as health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, to contain or reduce costs of health care may affect our future revenue and profitability, and the future revenue and profitability of our potential customers, suppliers and collaborative or license partners and the availability of capital. For example, in certain foreign markets, pricing or profitability of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to government control. In the United States, governmental and private payors have limited the growth of health care costs through price regulation or controls, competitive pricing programs and drug rebate programs. Our ability to commercialize our products successfully will depend in part on the extent to which appropriate coverage and reimbursement levels for the cost of our Microcyn products and related treatment are obtained from governmental authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, such as HMOs.

There is significant uncertainty concerning third-party coverage and reimbursement of newly approved medical products and drugs. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. Also, the trend toward managed healthcare in the United States and the concurrent growth of organizations such as HMOs, as well as legislative proposals to reform healthcare or reduce government insurance programs, may result in lower prices for or rejection of our products. The cost containment measures that health care payors and providers are instituting and the effect of any health care reform could materially and adversely affect our ability to generate revenues.

In addition, given ongoing federal and state government initiatives directed at lowering the total cost of health care, the United States Congress and state legislatures will likely continue to focus on health care reform, the cost of

prescription pharmaceuticals and the reform of the Medicare and Medicaid payment systems. While we cannot predict whether any proposed cost-containment measures will be adopted, the announcement or adoption of these proposals could reduce the price that we receive for our Microcyn products in the future.

We could be required to indemnify third parties for alleged infringement, which could cause us to incur significant costs.

Some of our distribution agreements contain commitments to indemnify our distributors against liability arising from infringement of third party intellectual property such as patents. We may be required to indemnify our customers for claims made against them or license fees they are required to pay. If we are forced to indemnify for claims or to pay license fees, our business and financial condition could be substantially harmed.

A significant part of our business is conducted outside of the United States, exposing us to additional risks that may not exist in the United States, which in turn could cause our business and operating results to suffer.

We have international operations in Mexico and Europe. During the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, approximately 76% and 70% of our total revenues were generated from sales outside of the United States. Our business is highly regulated for the use, marketing and manufacturing of our Microcyn products both domestically and internationally. Our international operations are subject to risks, including:

- local political or economic instability;
- changes in governmental regulation;
- changes in import/export duties;
- trade restrictions;
- lack of experience in foreign markets;
- difficulties and costs of staffing and managing operations in certain foreign countries;
- work stoppages or other changes in labor conditions;
- difficulties in collecting accounts receivables on a timely basis or at all; and
- adverse tax consequences or overlapping tax structures.

We plan to continue to market and sell our products internationally to respond to customer requirements and market opportunities. Establishing operations in any foreign country or region presents risks such as those described above as well as risks specific to the particular country or region. In addition, until a payment history is established over time with customers in a new geography or region, the likelihood of collecting receivables generated by such operations could be less than our expectations. As a result, there is a greater risk that reserves set with respect to the collection of such receivables may be inadequate. If our operations in any foreign country are unsuccessful, we could incur significant losses and we may not achieve profitability.

In addition, changes in policies or laws of the United States or foreign governments resulting in, among other things, changes in regulations and the approval process, higher taxation, currency conversion limitations, restrictions on fund transfers or the expropriation of private enterprises, could reduce the anticipated benefits of our international expansion. If we fail to realize the anticipated revenue growth of our future international operations, our business and operating results could suffer.

Our sales in international markets subject us to foreign currency exchange and other risks and costs which could harm our business.

A substantial portion of our revenues are derived from outside the United States; primarily from Mexico. We anticipate that revenues from international customers will continue to represent a substantial portion of our revenues for the foreseeable future. Because we generate revenues in foreign currencies, we are subject to the effects of exchange rate fluctuations. The functional currency of our Mexican subsidiary is the Mexican Peso, and the

functional currency of our subsidiary in the Netherlands is the Euro. For the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, the financial results of our foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars on average exchange rates during the applicable period. If the U.S. dollar appreciates against the Mexican Peso or the Euro, as applicable, the revenues we recognize from sales by our subsidiaries will be adversely impacted. Foreign exchange gains or losses as a result of exchange rate fluctuations in any given period could harm our operating results and negatively impact our revenues. Additionally, if the effective price of our products were to increase as a result of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, demand for our products could decline and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

The loss of key members of our senior management team, one of our directors or our inability to retain highly skilled scientists, technicians and salespeople could adversely affect our business.

Our success depends largely on the skills, experience and performance of key members of our executive management team, including Hojabr Alimi, our Chief Executive Officer and Robert Northey, our Director of Research and Development. The efforts of these people will be critical to us as we continue to develop our products and attempt to commercialize products in the chronic and acute wound care market. If we were to lose one or more of these individuals, we may experience difficulties in competing effectively, developing our technologies and implementing our business strategies.

Our research and development programs depend on our ability to attract and retain highly skilled scientists and technicians. We may not be able to attract or retain qualified scientists and technicians in the future due to the intense competition for qualified personnel among medical technology businesses, particularly in the San Francisco Bay Area. We also face competition from universities and public and private research institutions in recruiting and retaining highly qualified personnel. In addition, our success depends on our ability to attract and retain salespeople with extensive experience in wound care and close relationships with the medical community, including physicians and other medical staff. We may have difficulties locating, recruiting or retaining qualified salespeople, which could cause a delay or decline in the rate of adoption of our products. If we are not able to attract and retain the necessary personnel to accomplish our business objectives, we may experience constraints that will adversely affect our ability to support our research, development and sales programs.

We maintain key-person life insurance only on Mr. Alimi. We may discontinue this insurance in the future, it may not continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or, if continued, it may prove inadequate to compensate us for the loss of Mr. Alimi's services.

The wound care industry is highly competitive and subject to rapid technological change. If our competitors are better able to develop and market products that are less expensive or more effective than any products that we may develop, our commercial opportunity will be reduced or eliminated.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to stay at the forefront of technological change and maintain a competitive position. We compete with large healthcare, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, along with smaller or early-stage companies that have collaborative arrangements with larger pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, pre-clinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Our competitors may:

- develop and patent processes or products earlier than we will;
- develop and commercialize products that are less expensive or more efficient than any products that we may develop;
- obtain regulatory approvals for competing products more rapidly than we will; and
- improve upon existing technological approaches or develop new or different approaches that render our technology or products obsolete or non-competitive.

As a result, we may not be able to successfully commercialize any future products.

The success of our research and development efforts may depend on our ability to find suitable collaborators to fully exploit our capabilities. If we are unable to establish collaborations or if these future collaborations are unsuccessful, our research and development efforts may be unsuccessful, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

An important element of our business strategy will be to enter into collaborative or license arrangements under which we license our Microcyn technology to other parties for development and commercialization. We expect that while we may initially seek to conduct initial clinical trials on our drug candidates, we may need to seek collaborators for a number of our potential products because of the expense, effort and expertise required to conduct additional clinical trials and further develop those potential products candidates. Because collaboration arrangements are complex to negotiate, we may not be successful in our attempts to establish these arrangements. If we need third party assistance in identifying and negotiating one or more acceptable arrangements, it might be costly. Also, we may not have products that are desirable to other parties, or we may be unwilling to license a potential product because the party interested in it is a competitor. The terms of any arrangements that we establish may not be favorable to us. Alternatively, potential collaborators may decide against entering into an agreement with us because of our financial, regulatory or intellectual property position or for scientific, commercial or other reasons. If we are not able to establish collaborative agreements, we may not be able to develop and commercialize new products, which would adversely affect our business and our revenues.

In order for any of these collaboration or license arrangements to be successful, we must first identify potential collaborators or licensees whose capabilities complement and integrate well with ours. We may rely on these arrangements for not only financial resources, but also for expertise or economies of scale that we expect to need in the future relating to clinical trials, manufacturing, sales and marketing, and for licenses to technology rights. However, it is likely that we will not be able to control the amount and timing of resources that our collaborators or licensees devote to our programs or potential products. If our collaborators or licensees prove difficult to work with, are less skilled than we originally expected, or do not devote adequate resources to the program, the relationship will not be successful. If a business combination involving a collaborator or licensee and a third party were to occur, the effect could be to diminish, terminate or cause delays in development of a potential product.

If we are unable to comply with broad and complex federal and state fraud and abuse laws, including state and federal anti-kickback laws, we could face substantial penalties and our products could be excluded from government healthcare programs.

We are subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, which include, among other things, “anti-kickback” laws that prohibit payments to induce the referral of products and services, and “false claims” statutes that prohibit the fraudulent billing of federal healthcare programs. Our operations are subject to the federal anti-kickback statute, a criminal statute that, subject to certain statutory exceptions, prohibits any person from knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce or reward a person either (i) for referring an individual for the furnishing of items or services for which payment may be made in whole or in part by a government healthcare program such as Medicare or Medicaid, or (ii) for purchasing, leasing, or ordering or arranging for or recommending the purchasing, leasing or ordering of an item or service for which payment may be made under a government healthcare program. Because of the breadth of the federal anti-kickback statute, the Office of Inspector General of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or the OIG, was authorized to adopt regulations setting forth additional exceptions to the prohibitions of the statute commonly known as “safe harbors.” If all of the elements of an applicable safe harbor are fully satisfied, an arrangement will not be subject to prosecution under the federal anti-kickback statute.

In addition, if there is a change in law, regulation or administrative or judicial interpretations of these laws, we may have to change our business practices or our existing business practices could be challenged as unlawful, which could have a negative effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Healthcare fraud and abuse laws are complex, and even minor, inadvertent irregularities can potentially give rise to claims that a statute or regulation has been violated. The frequency of suits to enforce these laws have increased significantly in recent years and have increased the risk that a healthcare company will have to defend a false claim action, pay fines or be excluded from the Medicare, Medicaid or other federal and state healthcare

programs as a result of an investigation arising out of such action. We may become subject to such litigation. Any violations of these laws, or any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could harm our reputation, be costly to defend and divert management's attention from other aspects of our business. Similarly, if the physicians or other providers or entities with whom we do business are found to have violated abuse laws, they may be subject to sanctions, which could also have a negative impact on us.

Our efforts to discover and develop potential products may not lead to the discovery, development, commercialization or marketing of actual drug products.

We are currently engaged in a number of different approaches to discover and develop new product applications and product candidates. At the present time, we have one Microcyn-based drug candidate in clinical trials. We also have a non-Microcyn-based compound in the research and development phase. We believe this compound has potential applications in oncology. Discovery and development of potential drug candidates are expensive and time-consuming, and we do not know if our efforts will lead to discovery of any drug candidates that can be successfully developed and marketed. If our efforts do not lead to the discovery of a suitable drug candidate, we may be unable to grow our clinical pipeline or we may be unable to enter into agreements with collaborators who are willing to develop our drug candidates.

We must maintain expensive finance and accounting systems, procedures and controls to accommodate growth of our business and organization and to satisfy public company reporting requirements, which will increase our costs and require additional management resources.

As a public reporting company, we are required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the Commission. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or Section 404, requires our management to perform an annual assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. Compliance with Section 404 and other requirements of doing business as a public company have and will continue to increase our costs and require additional management resources to implement an ongoing program to perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls. In the past, we entered into transactions that resulted in accounting consequences that we did not identify at the time of the transactions. As a result, our prior independent auditors informed us that we did not have the appropriate financial management and reporting structure in place to meet the demands of a public company and that our accounting and financial personnel lacked the appropriate level of accounting knowledge, experience and training. In calendar year 2006, our current independent auditors recommended certain changes which, in addition to other changes in our financial reporting and management structure, have been implemented at additional cost. We have upgraded our accounting systems, procedures and controls and will need to continue to implement additional finance and accounting systems, procedures and controls as we grow our business and organization, enter into complex business transactions and take actions designed to satisfy reporting requirements. As of our second report on Form 10-K, our management concluded that our internal controls were adequate to meet the required Section 404 assessment. If we are unable to complete the required Section 404 assessment as to the adequacy of our internal control over financial reporting in future Form 10-K filings, our ability to obtain additional financing could be impaired. In addition, investors could lose confidence in the reliability of our internal control over financial reporting and in the accuracy of our periodic reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. A lack of investor confidence in the reliability and accuracy of our public reporting could cause our stock price to decline.

We may not be able to maintain sufficient product liability insurance to cover claims against us.

Product liability insurance for the healthcare industry is generally expensive to the extent it is available at all. We may not be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms or be able to secure increased coverage if the commercialization of our products progresses, nor can we be sure that existing or future claims against us will be covered by our product liability insurance. Moreover, the existing coverage of our insurance policy or any rights of indemnification and contribution that we may have may not be sufficient to offset existing or future claims. A successful claim against us with respect to uninsured liabilities or in excess of insurance coverage and not subject to any indemnification or contribution could have a material adverse effect on our future business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our operating results may fluctuate, which could cause our stock price to decrease.

Fluctuations in our operating results may lead to fluctuations, including declines, in our share price. Our operating results and our share price may fluctuate from period to period due to a variety of factors, including:

- demand by physicians, other medical staff and patients for our Microcyn products;
- reimbursement decisions by third-party payors and announcements of those decisions;
- clinical trial results and publication of results in peer-reviewed journals or the presentation at medical conferences;
- the inclusion or exclusion of our Microcyn products in large clinical trials conducted by others;
- actual and anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly financial and operating results;
- developments or disputes concerning our intellectual property or other proprietary rights;
- issues in manufacturing our product candidates or products;
- new or less expensive products and services or new technology introduced or offered by our competitors or us;
- the development and commercialization of product enhancements;
- changes in the regulatory environment;
- delays in establishing new strategic relationships;
- costs associated with collaborations and new product candidates;
- introduction of technological innovations or new commercial products by us or our competitors;
- litigation or public concern about the safety of our product candidates or products;
- changes in recommendations of securities analysts or lack of analyst coverage;
- failure to meet analyst expectations regarding our operating results;
- additions or departures of key personnel; and
- general market conditions.

Variations in the timing of our future revenues and expenses could also cause significant fluctuations in our operating results from period to period and may result in unanticipated earning shortfalls or losses. In addition, the NASDAQ Capital Market, in general, and the market for life sciences companies, in particular, have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies.

If an active, liquid trading market for our common stock does not develop, you may not be able to sell your shares quickly or at or above the price you paid for it.

Although our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market, an active and liquid trading market for our common stock has not yet and may not ever develop or be sustained. You may not be able to sell your shares quickly or at or above the price you paid for our stock if trading in our stock is not active.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter and by-laws and under Delaware law may make it more difficult for stockholders to change our management and may also make a takeover difficult.

Our corporate documents and Delaware law contain provisions that limit the ability of stockholders to change our management and may also enable our management to resist a takeover. These provisions include:

- the ability of our board of directors to issue and designate the rights of, without stockholder approval, up to 5,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock, which rights could be senior to those of common stock;
- limitations on persons authorized to call a special meeting of stockholders; and
- advance notice procedures required for stockholders to make nominations of candidates for election as directors or to bring matters before meetings of stockholders.

These provisions might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control in our management. These provisions could also discourage proxy contests and make it more difficult for you and other stockholders to elect directors and cause us to take other corporate actions. In addition, the existence of these provisions, together with Delaware law, might hinder or delay an attempted takeover other than through negotiations with our board of directors.

Our stockholders may experience substantial dilution in the value of their investment if we issue additional shares of our capital stock.

Our charter allows us to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of our common stock and to issue and designate the rights of, without stockholder approval, up to 5,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock. In the event we issue additional shares of our capital stock, dilution to our stockholders could result. In addition, if we issue and designate a class of convertible preferred stock, these securities may provide for rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of holders of our common stock.

ITEM 2. *Properties*

We currently lease approximately 12,000 square feet of office, research and manufacturing space in Petaluma, California, which serves as our principal executive offices. We also lease approximately 28,000 square feet of office space in an adjacent building for research and development under the lease agreement. The lease was scheduled to expire on September 30, 2007. On September 13, 2007, we entered into Amendment No. 4 to the property lease agreement for our facility in Petaluma, California. The amendment extended the lease expiration date to September 30, 2010. On May 18, 2009, we entered into Amendment No. 5 for our facility in Petaluma, California. Pursuant to the amendment, we agreed to surrender 8,534 square feet of office space and extended the lease expiration on the remaining lease to September 30, 2011.

We lease approximately 12,000 square feet of office and manufacturing space and approximately 5,000 square feet of warehouse space in Zapopan, Mexico, under leases that expire in April 2009 and April 2011, respectively. We believe the portion of the lease that expired in April 2009 will be renewed on similar terms. We lease approximately 5,000 square feet of office space and approximately 14,000 square feet of manufacturing and warehouse space in Sittard, the Netherlands, under a lease that was scheduled to expire on January 31, 2009. On February 15, 2008, we extended this lease to January 2011. On February 1, 2009 we amended this lease to expire on September 1, 2009. As we expand, we may need to establish manufacturing facilities in other countries.

We believe that our properties will be adequate to meet our needs through March 2010.

ITEM 3. *Legal Proceedings*

We may be involved from time to time in ordinary litigation, negotiation and settlement matters that will not have a material effect on our operations or finances. We are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation against us or our officers and directors in their capacity as such that could have a material impact on our operations or finances.

ITEM 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders*

No matter was submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter ended March 31, 2009.

PART II**ITEM 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "OCLS" and has been trading since our initial public offering on January 25, 2007. The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices for our common stock, based on the last daily sale, in each of the quarters since our stock began trading:

	Year Ended March 31, 2009			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Stock price-high	\$ 5.18	\$ 3.24	\$ 1.74	\$ 1.74
Stock price-low	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.70	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.90

	Year Ended March 31, 2008			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Stock price-high	\$ 8.75	\$ 11.48	\$ 7.86	\$ 7.29
Stock price-low	\$ 5.66	\$ 4.84	\$ 3.71	\$ 3.20

Holders

As of May 20, 2009, we had approximately 577 holders of record of our common stock. Holders of record include nominees who may hold shares on behalf of multiple owners.

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock, and we do not currently intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we sold equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act. On February 6, 2009, we closed a private placement of units with a group of accredited investors whereby we sold 1,499,411 shares of our common stock at a purchase price of \$116.90 per unit. In exchange for each \$116.90 invested, the investors received 100 shares of our common stock, a Series A Warrant exercisable after six months for a five year term, to purchase 58 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share and a Series B Warrant, exercisable after six months for a three year term, to purchase 78 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share. Gross proceeds from the private placement totaled approximately \$1,752,803. In connection with this sale, we paid Merriman Curhan Ford and Co., as placement agent, \$122,696 in commissions plus warrants, exercisable upon the closing date of the transaction for a five year term, to purchase 104,958 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.56 per share.

On February 24, 2009, we entered into a Purchase Agreement with Robert Burlingame, our director, and an accredited investor (the "Investors"). Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the Investors agreed to make a \$3,000,000 investment. The Investors paid \$1,000,000 on February 24, 2009 and paid the remaining \$2,000,000 on June 1, 2009. In exchange for this investment, we issued to the Investors a total of 2,564,103 shares of our common stock in two tranches, pro rata to the investment amounts paid by the Investor on each date the Investor provided funds. In connection with this offering, we issued 854,701 shares of our common stock on February 27, 2009 and 1,709,402 shares of our common stock on June 1, 2009. In addition, we issued to the Investors Series A Warrants, exercisable after six months for a five year term, to purchase a total of 1,500,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share and Series B Warrants, exercisable after six months for a three year term, to purchase a total of 2,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share. Both the Series A and Series B Warrants are exercisable in tranches, pro rata to the investment amounts paid by the Investors

on the closing dates. In connection with this placement, we paid Merriman Curhan Ford and Co. a fee of \$50,000 for their assistance with the transaction.

On March 4, 2009, we issued Day1 Crow a Series A Warrant exercisable for a five year term, to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share. These shares were issued in connection with consulting services.

On March 5, 2009, we issued 10,000 shares of common stock to Spot Savvy LLC pursuant to the terms of a Consulting Agreement dated February 26, 2009. The 10,000 shares were issued as compensation for providing product marketing services valued at \$10,600. Also on March 5, 2009, we issued 10,000 shares of common stock to Michael Salman Teymori pursuant to the terms of a Consulting Agreement dated February 26, 2009. The 10,000 shares were issued as compensation for marketing services valued at \$10,600.

On May 27, 2009, we issued 24,500 shares of common stock to Advocos in connection with an agreement whereby Advocos will provide product sales services.

In June 2009, we plan to issue Robert Burlingame, a member of our board of directors, 435,897 shares of our common stock pursuant to a consulting agreement entered into on April 1, 2009, whereby Mr. Burlingame provides us with sales and marketing expertise and services.

With respect to the issuances of our securities described above, we relied on the Section 4(2) exemption from securities registration under the federal securities laws for transactions not involving any public offering. No advertising or general solicitation was employed in offering the securities. The securities were issued to accredited investors. The securities were offered for investment purposes only and not for the purpose of resale or distribution and the transfer thereof was appropriately restricted by us.

ITEM 6. *Selected Financial Data*

As a Smaller Reporting Company, as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K, we are electing scaled disclosure reporting obligations and therefore are not required to provide the information requested by this Item.

ITEM 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

Business Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company that develops, manufactures and markets a family of products, based on our platform technology called Microcyn, intended to help prevent and treat infections in chronic and acute wounds. Microcyn is a non-irritating oxychlorine compound designed to treat a wide range of pathogens, including antibiotic-resistant strains of bacteria, viruses, fungi and spores.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to exercise its judgment. We exercise considerable judgment with respect to establishing sound accounting policies and in making estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of our assets and liabilities, our recognition of revenues and expenses, and disclosure of commitments and contingencies at the date of the consolidated financial statements.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. Areas in which we exercise significant judgment include, but are not necessarily limited to, our valuation of accounts receivable, inventory, income taxes, equity transactions (compensatory and financing) and contingencies. We have also adopted certain policies with respect to our recognition of revenue that we believe are consistent with the guidance provided under Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104.

We base our estimates and judgments on a variety of factors including our historical experience, knowledge of our business and industry, current and expected economic conditions, the attributes of our products, regulatory environment, and in certain cases, the results of outside appraisals. We periodically re-evaluate our estimates and

assumptions with respect to these judgments and modify our approach when circumstances indicate that modifications are necessary.

While we believe that the factors we evaluate provide us with a meaningful basis for establishing and applying sound accounting policies, we cannot guarantee that the results will always be accurate. Since the determination of these estimates requires the exercise of judgment, actual results could differ from such estimates.

A description of significant accounting policies that require us to make estimates and assumptions in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is as follows:

Stock-based Compensation

Prior to April 1, 2006, we accounted for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related interpretations and applied the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." We used the minimum value method to measure the fair value of awards issued prior to April 1, 2006 with respect to our application of the disclosure requirements under SFAS No. 123.

Effective April 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) "Share Based Payment" ("SFAS 123(R)") using the prospective method. This statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, and its related implementation guidance. SFAS 123(R) addresses all forms of share based payment, or SBP, awards including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. Under SFAS 123(R), SBP awards result in a cost that will be measured at fair value on the awards' grant date, based on the estimated number of awards that are expected to vest and will result in a charge to operations.

We had a choice of two attribution methods for allocating compensation costs under SFAS 123(R): the "straight-line method," which allocates expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the last separately vesting portion of an award, or the "graded vesting attribution method," which allocates expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards. We chose the former method and amortized the fair value of each option on a straight-line basis over the requisite period of the last separately vesting portion of each award.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable

We generate product revenues from sales of our products to hospitals, medical centers, doctors, pharmacies, distributors and strategic partners. We sell our products directly to third parties and to distributors through various cancelable distribution agreements. We have also entered into an agreement to license our products.

We apply the revenue recognition principles set forth in Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin, or SAB, 104 "Revenue Recognition," with respect to all of our revenues. Accordingly, we record revenues when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectability of the sale is reasonably assured.

We require all of our product sales to be supported by evidence of a sale transaction that clearly indicates the selling price to the customer, shipping terms and payment terms. Evidence of an arrangement generally consists of a contract or purchase order approved by the customer. We have ongoing relationships with certain customers from which we customarily accept orders by telephone in lieu of a purchase order.

We recognize revenues at the time in which we receive a confirmation that the goods were either tendered at their destination when shipped "FOB destination," or transferred to a shipping agent when shipped "FOB shipping point." Delivery to the customer is deemed to have occurred when the customer takes title to the product. Generally, title passes to the customer upon shipment, but could occur when the customer receives the product based on the terms of the agreement with the customer.

While we have a policy of investigating the creditworthiness of our customers, we have, under certain circumstances, shipped goods in the past and deferred the recognition of revenues when available information

indicates that collection is in doubt. We establish allowances for doubtful accounts when available information causes us to believe that a credit loss is probable.

We market a substantial portion of our goods through distributors. In Europe, we defer recognition of distributor-generated revenues until the time we confirm that distributors have sold these goods. Although our terms provide for no right of return, our products have a finite shelf life and we may, at our discretion, accommodate distributors by accepting returns to avoid the distribution of expired goods.

Service revenues are recorded upon performance of the service contracts. Revenues generated from testing contracts are recorded when the test is completed and the final report is sent to the customer.

Inventory and Cost of Revenues

We state our inventory at the lower of cost, determined using the first-in, first-out method, or market, based on standard costs. Establishing standard manufacturing costs requires us to make estimates and assumptions as to the quantities and costs of materials, labor and overhead that are required to produce a finished good. Cost of service revenues is expensed when incurred.

Income Taxes

We are required to determine the aggregate amount of income tax expense or loss based upon tax statutes in jurisdictions in which we conduct business. In making these estimates, we adjust our results determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for items that are treated differently by the applicable taxing authorities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities, as a result of these differences, are reflected on our balance sheet for temporary differences in loss and credit carryforwards that will reverse in subsequent years. We also establish a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Valuation allowances are based, in part, on predictions that management must make as to our results in future periods. The outcome of events could differ over time which would require that we make changes in our valuation allowance.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent liabilities at the dates of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates. These estimates and assumptions include reserves and write-downs related to receivables and inventories, the recoverability of long-term assets, deferred taxes and related valuation allowances and valuation of equity instruments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Accounting Standard No. 162 ("SFAS 162"), *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. SFAS 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The guidance in SFAS 162 replaces that prescribed in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, and becomes effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's auditing amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. The adoption of SFAS 162 will not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)." This FSP clarifies that convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) are

not addressed by paragraph 12 of APB Opinion No. 14, *Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants*. Additionally, this FSP specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are in the process of determining the impact FSP APB 14-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements. We are in the process of determining the impact APB 14-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements. We will apply this standard prospectively to convertible debt instruments issued after March 31, 2009.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities". This FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS) under the two-class method described in paragraphs 60 and 61 of FASB Statement No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. We are in the process of determining the impact FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements. We are in the process of determining the impact EITF 03-6-1 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. The FSP clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. The FSP is effective October 10, 2008, and for prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. Revisions resulting from a change in the valuation technique or its application should be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate following the guidance in FASB Statement No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. The adoption FAS 157-3 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock". This issue addresses the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock, which is the first part of the scope exception in paragraph 11(a) of Statement 133. This issue is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are in the process of determining the impact EITF 07-5 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measurement of fair value and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, except as amended by FASB Staff Position ("FSP") SFAS 157-2 which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. FSP SFAS 157-2 allows partial adoption relating to fair value measurements for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company adopted SFAS 157 effective April 1, 2009, except as it applies to the non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities subject to FSP SFAS 157-2. The Company will adopt the remaining provisions of SFAS 157 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and is currently evaluating the impact adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS 157 applies to all financial instruments that are measured and reported on a fair value basis.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB, the EITF, the SEC and or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

Comparison of Fiscal Years Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**Revenues**

We experienced a 53% growth in product revenues and a 2% growth in our services business, resulting in total revenues of \$5,388,000 during the twelve months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$3,835,000 in the prior year period. The \$1,534,000 increase in product revenues was due primarily to \$1,155,000 higher sales in Mexico despite a 11% drop in the value of the peso. Mexico sales increased 55% on higher unit volumes of our Microcyn wound care product to hospitals and pharmacies, as well as higher average selling prices in both our 5-liter and our 240-milliliter presentations. If the peso had not declined, the growth in Mexico sales would have been 71% compared to the prior year. Sales of 240-milliliter units in Mexico increased 27% on improvements to both units sold and higher average selling prices as compared to the prior year period. Additionally, sales to hospitals in Mexico increased 144% on both higher unit shipments and selling prices. Europe/ROW sales increased \$277,000, up 49%, over the prior year due to initial sales to China Bao Tai in China, as well as strong sales growth to our customers in India, Singapore, Middle East and Slovakia.

The following table shows our product revenues by geographic region (in thousands):

	Fiscal Year Ended		Increase	Increase
	March 31,			
	2009	2008		
U.S.	\$ 298	\$ 197	\$ 101	51%
Europe/ROW	844	566	278	49%
Mexico	3,273	2,118	1,155	55%
Total	<u>\$ 4,415</u>	<u>\$ 2,881</u>	<u>\$ 1,534</u>	53%

The \$19,000 increase in service revenues was due to an increase in the number of tests provided by our services business.

Gross Profit/Loss

We reported gross profit from our Microcyn products business of \$2,742,000, or 62% of product revenues, during the 12 months ended March 31, 2009, compared a gross profit of \$1,107,000, or 38%, in the prior year period. This increase was primarily due to lower costs in Europe and the improvements in our Mexico operations, which have improved margins to 75% during the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, compared to 69% in the prior year period. Lower costs in Europe have put our European operation in a positive gross margin position during the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, compared to a gross loss position in the prior year period. Our services business continues to be at or near breakeven as it was in the prior year period.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense declined \$3,526,000, or 36%, to \$6,252,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$9,778,000 in the prior year period. Most of the decrease was attributable to outside clinical expenses, which were \$2,400,000 higher than it was in the prior year period, which were related the costs of the Phase II clinical trial last year. During the first part of the current fiscal year, we increased our personnel, while in the later part of the second and third fiscal quarters, we significantly reduced the number of people in research and development, so that the net cost of personnel was lower for the year. This expense also includes a \$219,000 net loss on the disposal of certain research and development manufacturing equipment and severance costs of \$290,000.

We expect that our research and development expense will remain fairly flat since most of the reductions have been reflected in the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$126,000, or 1%, to \$13,857,000 during the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, from \$13,731,000 during the twelve months ended March 31, 2008. Primarily, this increase was due to a \$995,000 increase in non-cash stock compensation expense. In addition, there was \$479,000

of severance expense associated with terminations during the period. Sales and marketing fees were also higher by \$670,000 primarily associated with our U.S. Microcyn wound care product launch. These increases were offset in large part by \$965,000 lower bonus expense, by \$572,000 lower legal and accounting fees and an overall reduction in headcount and related expenses

We expect these expenses to grow in future periods as we spend more money on expanding the sales in the U.S. market.

Interest income and expense and other income and expense

Interest expense decreased \$579,000, or 57%, to \$437,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, from \$1,016,000 in the prior year period, due to the payments made on debt over the prior year. Total outstanding debt decreased \$1,889,000 to \$335,000 at March 31, 2009, from \$2,224,000 at March 31, 2008. Interest income decreased \$478,000, to \$152,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, from \$630,000 in the prior year period, primarily due to the decrease in our interest bearing cash balance over the past year.

Other income and expense decreased \$2,536,000 to net other expense of \$64,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009, from net other income of \$2,472,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009. Primarily this decrease was due to our intercompany notes to Europe and Mexico being reclassified in our second fiscal quarter as long term, and therefore no foreign currency adjustment was required to revalue the notes after the second fiscal quarter. In prior periods, this account consisted of charges due to the fluctuation of foreign exchange rates, and the resulting gain or loss recognized for the revaluation of our intercompany notes payable denominated in non-local currencies.

Net Loss

Net loss for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009 was \$17,656,000, down \$2,683,000 from \$20,339,000 for the same period in the prior year. Stock compensation expense for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$2,263,000 and \$1,339,000, respectively. The total severance cost for this fiscal year was \$795,000.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We incurred net losses of \$17,656,000 for the year ended March 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, our accumulated deficit amounted to \$108,482,000. We had working capital of \$1,263,000 as of March 31, 2009. We need to raise additional capital from external sources in order to sustain our operations while continuing the longer term efforts contemplated under our business plan. We expect to continue incurring losses for the foreseeable future and must raise additional capital to pursue our product development initiatives, penetrate markets for the sale of our products and continue as a going concern. We cannot provide any assurance that it will raise additional capital. We believe that we have access to capital resources through possible public or private equity offerings, debt financings, corporate collaborations or other means; however, we have not secured any commitment for new financing at this time nor can we provide any assurance that new financing will be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. If the economic climate in the U.S. does not improve or continues to deteriorate, our ability to raise additional capital could be negatively impacted. If we are unable to secure additional capital, we may be required to curtail our research and development initiatives and take additional measures to reduce costs in order to conserve our cash in amounts sufficient to sustain operations and meet our obligations. These measures could cause significant delays in our efforts to commercialize our products in the United States, which is critical to the realization of our business plan and the future operations. These matters raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that may be necessary should the we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Sources of Liquidity

As of March 31, 2009, we had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$1,921,000. Since our inception, substantially all of our operations have been financed through sales of equity securities. Other sources of financing that we have used to date include our revenues, as well as various loans.

[Table of Contents](#)

Since our inception, substantially all of our operations have been financed through the sale of \$102,565,000 (net proceeds) of our common and convertible preferred stock. This includes, net proceeds \$21,936,000 raised in our initial public offering on January 30, 2007, net proceeds of \$9,124,000 raised in a private placement of common shares on August 13, 2007, net proceeds of \$12,613,000 raised through a registered direct placement from March 31, 2008 to April 1, 2008, net proceeds of \$1,514,000 raised through a private placement on February 6, 2009 and net proceeds of \$948,000 from a private placement on February 24, 2009 and proceeds of \$2,000,000 from a private placement on June 1, 2009.

In June 2006, we entered into a loan and security agreement with a financial institution to borrow a maximum of \$5,000,000. Under this facility we borrowed \$4,182,000, of which \$1,829,000 was paid in the year ended March 31, 2009. The loan was repaid in full at March 31, 2009.

Cash Flows

As of March 31, 2009, we had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$1,921,000, compared to \$18,823,000 at March 31, 2008.

Net cash used in operating activities during the twelve months ended March 31, 2009 was \$16,832,000, primarily due to the \$17,656,000 net loss for the period, and to a \$1,332,000 decrease in accounts payable, primarily the result of payments made for the placement agent fee related to our registered direct offering in March 2008 that were paid subsequent to March 31, 2008, and a \$1,588,000 decrease in accrued expenses, related mostly to accrued bonuses earned during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008. These uses of cash were offset in part by non-cash charges during the year ended March 31, 2009, including \$2,263,000 of stock-based compensation, \$768,000 of depreciation and amortization, \$304,000 of non-cash interest expense, and \$235,000 of loss on the disposal of capital equipment. Net cash used in operating activities during the year ended March 31, 2008 was \$17,446,000, primarily due to the \$20,339,000 net loss for the period, and to a lesser extent a \$989,000 increase in accrued bonuses during the year and \$2,594,000 of foreign currency gain. These uses of cash were offset in part by non-cash charges during the year ended March 31, 2008, including \$1,339,000 of stock-based compensation, \$740,000 of depreciation and amortization, \$522,000 of non-cash interest expense and \$1,519,000 increase in accrued expenses.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$424,000 and \$617,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Primarily this cash was used during the periods for purchasing lab and manufacturing equipment.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$376,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009. Stock was issued for \$2,499,000 and \$2,119,000 of outstanding debt was paid off during the period. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$17,832,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2008. This involved debt payments totaling \$6,090,000, which included the full payment on a \$4.0 million note payable to Robert Burlingame and \$21,737,000 of net funds received in connection with the issuance of common stock.

Contractual Obligations

As of March 31, 2009, we had contractual obligations as follows (long-term debt and capital lease amounts include principal payments only) (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period			
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	After 3 Years
Long-term debt	\$ 329	\$ 255	\$ 74	\$ —
Capital leases	6	6	—	—
Operating leases	628	387	241	—
Total	<u>\$ 963</u>	<u>\$ 648</u>	<u>\$ 315</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

We currently lease approximately 12,000 square feet of office, research and manufacturing space in Petaluma, California, which serves as our principal executive offices. We also lease approximately 28,000 square feet of office space in an adjacent building for research and development under the lease agreement. The lease was scheduled to

expire on September 30, 2007. On September 13, 2007, we entered into Amendment No. 4 to the property lease agreement for our facility in Petaluma, California. The amendment extended the lease expiration date to September 30, 2010. On May 18, 2009, we entered into Amendment No. 5 to the property lease agreement for our facility in Petaluma, California. Pursuant to the amendment, we agreed to surrender 8,534 square feet of office space and extended the lease expiration on the remaining lease to September 30, 2011.

We lease approximately 12,000 square feet of office and manufacturing space and approximately 5,000 square feet of warehouse space in Zapopan, Mexico, under a lease that expires in April 2009 and April 2011.

We lease approximately 5,000 square feet of office space and approximately 14,000 square feet of manufacturing and warehouse space in Sittard, the Netherlands, under a lease that was scheduled to expire on January 31, 2009. On February 15, 2008, we extended this lease to January 2011. On February 1, 2009, we amended this lease agreement. The amendment shortens the lease period from January 31, 2011 to September 1, 2009. As we expand, we may need to establish manufacturing facilities in other countries.

Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements

We incurred a net loss of \$17,656,000 for the twelve months ended March 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009 and 2008, our accumulated deficit amounted to \$108,482,000 and \$90,826,000, respectively. At March 31, 2009, our working capital amounted to \$1,263,000.

We need to raise additional capital from external sources in order to sustain our operations while continuing the longer term efforts contemplated under our business plan. We expect to continue incurring losses for the foreseeable future and must raise additional capital to pursue our product development initiatives, to penetrate markets for the sale of our products and for us to continue as a going concern. We may not raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise additional capital, we will be required to curtail certain operating activities, and implement additional cost reductions in an effort to conserve capital in amounts sufficient to sustain operations and meet our obligations for the next twelve months. These matters raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We believe that we have access to capital resources through public or private equity offerings, debt financings, corporate collaborations or other means; however, we have not secured any commitment for new financing at this time and new financing may not be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to secure additional capital, we may be required to curtail our research and development initiatives and take additional measures to reduce costs in order to conserve cash. These measures could cause significant delays in our efforts to commercialize our products in the United States, which is critical to the realization of our business plan and our future operations.

We have undertaken initiatives to reduce costs in an effort to conserve liquidity. Future pivotal trials will require the selection of a partner and must also be completed in order for us to commercialize Microcyn as a drug product in the United States. Commencement of the pivotal clinical trials will be delayed until we find a strategic partner to fund these trials. Without a strategic partner or additional capital, our pivotal clinical trials will be delayed for a period of time that is currently indeterminate.

Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the scope, rate of progress and cost of our clinical trials and other research and development activities;
- future clinical trial results;
- the terms and timing of any collaborative, licensing and other arrangements that we may establish;
- the cost and timing of regulatory approvals;
- the cost and delays in product development as a result of any changes in regulatory oversight applicable to our products;
- the cost and timing of establishing sales, marketing and distribution capabilities;
- the effect of competing technological and market developments;

[Table of Contents](#)

- the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and
- the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

We currently have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

ITEM 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

As a Smaller Reporting Company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and in Item 10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K, we are electing scaled disclosure reporting obligations and therefore are not required to provide the information requested by this Item.

ITEM 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.
Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	51
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	52
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	53
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	54
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	55
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	56

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Audit Committee of the
Board of Directors and Shareholders
of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. and Subsidiaries, as of March 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company incurred a \$17,656,000 loss and used \$16,832,000 of cash in its operating activities for the year ended March 31, 2009. The Company has limited capital resources and must raise additional capital in order to sustain operations. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans with respect to these matters are also discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

/s/ Marcum LLP

New York, NY
June 10, 2009

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,921	\$ 18,823
Accounts receivable, net	923	770
Inventory, net	340	259
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	758	1,098
Total current assets	3,942	20,950
Property and equipment, net	1,432	2,303
Debt issuance costs, net	—	304
Other assets	73	55
Total assets	<u>\$ 5,447</u>	<u>\$ 23,612</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,565	\$ 2,977
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	853	2,460
Current portion of long-term debt	255	1,994
Current portion of capital lease obligations	6	19
Total current liabilities	2,679	7,450
Deferred revenue	425	523
Long-term debt, less current portion	74	205
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	—	6
Total liabilities	3,178	8,184
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity		
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and 2008	—	—
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, 18,402,820 and 15,905,613 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	113,803	109,027
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(3,054)	(2,775)
Accumulated deficit	(108,482)	(90,826)
Total stockholders' equity	2,269	15,428
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 5,447</u>	<u>\$ 23,612</u>

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
(In thousands, except per share amounts)		
Revenues		
Product	\$ 4,415	\$ 2,881
Service	973	954
Total revenues	5,388	3,835
Cost of revenues		
Product	1,673	1,774
Service	913	977
Total cost of revenues	2,586	2,751
Gross profit	2,802	1,084
Operating expenses		
Research and development	6,252	9,778
Selling, general and administrative	13,857	13,731
Total operating expenses	20,109	23,509
Loss from operations	(17,307)	(22,425)
Interest expense	(437)	(1,016)
Interest income	152	630
Other income (expense), net	(64)	2,472
Net loss available to common stockholders	\$ (17,656)	\$ (20,339)
Net loss per common share: basic and diluted	\$ (1.09)	\$ (1.60)
Weighted-average number of shares used in per common share calculations:		
Basic and diluted	16,221	12,737
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax		
Net loss	\$ (17,656)	\$ (20,339)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(279)	(2,411)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (17,935)	\$ (22,750)

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock (\$0.0001 par Value)		Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount				
Balance, April 1, 2007	11,844,411	\$ 1	\$ 85,751	\$ (364)	\$ (70,487)	\$ 14,901
Issuance of common stock in connection with August 13, 2007 offering, net of commissions, expenses and other offering costs	1,262,500	—	9,124	—	—	9,124
Issuance of common stock in connection with March 31, 2008 offering, net of commissions, expenses and other offering costs	2,634,578	—	12,613	—	—	12,613
Issuance of common stock in connection with exercise of stock options	119,375	—	67	—	—	67
Issuance of common stock in connection with exercise of warrants	44,749	1	134	—	—	135
Amortization of stock-based compensation	—	—	148	—	—	148
Non-employee stock-based compensation	—	—	7	—	—	7
Employee stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS No. 123R, net of forfeitures	—	—	1,006	—	—	1,006
Fair value of common stock purchase warrants issued to non-employees	—	—	177	—	—	177
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	(2,411)	—	(2,411)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(20,339)	(20,339)
Balance, March 31, 2008	15,905,613	\$ 2	\$ 109,027	\$ (2,775)	\$ (90,826)	\$ 15,428
Issuance of common stock in connection with April 1, 2008 closing of offering, net of commissions, expenses and other offering costs	18,095	—	36	—	—	36
Issuance of common stock in connection with February 6, 2009 offering, net of commissions, expenses and other offering costs	1,499,411	—	1,514	—	—	1,514
Issuance of common stock in connection with February 24, 2009 offering, net of commissions, expenses and other offering costs	854,701	—	948	—	—	948
Issuance of common stock in connection with exercise of stock options	105,000	—	15	—	—	15
Issuance of common stock for services	20,000	—	21	—	—	21
Amortization of stock-based compensation	—	—	101	—	—	101
Employee stock-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS No. 123R, net of forfeitures	—	—	2,035	—	—	2,035
Fair value of common stock purchase warrants issued to non-employees	—	—	106	—	—	106
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	(279)	—	(279)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(17,656)	(17,656)
Balance, March 31, 2009	18,402,820	\$ 2	\$ 113,803	\$ (3,054)	\$ (108,482)	\$ 2,269

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss from operations	\$ (17,656)	\$ (20,339)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	768	740
Provision for doubtful accounts	29	57
Provision for obsolete inventory	39	230
Stock-based compensation	2,263	1,339
Non-cash interest expense	304	522
Foreign currency transaction losses (gains)	64	(2,594)
Loss on disposal of assets	235	5
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(379)	580
Inventories	(177)	(180)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	598	282
Accounts payable	(1,332)	393
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,588)	1,519
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(16,832)</u>	<u>(17,446)</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(393)	(617)
Long-term deposits	(31)	—
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(424)</u>	<u>(617)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of offering costs	2,499	21,737
Proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options and warrants	15	202
Cash restricted for repayment of debt	—	2,000
Principal payments on debt	(2,119)	(6,090)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(19)	(17)
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>376</u>	<u>17,832</u>
Effect of exchange rate on cash and cash equivalents	(22)	4
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(16,902)	(227)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	18,823	19,050
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	<u>\$ 1,921</u>	<u>\$ 18,823</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for interest	<u>\$ 154</u>	<u>\$ 591</u>
Non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Equipment and insurance premiums financed	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ 253</u>

The accompanying footnotes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 — The Company

Organization

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated under the laws of the State of California in April 1999 and was reincorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in December 2006. The Company's principal office is located in Petaluma, California. The Company develops, manufactures and markets a family of products intended to prevent and treat infections in chronic and acute wounds. The Company's platform technology, called Microcyn, is a proprietary oxychlorine small molecule formulation that is designed to treat a wide range of organisms that cause disease, or pathogens, including viruses, fungi, spores and antibiotic resistant strains of bacteria. The Company conducts its business worldwide, with significant subsidiaries in Europe and Mexico.

NOTE 2 — Going Concern, Liquidity and Financial Condition

The Company incurred net losses of \$17,656,000 for the year ended March 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, the Company's accumulated deficit amounted to \$108,482,000. The Company had working capital of \$1,263,000 as of March 31, 2009. The Company needs to raise additional capital from external sources in order to sustain its operations while continuing the longer term efforts contemplated under its business plan. The Company expects to continue incurring losses for the foreseeable future and must raise additional capital to pursue its product development initiatives, penetrate markets for the sale of its products and continue as a going concern. The Company cannot provide any assurance that it will raise additional capital. Management believes that the Company has access to capital resources through possible public or private equity offerings, debt financings, corporate collaborations or other means; however, the Company has not secured any commitment for new financing at this time nor can it provide any assurance that new financing will be available on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. If the economic climate in the U.S. does not improve or continues to deteriorate, the Company's ability to raise additional capital could be negatively impacted. If the Company is unable to secure additional capital, it may be required to curtail its research and development initiatives and take additional measures to reduce costs in order to conserve its cash in amounts sufficient to sustain operations and meet its obligations. These measures could cause significant delays in the Company's efforts to commercialize its products in the United States, which is critical to the realization of its business plan and the future operations of the Company. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that may be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

As described in Note 13, on April 1, 2008, the Company conducted a closing of 18,095 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share, and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 9,047 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.85 per share for gross proceeds of \$95,000 (net proceeds of \$36,000 after deducting the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses).

As described in Note 13, on February 6, 2009, the Company entered into Purchase Agreements with a group of accredited investors whereby it raised \$1,752,803 in gross proceeds (net proceeds of \$1,514,000 after deducting the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses) through a private placement of 1,499,411 shares.

As described in Note 13, on February 24, 2009, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement with Robert Burlingame, a director of the Company, and an accredited investor. Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the investors agreed to make a \$3,000,000 investment in the Company. The investors paid \$1,000,000 (net proceeds of \$948,000 after deducting offering expenses) for 854,701 shares of common stock on February 24, 2009 and agreed to purchase 1,709,402 shares of common stock for \$2,000,000 no later than August 1, 2009.

On June 1, 2009, the Company issued the remaining securities related to the February 24, 2009 private placement (Note 13). The issuance comprised of an aggregate of 1,709,402 shares of common stock, Series A Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of common stock and Series B Warrants to purchase an

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

aggregate of 1,333,333 shares of common stock to the Investors pro rata to the investment amount of each Investor. The Company received \$2,000,000 in connection with this transaction. (Note 18).

The Company has used, or intends to use, the proceeds from the offerings described above principally for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

NOTE 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Aquamed Technologies, Inc., Oculus Technologies of Mexico S.A. de C.V. (“OTM”), Oculus Innovative Sciences Netherlands, B.V. (“OIS Europe”), and Oculus Innovative Sciences K.K. (“OIS Japan”). On January 20, 2009, the Company dissolved OIS Japan. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent liabilities at the dates of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates and assumptions include reserves and write-downs related to receivables and inventories, deferred taxes and related valuation allowances and valuation of equity instruments.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue from sales of its products to hospitals, medical centers, doctors, pharmacies, and distributors. The Company sells its products directly to third parties and to distributors through various cancelable distribution agreements. The Company has also entered into agreements to license its technology.

The Company also provides regulatory compliance testing and quality assurance services to medical device and pharmaceutical companies.

The Company applies the revenue recognition principles set forth in Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin (“SAB”) 104 “Revenue Recognition” with respect to all of its revenue. Accordingly, the Company records revenue when (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) delivery has occurred, (iii) the fee is fixed or determinable, and (iv) collectability of the sale is reasonably assured.

The Company requires all of its product sales to be supported by evidence of a sale transaction that clearly indicates the selling price to the customer, shipping terms and payment terms. Evidence of an arrangement generally consists of a contract or purchase order approved by the customer. The Company has ongoing relationships with certain customers from which it customarily accepts orders by telephone in lieu of purchase orders.

The Company recognizes revenue at the time in which it receives a confirmation that the goods were either tendered at their destination when shipped “FOB destination,” or transferred to a shipping agent when shipped “FOB shipping point.” Delivery to the customer is deemed to have occurred when the customer takes title to the product. Generally, title passes to the customer upon shipment, but could occur when the customer receives the product based on the terms of the agreement with the customer.

The selling prices of all goods that the Company sells are fixed, and agreed to with the customer, prior to shipment. Selling prices are generally based on established list prices. The Company does not customarily permit its customers to return any of its products for monetary refunds or credit against completed or future sales. The

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Company, from time to time, may replace expired goods on a discretionary basis. The Company records these types of adjustments, when made, as a reduction of revenue. Sales adjustments were insignificant during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

The Company evaluates the creditworthiness of new customers and monitors the creditworthiness of its existing customers to determine whether events or changes in their financial circumstances would raise doubt as to the collectability of a sale at the time in which a sale is made. Payment terms on sales made in the United States are generally 30 days and internationally, generally range from 30 days to 90 days.

In the event a sale is made to a customer under circumstances in which collectability is not reasonably assured, the Company either requires the customer to remit payment prior to shipment or defers recognition of the revenue until payment is received. The Company maintains a reserve for amounts which may not be collectible due to risk of credit losses.

Additionally, the Company's treatment for recognizing revenue related to distributors' that have the inability to provide inventory or product sell-through reports on a timely basis, is to defer and recognize revenue when payment is received. The Company believes the receipt of payment is the best indication of product sell-through.

The Company has entered into distribution agreements in Europe. Recognition of revenue and related cost of revenue from product sales is deferred until the product is sold from the distributors to their customers.

When the Company receives letters of credit and the terms of the sale provide for no right of return except to replace defective product, revenue is recognized when the letter of credit becomes effective and the product is shipped.

License revenue is generated through agreements with strategic partners for the commercialization of Microcyn products. The terms of the agreements typically include non-refundable upfront fees. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 00-21, "Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables", the Company analyzes multiple element arrangements to determine whether the elements can be separated. Analysis is performed at the inception of the arrangement and as each product is delivered. If a product or service is not separable, the combined deliverables are accounted for as a single unit of accounting and recognized over the performance obligation period.

Assuming the elements meet the EITF No. 00-21 criteria for separation and the SAB 104 requirements for recognition, the revenue recognition methodology prescribed for each unit of accounting is summarized below:

When appropriate, the Company defers recognition of non-refundable upfront fees. If it has continuing performance obligations then such up-front fees are deferred and recognized over the period of continuing involvement.

The Company recognizes royalty revenues from licensed products upon the sale of the related products.

Revenue from consulting contracts is recognized as services are provided. Revenue from testing contracts is recognized as tests are completed and a final report is sent to the customer.

Sales Tax and Value Added Taxes

In accordance with the guidance of EITF Issue No. 06-3, "How Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement", the Company accounts for sales taxes and value added taxes imposed on its goods and services on a net basis in the consolidated statement of operations.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents may be invested in money market funds, commercial paper, variable rate demand instruments, and certificates of deposits.

Restricted Cash

In connection with the Company's building lease agreement for its Netherlands facility (Note 12), the Company is required to maintain a security deposit in a restricted cash account. On February 1, 2009, the Company and the property owner entered into a lease termination agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, the lease expiration date was changed from January 31, 2011 to September 1, 2009. Accordingly, the Company recorded \$26,000 of restricted cash in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the March 31, 2009 accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Additionally, the Company recorded \$55,000 of restricted cash in other long-term assets in the March 31, 2008 accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Concentration of Credit Risk and Major Customers

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist principally of cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Cash and cash equivalents are maintained in financial institutions in the United States, Mexico and the Netherlands. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of default by these financial institutions for amounts in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insured limits. Cash and cash equivalents held in foreign banks are intentionally kept at minimal levels, and therefore have minimal credit risk associated with them.

The Company grants credit to its business customers, which are primarily located in Mexico, Europe and the United States. Collateral is generally not required for trade receivables. The Company maintains allowances for potential credit losses. Two customers represented a total of 29% and two customer represented 28% of the net accounts receivable balance at March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, three customers represented 21% and three customers represented 23% of sales, respectively.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are recorded net of allowances for cash discounts for prompt payment, doubtful accounts, and sales returns. Estimates for cash discounts and sales returns are based on analysis of contractual terms and historical trends. With respect to government chargebacks, the Mexican Ministry of Health's ("MOH") policy is to levy penalties on its vendors for product received after scheduled delivery times. The Company has not incurred any such chargebacks to date; however, such penalties (if incurred) would be recorded as a reduction of revenue and the related accounts receivable balance.

The Company's policy is to reserve for uncollectible accounts based on its best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in its existing accounts receivable. The Company periodically reviews its accounts receivable to determine whether an allowance for doubtful accounts is necessary based on an analysis of past due accounts and other factors that may indicate that the realization of an account may be in doubt. Other factors that the Company considers include its existing contractual obligations, historical payment patterns of its customers and individual customer circumstances, an analysis of days sales outstanding by customer and geographic region, and a review of the local economic environment and its potential impact on government funding and reimbursement practices. Account balances deemed to be uncollectible are charged to the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. The allowance for doubtful accounts at March 31, 2009 and 2008 represents probable credit losses in the amounts of \$51,000 and \$31,000, respectively.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, cost being determined on a standard cost basis (which approximates actual cost on a first-in, first-out basis), or market.

Due to changing market conditions, estimated future requirements, age of the inventories on hand and production of new products, the Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand and records a provision to write down excess and obsolete inventory to its estimated net realizable value. The Company recorded reserves to reduce the carrying amounts of inventories to their net realizable value in the amounts of \$71,000 and \$208,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts payable and accrued liabilities are carried at cost, which management believes approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The fair value of capital lease obligations and equipment loans approximates its carrying amounts as a market rate of interest is attached to their repayment.

The Company measures the fair value of financial assets and liabilities based on the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("Statement No. 157") which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Effective April 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of Statement No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities, as well as for any other assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. The adoption of the provisions of Statement No. 157 did not materially impact the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Statement No. 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Statement No. 157 also establishes a fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Statement No. 157 describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1 — quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 — quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable

Level 3 — inputs that are unobservable (for example cash flow modeling inputs based on assumptions)

At March 31, 2009 there were no assets or liabilities subject to additional disclosure under Statement No. 157.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation of property and equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Depreciation of leasehold improvements is computed using the straight-line method over the lesser of the estimated useful life of the improvement or the remaining term of the lease. Estimated useful asset life by classification is as follows:

	<u>Years</u>
Office equipment	3
Manufacturing, lab and other equipment	5
Furniture and fixtures	7

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Upon retirement or sale, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the consolidated balance sheet and the resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically reviews the carrying values of its long lived assets in accordance with SFAS 144 "Long Lived Assets" when events or changes in circumstances would indicate that it is more likely than not that their carrying values may exceed their realizable values, and records impairment charges when considered necessary. Specific potential indicators of impairment include, but are not necessarily limited to:

- a significant decrease in the fair value of an asset;
- a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used or a significant physical change in an asset;
- a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that affects the value of an asset;
- an adverse action or assessment by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or another regulator;
- an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected to acquire or construct an asset; and operating or cash flow losses combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with an income-producing asset.

When circumstances indicate that an impairment may have occurred, the Company tests such assets for recoverability by comparing the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from the use of such assets and their eventual disposition to their carrying amounts. In estimating these future cash flows, assets and liabilities are grouped at the lowest level for which there are identifiable cash flows that are largely independent of the cash flows generated by other such groups. If the undiscounted future cash flows are less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss, measured as the excess of the carrying value of the asset over its estimated fair value, will be recognized. The cash flow estimates used in such calculations are based on estimates and assumptions, using all available information that management believes is reasonable.

Research and Development

Research and development expense is charged to operations as incurred and consists primarily of personnel expenses, clinical and regulatory services and supplies. For the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, research and development expense amounted to \$6,252,000 and \$9,778,000, respectively.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expenses are incurred. Advertising costs amounted to \$170,000 and \$130,000, for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Advertising costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Shipping and Handling Costs

The Company applies the guidelines enumerated in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue ("EITF") 00-10 "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs" with respect to its shipping and handling costs. Accordingly, the Company classifies amounts billed to customers related to shipping and handling in sale transactions as revenue. Shipping and handling costs incurred are recorded in cost of product revenues. For the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded shipping and handling costs of \$24,000 and \$20,000, respectively.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Foreign Currency Reporting

The consolidated financial statements are presented in United States Dollars in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (“SFAS”) No. 52, “Foreign Currency Translation” (“SFAS 52”). Accordingly, the Company’s subsidiary, OTM uses the local currency (Mexican Pesos) as its functional currency, OIS Europe uses the local currency (Euro) as its functional currency and OIS Japan uses the local currency (Yen) as its functional currency. Assets and liabilities are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, and revenue and expense accounts are translated at average exchange rates during the period. Resulting translation adjustments were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at March 31, 2009 and March 31, 2008. On January 20, 2009 the Company dissolved OIS Japan. This transaction resulted in a reclassification adjustment of \$96,000 from other comprehensive loss to other income in the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2009.

Foreign currency transaction gains (losses) relate primarily to working capital loans that the Company has made to its subsidiary OIS Japan and trade payables and receivables between subsidiaries OTM and OIS Europe. These transactions are expected to be settled in the foreseeable future. The Company recorded foreign currency transaction gains (losses) of \$(64,000) and \$2,594,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The related gains (losses) were recorded in other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

The Company and its OTM and OIS Europe subsidiaries periodically re-evaluate the operating plans and liquidity circumstances of each operating subsidiaries. The Company and its Mexico and Netherlands subsidiaries determined that the subsidiaries lack the ability to repay the outstanding balances of their respective intercompany loans in the foreseeable future. As a result, the Company renegotiated the terms of its notes with its Mexico and Netherlands subsidiaries. The Company’s board of directors memorialized the working capital loan agreements. The terms of the new loan agreements extend the maturity date of the loans plus all accrued interest for an additional five years to April 1, 2013. In the event the loans cannot be settled at the maturity date, the parties may agree that the loans will be renewed for periods of three years. The Company and its subsidiaries have agreed that interest will accrue at the initial rate of 4.65% and shall be adjusted upward to the applicable federal rate, or AFR, for mid-term debt established by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service if the AFR for mid-term debt is higher than the initial rate on the first day of each calendar quarter.

Due to the renegotiation of the loans and the lack of ability to predict if the loans will be settled in the foreseeable future, the Company believes it was appropriate to evaluate its treatment of foreign exchange gains and losses resulting from the translation of the loans from local currency to U.S. Dollars. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS 52, if it is determined that an intercompany loan will not be repaid in the foreseeable future, foreign exchange gains and losses related to the translation of the loans from local currency to U.S. Dollars should be classified as other comprehensive income and loss. The Company believes that given the inability to foresee settlement of the loans, it is appropriate to record the exchange gains and losses related to these loans in other comprehensive income and loss.

Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to April 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of APB No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees,” and its related interpretations and applied the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 148, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123.” The Company used the minimum value method to measure the fair value of awards issued prior to April 1, 2006 with respect to its application of the disclosure requirements under SFAS No. 123.

Effective April 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R) “Share Based Payment” (“SFAS 123(R)”). This statement is a revision of SFAS No. 123, and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, and its related implementation

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

guidance. SFAS 123(R) addresses all forms of share based payment (“SBP”) awards including shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, stock options, restricted stock and stock appreciation rights. Under SFAS 123(R), SBP awards result in a cost that will be measured at fair value on the awards’ grant date, based on the estimated number of awards that are expected to vest and will result in a charge to operations.

The Company had a choice of two attribution methods for allocating compensation costs under SFAS 123(R): the “straight-line method,” which allocates expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the last separately vesting portion of an award, or the “graded vesting attribution method,” which allocates expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was, in substance, multiple awards. The Company chose the former method and amortized the fair value of each option on a straight-line basis over the requisite period of the last separately vesting portion of each award.

Under SFAS 123(R), nonpublic entities, including those that become public entities after June 15, 2005, that used the minimum value method of measuring equity share options and similar instruments for either recognition or pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123 are required to apply SFAS 123(R) prospectively to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased, or cancelled after the date of adoption. In addition, SFAS 123(R), requires such entities to continue accounting for any portion of awards outstanding at the date of initial application using the accounting principles originally applied to those awards. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense relating to awards granted prior to April 1, 2006 that are expected to vest in periods ending after April 1, 2006 were being recorded in accordance with the provisions of APB 25 and its related interpretive guidance.

The Company has adopted the prospective method with respect to accounting for its transition to SFAS 123(R). Accordingly, the Company recognized in salaries and related expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations \$101,000 and \$148,000 of stock-based compensation expense during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which represents the intrinsic value amortization of options granted prior to April 1, 2006 that the Company is continuing to account for using the recognition and measurement principles prescribed under APB 25. The Company also recognized in salaries and related expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations \$2,035,000 and \$1,006,000 of stock-based compensation expense during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which represents the amortization of the fair value of options granted subsequent to adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Non-Employee Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) and EITF Issue No. 96-18, “Accounting for Equity Instruments That are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services,” (“EITF 96-18”) which requires that such equity instruments are recorded at their fair value on the measurement date. The measurement of stock-based compensation is subject to periodic adjustment as the underlying equity instrument vests. Non-employee stock-based compensation charges are amortized over the vesting period.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (“SFAS No. 109”). Under SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and net operating loss and credit carryforwards using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to impact taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Interpretation 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes” (“FIN 48”), which became effective for the Company beginning April 1, 2007. FIN 48 addresses how tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

financial statements. Under FIN 48, the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position can be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. The adoption of FIN 48 had no impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Comprehensive Loss

Other comprehensive loss includes all changes in stockholders' equity during a period from non-owner sources and is reported in the consolidated statement of stockholders' equity. To date, other comprehensive loss consists of changes in accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments. Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) at March 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$(3,054,000) and \$(2,775,000), respectively.

Net Loss Per Share

The Company computes net loss per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128 "Earnings Per Share" and has applied the guidance enumerated in Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 98 ("SAB Topic 4D") with respect to evaluating its issuances of equity securities during all periods presented.

Under SFAS No. 128, basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net loss per share available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period and excludes the effects of any potentially dilutive securities. Diluted earnings per share, if presented, would include the dilution that would occur upon the exercise or conversion of all potentially dilutive securities into common stock using the "treasury stock" and/or "if converted" methods as applicable. The computation of basic loss per share for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, excludes potentially dilutive securities because their inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(In thousands)	
Anti-dilutive securities excluded from the computation of basic and diluted net loss per share are as follows:		
Options to purchase common stock	3,964	2,624
Restricted stock units	30	60
Warrants to purchase common stock	<u>7,056</u>	<u>3,327</u>
	<u>11,050</u>	<u>6,011</u>

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" requires that the Company disclose estimated fair values of financial instruments. The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments. The carrying amounts of the Company's line of credit obligation and other long term obligations approximate fair value as such instruments feature contractual interest rates or have effective yields that are consistent with instruments of similar risk.

Common Stock Purchase Warrants and Other Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company accounts for the issuance of common stock purchase warrants issued and other free standing derivative financial instruments in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19 "Accounting for Derivative

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock" ("EITF 00-19"). Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classifies as equity any contracts that (i) require physical settlement or net-share settlement or (ii) gives the Company a choice of net-cash settlement or settlement in its own shares (physical settlement or net-share settlement). The Company classifies as assets or liabilities any contracts that (i) require net-cash settlement (including a requirement to net cash settle the contract if an event occurs and if that event is outside the control of the Company) or (ii) gives the counterparty a choice of net-cash settlement or settlement in shares (physical settlement or net-share settlement). The Company assesses classification of its freestanding derivatives at each reporting date to determine whether a change in classification between assets and liabilities is required. The Company determined that its freestanding derivatives, which principally consists of warrants to purchase common stock, satisfied the criteria for classification as equity instruments at March 31, 2009 and 2008.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (SFAS 162). SFAS 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework, or hierarchy, for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The guidance in SFAS 162 replaces that prescribed in Statement on Auditing Standards No. 69, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, and becomes effective 60 days following the SEC's approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board's auditing amendments to AU Section 411, *The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*. The adoption of SFAS 162 will not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") APB 14-1, "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)." This FSP clarifies that convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) are not addressed by paragraph 12 of APB Opinion No. 14, *Accounting for Convertible Debt and Debt Issued with Stock Purchase Warrants*. Additionally, this FSP specifies that issuers of such instruments should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will apply this Standard prospectively to convertible debt instruments issued after March 31, 2009.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities". This FSP addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS) under the two-class method described in paragraphs 60 and 61 of FASB Statement No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. The Company is in the process of determining the impact FSP EITF 03-6-1 will have on its consolidated financial statements. The Company is in the process of determining the impact EITF 03-6-1 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock". This issue addresses the determination of whether an instrument (or an embedded feature) is indexed to an entity's own stock, which is the first part of the scope exception in paragraph 11(a) of Statement 133. This issue is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is in the process of determining the impact EITF 07-5 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measurement of fair value and expands disclosure

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, except as amended by FASB Staff Position (“FSP”) SFAS 157-2 which is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. FSP SFAS 157-2 allows partial adoption relating to fair value measurements for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The Company adopted SFAS 157 effective April 1, 2008, except as it applies to the non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities subject to FSP SFAS 157-2. The Company will adopt the remaining provisions of SFAS 157 in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and is currently evaluating the impact adoption may have on its consolidated financial statements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS 157 applies to all financial instruments that are measured and reported on a fair value basis.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. The FSP clarifies the application of FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, in a market that is not active and provides an example to illustrate key considerations in determining the fair value of a financial asset when the market for that financial asset is not active. The FSP is effective October 10, 2008, and for prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. Revisions resulting from a change in the valuation technique or its application should be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate following the guidance in FASB Statement No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections*. The adoption FAS 157-3 did not have an impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB, the EITF, the SEC and or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

NOTE 4 — Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Accounts receivable	\$ 974	\$ 801
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(51)	(31)
	\$ 923	\$ 770

Allowance for doubtful accounts activities are as follows (in thousands):

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions Charged to Operating Expenses	Deductions Write-Offs	Balance at End of Year
Year Ended March 31				
2008	\$ 207	\$ 57	\$ (233)	\$ 31
2009	\$ 31	\$ 29	\$ (9)	\$ 51

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

NOTE 5 — Inventories

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Raw materials	\$ 277	\$ 361
Finished goods	134	106
	411	467
Less: inventory allowances	(71)	(208)
	\$ 340	\$ 259

Reserve for obsolete inventories activities are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ended March 31	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions Charged to Cost of Product Revenues	Deductions Write-Offs	Balance at End of Year
2008	\$ 94	\$ 230	\$ (116)	\$ 208
2009	\$ 208	\$ 39	\$ (176)	\$ 71

NOTE 6 — Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Prepaid expenses	\$ 657	\$ 691
Value Added Tax receivable	23	32
Other current assets	78	375
	\$ 758	\$ 1,098

NOTE 7 — Debt Issuance Costs

Debt issuance costs consists of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Fair value of common stock purchase warrants issued in connection with a Line of Credit (Note 10)	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,046
Cash paid for debt offering expenses	28	28
	1,074	1,074
Less: accumulated amortization	(1,074)	(770)
	\$ —	\$ 304

During the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$304,000 and \$522,000 of non-cash interest expense related to the amortization of debt issue costs, respectively. These amounts are included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

NOTE 8 — Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Manufacturing, lab, and other equipment	\$ 3,067	\$ 3,387
Office equipment	421	555
Furniture and fixtures	60	201
Leasehold improvements	252	436
	<u>3,800</u>	<u>4,579</u>
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,368)	(2,276)
	<u>\$ 1,432</u>	<u>\$ 2,303</u>

Property and equipment includes \$186,000 of equipment purchases that were financed under capital lease obligations as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 (Note 11). The accumulated amortization on these assets amounted to \$181,000 and \$168,000 as of March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization expense (including amortization of leased assets) amounted to \$768,000 and \$740,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 9 — Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	March 31	
	2009	2008
Salaries and related costs	\$ 394	\$ 1,339
Professional fees	90	592
Deferred revenue	272	359
Other	97	170
	<u>\$ 853</u>	<u>\$ 2,460</u>

NOTE 10 — Long-Term Debt

On May 1, 1999, the Company issued a note payable in the amount of \$64,000 with interest at 8% per annum and a final payment due on December 31, 2009. The remaining balance on this obligation, which amounts to \$23,000 including accrued interest, is included in the current portion of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2009.

From February 2005 to March 2006, the Company issued various notes for aggregate principal amounting to \$182,000 with interest rates ranging from 6.25% to 14.44% per annum. The proceeds of these notes were used to purchase automobiles and software. The Company made principal payments on these notes of \$48,000 and \$36,000, during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Aggregate interest expense under these obligations amounted to \$6,000 and \$8,100 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These notes are payable in aggregate monthly installments of \$3,700 including interest through March 14, 2011. The remaining balance of these notes amounted to \$39,000 at March 31, 2009, of which \$28,000 is included in the current portion of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

On June 14, 2006, the Company entered into a credit facility providing it with up to \$5,000,000 of available credit. The facility permitted the Company to borrow up to a maximum of \$2,750,000 for growth capital.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

\$1,250,000 for working capital based on eligible accounts receivable and \$1,000,000 in equipment financing. In June 2006, the Company drew an aggregate of \$4,182,000 of borrowings under this facility. These borrowings were payable in 30 to 33 fixed monthly installments with interest at rates ranging from 12.4% to 12.7% per annum, with the final due payment on March 31, 2009. The Company issued the lender warrants to purchase up to 71,521 shares of its Series B convertible preferred stock upon originating the loan which automatically converted into warrants to purchase 71,521 shares of the Company's common stock at the closing of the Company's initial public offering on January 30, 2007. The aggregate fair value of all warrants issued to the lender under this arrangement amounted to \$1,046,000 (Note 13). This amount was recorded as debt issuance costs and was amortized as interest expense over the term of the credit facility. For the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$304,000 and \$429,000 of non-cash interest expense related to the amortization of debt issue costs, respectively. In connection with these notes, for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made principal payments of \$1,829,000 and \$1,501,000, respectively. Additionally, for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made interest payments of \$133,000 and \$331,000, respectively. The final payment was made in connection with this facility on March 31, 2009. The Company does not have the ability to borrow against this facility in the future.

On May 5, 2006, the Company entered into a note agreement for \$69,000 with interest at the rate of 7.94% per annum. This note is related to the purchase of an automobile. This note is payable in sixty monthly installments of \$1,200 through May 2012. The Company made principal payments of \$10,800 and \$9,800 during the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additionally, the Company made interest payments of \$3,700 and \$4,700 during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The remaining balance of this note amounted to \$41,000 at March 31, 2009, including \$11,800 in the current portion of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

From July 1, 2006 to March 25, 2007, the Company entered into note agreements for \$805,000 with interest rates ranging from 5.6% to 9.7% per annum. These notes were used to finance insurance premiums. These notes were payable in aggregate monthly installments of \$66,000 through November 25, 2007. During the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company made principal payments of \$480,000. Additionally, during the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company made interest payments of \$15,000. On July 3, 2007, the Company paid all outstanding principal and interest under these financings.

On November 7, 2006, the Company signed a loan agreement with Robert Burlingame, one of the Company's directors, in the amount of \$4,000,000, which was funded on November 10, 2006 and accrued interest at an annual rate of 7%. Concurrently, Mr. Burlingame became a consultant to the Company under a two-year consulting agreement, and was appointed to fill a vacancy on the Company's board of directors. At the time the principal was advanced to the Company, a broker who acted as the agent in this transaction, was paid a fee of \$50,000 and was granted a warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$18.00 per share. The aggregate fair value of all warrants issued to the agent under this arrangement amounted to \$104,000 (Note 13). This amount in addition to the \$50,000 cash payment was recorded as debt issuance costs and was amortized as interest expense over the term of the credit facility. During the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company recorded \$93,000 of non-cash interest expense related to the amortization of the debt issuance costs. The Company paid principal of \$2,000,000 on August 15, 2007, and paid the remaining \$2,000,000 and accrued interest on August 31, 2007. During the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company paid \$222,000 of interest expense related to this note.

On April 12, 2007, the Company entered into a note agreement to purchase an automobile for \$75,800 with interest at the rate of 7.75% per annum. This note is payable in monthly installments of \$1,500 through April 2012. During the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made principal payments of \$13,900 and \$11,600, respectively. Additionally, during the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made interest payments of \$4,500 and \$5,300, respectively. The remaining balance of this note amounted to \$49,000 at March 31, 2009, including \$15,000 in the current portion of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

On March 1, 2008, the Company entered into a note agreement for \$176,600 with an interest rate of 5.6% per annum. The note was used to finance insurance premiums. The note was payable in monthly installments of \$14,800 through January 1, 2009. During the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made interest payments of \$4,500 and \$0, respectively. During the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company made principal payments of \$142,800 and \$33,800, respectively. The final payment on this note was made on January 1, 2009.

On January 25, 2009 and February 16, 2009, the Company entered into a note agreements for \$249,000 with an interest rate of 4.0% per annum. The notes were used to finance insurance premiums. The notes are payable in monthly installments of \$25,500 through November 25, 2009. During the year ended March 31, 2009, the Company made interest payments of \$1,200. During the year ended March 31, 2009, the Company made principal payments of \$73,800. The remaining balance of this note amounted to \$176,500 at March 31, 2009 which is included in the current portion of long-term debt in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

A summary of principal payments due in years subsequent to March 31, 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

For Years Ending March 31,	
2010	\$ 255
2011	39
2012	31
2013	4
Total principal payments	329
Less: current portion	(255)
Long-term portion	<u>\$ 74</u>

NOTE 11 — Capital Lease Obligations

During the period from September 1, 2003 through October 1, 2003, the Company entered into various capital leases under which the aggregate present value of the minimum lease payments amounted to \$40,000. The present value of the minimum lease payments was calculated using discount rates ranging from 13% to 18%. Lease payments, including amounts representing interest, amounted to \$11,300 and \$12,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The final payment was made on these capital leases on September 1, 2008.

On November 10, 2004, the Company entered into a capital lease under which the present value of the minimum lease payments amounted to \$37,000. The present value of the minimum lease payments was calculated using a discount rate of 10%. Lease payments, including amounts representing interest, amounted to \$9,000 and \$9,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The remaining principal balance on this obligation amounted to \$6,000 at March 31, 2009, which is included in the current portion of capital lease obligations in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The Company recorded interest expense in connection with these lease agreements in the amounts of \$1,700 and \$4,500 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 12 — Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

The Company has entered into various non-cancelable operating leases, primarily for office facility space, that expire at various times through April 2012.

On September 13, 2007, the Company entered into Amendment No. 4 to the property lease agreement for its facility in Petaluma, California. The amendment extends the lease expiration date to September 30, 2010. On February 1, 2009, the Company amended its property lease agreement for its facility in Sittard, the Netherlands. The

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

amendment shortens the lease period from January 31, 2011 to September 1, 2009. Pursuant to the amendment, by March 31, 2009, the Company agreed to prepay the property owner \$96,000 which represents the lease payments for the period of April 1, 2009 to September 1, 2009.

Minimum lease payments for non-cancelable operating leases, including the effects of the lease extension described above, are as follows (in thousands):

For Years Ending March 31,

2010	\$387
2011	235
2012	6
Total minimum lease payments	<u>\$628</u>

Rent expense amounted to \$628,000 and \$676,000 for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Legal Matters

In June 2006, the Company received a written communication from the grantor of a license to an earlier version of its technology indicating that such license was terminated due to an alleged breach of the license agreement by the Company. The license agreement extends to the Company's use of the technology in Japan only. While the Company does not believe that the grantor's revocation is valid under the terms of the license agreement and no legal claim has been threatened to date, the Company cannot provide any assurance that the grantor will not take legal action to restrict the Company's use of the technology in the licensed territory. While the Company's management does not anticipate that the outcome of this matter is likely to result in a material loss, there can be no assurance that if the grantor pursues legal action, such legal action would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

The Company, from time to time, is involved in legal matters arising in the ordinary course of its business including matters involving proprietary technology. While management believes that such matters are currently not material, there can be no assurance that matters arising in the ordinary course of business for which the Company is or could become involved in litigation, will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

Other Matters

On September 16, 2005, the Company entered into a series of agreements with Quimica Pasteur S.A. de C.V. ("QP"), a Mexico-based company engaged in the business of distributing pharmaceutical products to hospitals and health care entities owned or operated by the Mexican Ministry of Health. These agreements provided, among other things, for QP to act as the Company's exclusive distributor of Microcyn to the Mexican Ministry of Health for a period of three years. In connection with these agreements, the Company was concurrently granted an option to acquire all except a minority share of the equity of QP directly from its principals in exchange for 150,000 shares of common stock, contingent upon QP's attainment of certain financial milestones. The Company's distribution and related agreements were cancelable by the Company on thirty days' notice without cause and included certain provisions to hold the Company harmless from debts incurred by QP outside the scope of the distribution and related agreements. The Company terminated these agreements on March 26, 2006 without having exercised the option.

Due to its liquidity circumstances, QP was unable to sustain operations without the Company's subordinated financial and management support. Accordingly, QP was deemed to be a variable interest entity in accordance with FIN 46(R) and its results were consolidated with the Company's consolidated financial statements for the period of September 16, 2005 through March 26, 2006, the effective termination date of the distribution and related agreement, without such option having been exercised.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Subsequent to having entered into the agreements with QP, the Company became aware of an alleged tax avoidance scheme involving the principals of QP. The audit committee of the Company's board of directors engaged an independent counsel, as well as tax counsel in Mexico to investigate this matter. The audit committee of the board of directors was advised that QP's principals could be liable for up to \$7,000,000 of unpaid taxes; however, the Company is unlikely to have any loss exposure with respect to this matter because the alleged tax omission occurred prior to the Company's involvement with QP. The Company has not received any communications to date from Mexican tax authorities with respect to this matter. Based on an opinion of Mexico counsel, the Company's management and the audit committee of the board of directors do not believe that the Company is likely to experience any loss with respect to this matter. However, there can be no assurance that the Mexican tax authorities will not pursue this matter and, if pursued, that it would not result in a material loss to the Company.

Employment Agreements

As of March 31, 2009, the Company has entered into employment agreements with five of its key executives. The agreements provide, among other things, for the payment of six to twenty-four months of severance compensation for terminations under certain circumstances. With respect to these agreements, at March 31, 2009, aggregated potential severance amounted to \$1,840,000 and aggregated annual salaries amount to \$1,305,000.

On September 4, 2008, the employment agreement of Mr. Mike Wokasch, the Company's Chief Operating Officer, was terminated, effective September 5, 2008. In connection with the termination, the Company was required to provide Mr. Wokasch with a lump sum severance payment of \$275,000, which is equivalent to twelve months of his salary. Additionally, pursuant to the employment agreement, upon termination, all non-vested options that were outstanding at the termination date became immediately exercisable. The Company recorded \$1,168,000 of stock compensation expense related to the acceleration of the vesting. The options will expire twelve months from the date of termination, on September 5, 2009. The severance and stock compensation expense was recorded as a selling, general and administrative expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the year ended March 31, 2009. The Company paid the severance on October 10, 2008.

Board Compensation

On April 26, 2007, the Company's board of directors adopted a Non-Employee Director Compensation Package (the "Compensation Package") to provide members of the board and its committees with regular compensation. The Compensation Package provides for cash payments of \$25,000 in two equal installments to each of the non-employee members of the board of directors. Directors who are members (but not the chairperson) of the audit committee receive an additional \$5,000 per year. Directors who are members (but not the chairperson) of the compensation committee receive an additional \$2,000 per year. The chairperson of the board of directors receives \$15,000 annually, the lead director (if different from the chair person) receives \$10,000 annually, the chairperson of the audit committee receives \$10,000 annually, and the chairperson of each other committee receives \$5,000 annually. Upon mutual agreement between the compensation committee and the non-employee directors, the Company may issue stock options in lieu of cash payments. Additionally, the Compensation Package provides for the grant of options to each non-employee director under the 2006 Restated Stock Incentive Plan. Each new director will receive an initial option grant to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which will vest over three years, and each non-employee director will receive an automatic annual grant of an option to purchase 15,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which will vest monthly over a period of one year. The annual option grants were granted to non-employee directors following the annual stockholders meeting on August 27, 2008. In connection with the annual awards, on September 2, 2008, the Company granted 15,000 options to each of four non-employee directors at an exercise price of \$2.82 per share which was the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Additionally, on December 9, 2008, the Company issued 25,000 options at \$0.40 per share to each of three non-employee directors in lieu of cash payments that were due on November 1, 2008. One non-employee director received a cash payment of \$12,500.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Consulting Agreements

On October 1, 2005, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with White Moon Medical. Akihisa Akao, a former member of the board of directors, is the sole stockholder of White Moon Medical. Under the terms of the agreement, the individual was compensated for services provided outside his normal board duties. The Company paid and recorded expense related to this agreement in the amount of \$85,000 and \$146,000 which is included in selling, general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. This agreement was terminated on October 1, 2008.

On November 7, 2006, the Company entered into a two year consulting agreement with Mr. Robert Burlingame, one of the Company's directors who also provided the Company with a \$4,000,000 Bridge Loan (Note 10). In connection with this agreement, the director received 75,000 common stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$8.00 per share. During the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the amortized fair value of the warrants amounted to \$106,000 and \$175,000 and was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations (Note 13).

Commercial Agreements

On May 8, 2007 and June 11, 2007, the Company entered into separate commercial agreements with two unrelated customers granting such customers the exclusive right to sell the Company's products in specified territories or for specific uses. Both customers are required to maintain certain minimum levels of purchases of the Company's products in order to maintain exclusivity. Up-front payments amounting to \$625,000 paid under these agreements have been recorded as deferred revenue of which \$425,000 is classified as long-term deferred revenue in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2009. The up-front fees will be amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the underlying agreements. The Company amortized \$98,000 and \$5,000 of deferred revenue which is included in product revenue in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 13 — Stockholders' Equity

Authorized Capital

The Company is authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of common stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share and 5,000,000 shares of convertible preferred stock with a par value of \$0.0001 per share.

Description of Common Stock

Each share of common stock has the right to one vote. The holders of common stock are entitled to dividends when funds are legally available and when declared by the board of directors.

Common Stock Issued in Private Placement

On August 13, 2007, the Company completed a private placement of 1,262,500 shares of common stock to certain accredited investors at a price of \$8.00 per share pursuant to the terms of a Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 7, 2007. In addition, the investors received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 416,622 additional shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$9.50 per share (described below). The exercise price for the investor warrants was adjusted to \$8.63 in March 2008, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants were triggered by our registered direct offering. The investor warrants are now exercisable for an additional 41,977 shares. Gross proceeds from the private placement were \$10,100,000 and net proceeds were \$9,124,000 (after the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses). Pursuant to the terms of a Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 7, 2007, the shares of common stock issued to the investors in the private placement and the shares of common stock to be issued upon the exercise of the warrants issued in the private placement were registered. If the registration statement ceases to remain continuously effective, or the holders of the registrable securities are not

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

permitted to utilize the related prospectus to resell the securities registered under the registration statement for more than ten consecutive calendar days, or more than a total of fifteen calendar days in any twelve month period, the Company will be required to pay the security holders, until cured, partial liquidated damages in cash equal to 1% monthly, up to a maximum of 15%, of the aggregate purchase price paid pursuant to the terms of the Securities Purchase Agreement. If the Company is required to pay liquidated damages and payments are not made seven days from the due date, the holders will become entitled to interest payments of 18% per annum on the amount due. The Company, after having evaluated the registration payment arrangement, has determined that it is unlikely to incur any liability based on its past experience in filing registration statements. Accordingly, the Company does not believe it is necessary to record any reserves for contingent transfer of consideration in accordance with EITF FSP 00-19-2, "Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements".

The Company also issued a warrant to purchase 88,375 shares of common stock to a placement agent in connection with the private placement (described above). The warrant has the same terms, including exercise price and registration rights, as the warrants issued in the private placement. The exercise price for the warrants was adjusted to \$8.63 on March 31, 2008, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants was triggered by the Companies registered direct offering. Additionally, the placement agent warrants are now exercisable for an additional 8,909 shares.

Registered Direct Offering

On February 13, 2008, the Company filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-149223), which was declared effective on February 26, 2008. In connection with this S-3, the Company may from time to time, offer and sell preferred stock, either separately or represented by depositary shares, common stock or warrants, either separately or in units, in one or more offerings. The preferred stock and warrants may be convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for common or preferred stock. The aggregate initial offering price of all securities sold under the shelf registration statement will not exceed \$75,000,000. The Company may offer these securities independently or together in any combination for sale directly to investors or through underwriters, dealers or agents. The Company will set forth the names of any underwriters, dealers or agents and their compensation in a prospectus or prospectus supplement.

On March 31, 2008, the Company closed the registered direct placement of 2,634,578 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share, and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,317,278 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.85 per share for gross proceeds of \$13,297,000 and net proceeds of \$12,613,000 (after deducting the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses). On April 1, 2008, the Company had a second closing of an additional 18,095 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share, and warrants to purchase an aggregate of 9,047 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.85 per share for gross proceeds of \$95,000 and net proceeds of \$36,000 (after deducting the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses). Both closings were part of the same offering. Additionally, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 130,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.30 per share to the placement agent related to this offering.

Common Stock Issued in Private Placement

On February 6, 2009, the Company entered into Purchase Agreements with a group of accredited investors whereby it raised \$1,752,803 in gross proceeds (net proceeds of \$1,514,000 after deducting the placement agent's commission and other offering expenses) through a private placement of 1,499,411 shares.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

For each \$116.90 invested, an investor received one hundred shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share; a Series A Warrant to purchase fifty-eight shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share which are exercisable after six months and have a five year term; a Series B Warrant to purchase seventy-eight shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share which are exercisable after six months and have a three year term; and for every two shares of common stock the investor purchases upon exercise of a Series B Warrant, the investor will receive an additional Series C Warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The Series C Warrant shall be exercisable after six months and will have an exercise price of \$1.94 per share and a five year term.

The Company issued an aggregate of 1,499,411 shares of common stock, Series A warrants to purchase 869,658 shares of common stock and Series B warrants to purchase 1,169,544 shares of common stock. The Company has not issued any Series C warrants as of March 31, 2009 because no Series B warrants have been exercised. However, if all Series B warrants are exercised, the Company may issue Series C warrants to purchase up to 584,772 shares of common stock. As compensation for services rendered as the exclusive placement agent for the offering, the placement agent received \$122,696 in cash plus warrants, exercisable upon the closing date of the transaction for a five year term, to purchase 104,958 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.56 per share.

Common Stock Issued in Private Placement to a Related Party

On February 24, 2009, the Company entered into a Purchase Agreement with Robert Burlingame, a director of the Company, and an accredited investor. Pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the investors agreed to make a \$3,000,000 investment in the Company. The investors paid \$1,000,000 (net proceeds of \$948,000 after deducting offering expenses) for 854,701 shares of common stock on February 24, 2009 and agreed to pay \$2,000,000 for 1,709,402 shares of common stock no later than August 1, 2009. In addition, the Company agreed to issue to the investors Series A Warrants to purchase a total of 1,500,000 shares of common stock pro rata to the number of shares of common stock issued on each closing date at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share. The Series A Warrants will be exercisable after six months and will have a five year term. The Company also agreed to issue to the investors Series B Warrants to purchase a total of 2,000,000 shares of common stock pro rata to the number of shares of common stock issued on each closing date at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share. The Series B Warrants will be exercisable after six months and will have a three year term. In addition, for every two shares of common stock the investor purchases upon exercise of a Series B Warrant, the investor will receive an additional Series C Warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The Series C Warrant shall be exercisable after six months and will have an exercise price of \$1.94 per share and a five year term. The Company will only be obligated to issue Series C Warrants to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock.

Common Stock Issued to Consultants for Services Rendered

On March 5, 2009, the Company issued 10,000 shares of common stock to Spot Savvy LLC pursuant to the terms of a Consulting Agreement dated February 26, 2009. The fair value of the underlying stock on the date of issuance was at \$1.06 per share. The shares are fully vested and non-forfeitable at the time of issuance. The shares were issued as compensation for providing product marketing services. The Company determined the fair value of the common stock was more readily determinable than the fair value of the services rendered. For the year ended March 31, 2009, the Company recorded \$10,600 of expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Also on March 5, 2009, the Company issued 10,000 shares of common stock to Michael Salman Teymouri pursuant to the terms of a Consulting Agreement dated February 26, 2009. The fair value of the underlying stock on the date of issuance was at \$1.06 per share. The shares are fully vested and non-forfeitable at the time of issuance. The shares were issued as compensation for providing product marketing services. The Company determined the fair value of the common stock was more readily determinable than the fair value of the services rendered. For the

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

year ended March 31, 2009, the Company recorded \$10,600 of expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Stock Purchase Warrants Issued in Financing Transactions

On June 14, 2006, the Company issued warrants to purchase 71,521 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock at an exercise price of \$18.00 per share in connection with a financing facility described in Note 10. These warrants were automatically converted to warrants to purchase 71,521 shares of common stock at the closing of the Company's IPO on January 30, 2007. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes pricing model. Assumptions used were as follows: Fair value of the underlying stock \$18.00; risk-free interest rate 5.15% percent; contractual life of 10 years; dividend yield of 0%; and volatility of 70%. The fair value of the warrants, which amounted to \$1,046,000, was recorded as deferred debt issuance costs and is being amortized as interest expense over the term of the credit facility. Amortization of these costs amounted to \$133,000 and \$331,000 and is included as a component of interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

On November 10, 2006, Brookstreet Securities Corporation was granted a warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$18.00 per share in connection with a finder's fee for the Robert Burlingame Bridge Loan, which funded on November 10, 2006 (Note 10). The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes pricing model using the following assumptions: Fair value of the underlying stock \$18.00; risk-free interest rate 4.70% percent; contractual life of 5 years; dividend yield of 0%; and volatility of 70%. The fair value of the warrants, which amounted to \$104,000 was recorded as debt issue costs. The Company amortized \$62,000 of interest expense related to the warrants during the year ended March 31, 2008.

On August 13, 2007, the Company issued warrants to purchase 416,622 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$9.50 per share to investors in conjunction with the private placement of common stock described above. The warrants became exercisable on February 8, 2008, and have a term of five years. The exercise price for the investor warrants was adjusted to \$8.63 on March 31, 2008, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants were triggered by our registered direct offering. The warrant holder received an additional 41,997 warrants as a result of this transaction. Additionally, the exercise price for the investor warrants was adjusted to \$5.03 in February 2009, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants were triggered by the Company's February 6, 2009 and February 24, 2009 private placement offerings. The investor warrants are now exercisable for an additional 328,247 shares. At March 31, 2009 there were 786,846 investor warrants outstanding related to this transaction. The warrants are subject to adjustment in certain circumstances and require settlement in shares of the Company's common stock. The Company accounted for the issuance of the common stock purchase warrants in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classified the warrants as equity.

On August 20, 2007, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 88,375 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$9.50 per share to the placement agent for the private placement described above. The warrant became exercisable on February 8, 2008, and has a term of five years. The warrant was adjusted to \$8.63 on March 31, 2008, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrant was triggered by the Company's registered direct offering. The warrant holder received an additional warrant to purchase 8,909 shares of common stock as a result of this adjustment. Additionally, the exercise price for the placement agent warrant was adjusted to \$5.02 in February 2009, after the anti-dilution provisions of the warrants were triggered by our February 6, 2009 and February 24, 2009 private placement offerings. The warrant holder received a warrant to purchase an additional 69,622 shares of common stock as a result of this transaction. At March 31, 2009 the placement agent had a warrant to purchase 166,906 shares of common stock. The warrant has the same terms as the warrants issued in the private placement and was accounted for in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. The securities underlying the warrant were registered on the same registration statement.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

On March 31, 2008, the Company issued warrants to purchase 1,317,278 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.85 per share to investors in conjunction with the registered direct placement of common stock described above. The warrants become exercisable on September 28, 2008, and have a term of five years. The Company accounted for the issuance of the common stock purchase warrants in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classified the warrants as equity.

On March 31, 2008, the Company issued a warrant to purchase 130,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$6.30 per share to the placement agent for the private placement described above. The warrant has the same terms as the warrants issued to investors in the registered direct placement described above and were accounted for in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classified the warrants as equity.

On February 6, 2009, the Company issued the following warrants in connection with a private placement. The Company issued Series A warrants to purchase 869,658 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share and Series B warrants to purchase 1,169,544 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share. If all Series B warrants are exercised, the Company may issue Series C warrants to purchase up to 584,772 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.94 per share. For every two shares of common stock the purchased upon exercise of a Series B Warrant, an additional Series C Warrant to purchase one share of common stock will be issued. The Company has not issued any Series C warrants as of March 31, 2009 because no Series B warrants have been exercised. As compensation for services rendered as the exclusive placement agent, the Company issued the placement agent a warrant to purchase 104,958 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.56 per share, exercisable upon the closing date of the transaction for a five year term. The Company accounted for the issuance of the common stock purchase warrants in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classified the warrants as equity.

On February 24, 2009, the Company issued the following warrants in connection with a private placement. The Company issued Series A warrants to purchase 500,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share and Series B warrants to purchase 666,667 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.13 per share. If all Series B warrants are exercised, the Company may issue Series C warrants to purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock at \$1.94 per share. For every two shares of common stock the investor purchases upon exercise of a Series B Warrant, the investor will receive an additional Series C Warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The Company has not issued any Series C warrants as of March 31, 2009 because no Series B warrants have been exercised. The Series A Warrants will be exercisable after six months and will have a five year term. The Series B Warrants will be exercisable after six months and will have a three year term. The Company accounted for the issuance of the common stock purchase warrants in accordance with the provisions of EITF 00-19. Based on the provisions of EITF 00-19, the Company classified the warrants as equity.

On March 4, 2009, the Company issued an advisor, Day1 Crow, a Series A Warrant exercisable for a five year term, to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.87 per share. These warrants were issued in connection with consulting services

Anti-dilution adjustment

Pursuant to the anti-dilution provisions contained in the private placement investor and placement agent warrant agreements, following the close of the registered direct offering on March 31, 2008, the Company adjusted the conversion price of the private placement warrants. As a result, the exercise price for the warrants was adjusted from \$9.50 to \$8.63. Additionally, as a result of this transaction, an additional 50,886 warrants were issued.

Following the close of the February 6, 2009 private placement offering and following the close of the February 24, 2009 private placement offering, the Company again adjusted the conversion price of the warrants related to the August 7, 2007 private placement. The exercise price for the warrants was adjusted from \$8.63 to \$5.02 per share. Additionally, as a result of this transaction, an additional 397,869 warrants were issued. At

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

March 31, 2009, 953,752 warrants were outstanding that related to the August 7, 2007 private placement transaction.

Common Stock and Common Stock Purchase Warrants Issued to Non-Employees For Services

On November 10, 2006, the Company entered into a 2 year consulting agreement with its new director, Robert Burlingame. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company issued to the director a warrant to purchase 75,000 shares of its common stock, exercisable at a price equal to the Company's common stock in its initial public offering in consideration of corporate advisory services. The warrants were fully exercisable and non-forfeitable at their date of issuance. The warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Assumptions used were as follows: Fair value of the underlying stock of \$9.00, risk-free interest rate of 4.70%; contractual life of 5 years; dividend yield of 0%; and volatility of 70%. The adjusted fair value of the warrant amounted to \$350,000. Following the guidance enumerated in Issue 2 of EITF 96-18, the Company amortized the fair value of the warrants over the two year term of the consulting agreement which is consistent with its treatment of similar cash transactions. During the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the amortized fair value of the warrants amounted to \$106,000 and \$175,000, respectively, and was recorded as selling, general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The fair value was fully amortized in the year ended March 31, 2009.

The Company accounted for its issuance of stock-based compensation to non-employees for services using the measurement date guidelines enumerated in SFAS 123(R) and EITF 96-18. Accordingly, the value of any awards that were fully vested and non forfeitable at their date of issuance were measured based on the fair value of the equity instruments at the date of issuance. The non-vested portion of awards that are subject to the future performance of the counterparty are adjusted at each reporting date to their fair values based upon the then current market value of the Company's stock and other assumptions that management believes are reasonable.

On April 5, 2007, the Company terminated certain advisory consulting contracts and made all unvested warrants issued to the consultants available for immediate exercise. In addition, the Company extended the exercise period through April 13, 2009. For the year ended March 31, 2008, the Company recorded \$2,000 of expense for the incremental fair value related to the modification of these warrants. The warrants were adjusted to fair value using the following weighted average assumptions: Risk-free interest rate of 4.03%; contractual life of 2.66 years; dividend yield of 0%; and volatility of 70%.

NOTE 14 — Stock-Based Compensation

1999, 2000, 2003 and 2004 Stock Option Plans

The 1999, 2000, 2003 and 2004 Stock Option Plans became effective May 1999, June 2000, July 2003 and July 2004, respectively. The Plans provide for grants of both incentive stock options (ISOs) and non-qualified stock options (NSOs) to employees, consultants and directors.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

In accordance with the Plans, stated exercise price may not be less than 100% and 85% of the estimated fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant for ISOs and NSOs, respectively, as determined by the board of directors at the date of grant. With respect to any 10% shareholder, the exercise price of an ISO or NSO was not to exceed 110% of the estimated fair market value per share on the date of grant.

Options issued under the Plans generally have a ten-year term and generally became exercisable over a five-year period.

On June 29, 2006, the compensation committee of the Company's board of directors resolved that it would not approve any further grants under its 1999, 2000 and 2003 Plans. Additionally, in connection with the Delaware reincorporation on December 15, 2006, no future options will be granted under the 2004 Plan.

2006 Stock Plan

On November 7, 2006, the board authorized and reserved 1,250,000 shares for issuance of options that may be granted under the Company's 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"), which was previously adopted by the board of directors in August 2006. On December 14, 2006, the stockholders approved the Company's 2006 Plan which became effective at the close of the Company's initial public offering. The Plan was amended by resolution of the board on April 26, 2007, and the amendments were subsequently approved by the stockholders.

The 2006 Plan provides for the granting of incentive stock options to employees and the granting of nonstatutory stock options to employees, non-employee directors, advisors and consultants. The 2006 Plan also provides for grants of restricted stock, stock appreciation rights and stock unit awards to employees, non-employee directors, advisors and consultants.

In accordance with the 2006 Plan, the stated exercise price may not be less than 100% and 85% of the estimated fair market value of common stock on the date of grant for ISOs and NSOs, respectively, as determined by the board of directors at the date of grant. With respect to any 10% stockholder, the exercise price of an ISO or NSO shall not be less than 110% of the estimated fair market value per share on the date of grant.

Options issued under the 2006 Plan generally have a ten-year term and generally become exercisable over a five-year period.

Shares subject to awards that expire unexercised or are forfeited or terminated will again become available for issuance under the 2006 Plan. No participant in the 2006 Plan can receive option grants, restricted shares, stock appreciation rights or stock units for more than 750,000 shares in the aggregate in any calendar year.

As provided under the 2006 Plan, the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance as awards under the 2006 Plan automatically increased on April 1, 2008 by 795,280 shares (which number constitutes 5% of the outstanding shares on the last day of the year ended March 31, 2008).

As described above, the number of shares authorized for issuance will be subject to adjustment on April 1, 2009 (Note 18).

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Options and restricted stock units outstanding at March 31, 2009 under the various plans is as follows (in thousands):

Plan	Number of Options	Number of Restricted Stock Units	Total Number of Options and Restricted Stock Units Outstanding in Plan
1999 Plan	207	—	207
2000 Plan	40	—	40
2003 Plan	162	—	162
2004 Plan	683	—	683
2006 Plan	2,572	30	2,602
Granted Outside Plans	300	—	300
	<u>3,964</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3,994</u>

A summary of activity under all option Plans for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 is presented below (in thousands, except per share data):

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at March 31, 2007	2,020	\$ 4.91		
Options granted	912	6.97		
Options exercised	(119)	0.56		
Options forfeited or expired	(189)	7.04		
Outstanding at March 31, 2008	2,624	5.67		
Options granted	2,035	0.97		
Options exercised	(105)	0.14		
Options forfeited or expired	(590)	6.45		
Outstanding at March 31, 2009	<u>3,964</u>	<u>\$ 3.28</u>	<u>7.10</u>	<u>\$ 1,469</u>
Exercisable at March 31, 2009	<u>1,888</u>	<u>\$ 4.81</u>	<u>4.50</u>	<u>\$ 546</u>
Options available for grant as of March 31, 2009	<u>36</u>			

The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the underlying stock options and the fair value of the Company's common stock (\$1.26) for stock options.

Stock-Based Compensation Before Adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)

Prior to April 1, 2006, the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation arrangements in accordance with the provisions of APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and its related interpretations and applied the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123." The Company used the minimum value method to measure the fair value of awards issued prior to April 1, 2006 with respect to its application of the disclosure requirements under SFAS 123.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

For the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded \$101,000 and \$148,000, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense related to options that the Company accounted for under APB 25 through March 31, 2006. Additionally, there was \$25,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to these options at March 31, 2009. These costs are expected to be recognized over a weighted average amortization period of 0.41 years.

Stock-Based Compensation After Adoption of SFAS 123(R)

Effective April 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, using the prospective transition method, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards granted, modified and settled to the Company's employees and directors after April 1, 2006. The Company's consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). In accordance with the prospective transition method, the Company's consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS No. 123(R).

Stock-based compensation expense recorded in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Impact from SFAS No. 123(R) Provisions for the Year Ended March 31, 2009	Impact from SFAS No. 123(R) Provisions for the Year Ended March 31, 2008
Cost of revenues service	\$ 18	\$ 10
Research and development	82	145
Selling, general and administrative	1,935	851
Total stock-based compensation	<u>\$ 2,035</u>	<u>\$ 1,006</u>

No income tax benefit has been recognized relating to stock-based compensation expense and no tax benefits have been realized from exercised stock options.

The Company estimated the fair value of employee stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The fair value of employee stock options is being amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the respective awards. The fair value of employee stock options was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Fair value of common stock	\$ 3.42	\$ 6.97
Expected Term	5.97yrs	5.67yrs
Risk-free interest rate	1.89%	4.51%
Dividend yield	0.00%	0.00%
Volatility	83.0%	73.0%

The weighted-average fair values of options granted during the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$0.68 and \$4.53, respectively.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The expected term of stock options represents the average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding and is based on the expected term calculated using the approach prescribed by SAB 107 for “plain vanilla” options. The Company used this approach as it did not have sufficient historical information to develop reasonable expectations about future exercise patterns and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected stock price volatility for the Company’s stock options was determined by examining the historical volatilities for industry peers and using an average of the historical volatilities of the Company’s industry peers as well as the trading history for the Company’s common stock. The Company will continue to analyze the stock price volatility and expected term assumptions as more data for the Company’s common stock and exercise patterns becomes available. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on the U.S. Treasury instruments whose term was consistent with the expected term of the Company’s stock options. The expected dividend assumption is based on the Company’s history and expectation of dividend payouts.

In addition, SFAS No. 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Forfeitures were estimated at 5% based on historical experience. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

At March 31, 2009, there was unrecognized compensation costs of \$3,179,000 related to stock options accounted for in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average amortization period of 2.78 years.

In addition to the above option activity, on April 26, 2007, an award of 60,000 stock units was issued to an officer of the Company. Each stock unit represents the right to receive a share of the Company’s common stock, in consideration of past services rendered and the payment by the officer of \$3.00 per share, upon the settlement of the stock unit on a fixed date in the future. Half of the stock units, representing 30,000 shares, were forfeited on January 15, 2009 and the remaining 30,000 will either be settled or forfeited on January 15, 2010. The modification of this award did not result in incremental fair value or an additional charge to Company’s consolidated statements of operations for the year ended March 31, 2008.

The Company did not capitalize any cost associated with stock-based compensation.

The Company issues new shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options.

Non-Employee Options

The Company believes that the fair value of the stock options issued to non-employees is more reliably measurable than the fair value of the services received. The stock-based compensation expense will fluctuate as the fair market value of the common stock fluctuates. In connection with stock options granted to non-employees, the Company recorded \$7,000 during the year ended March 31, 2008. The Company did not record any stock compensation related to non-employee stock options during the year ended March 31, 2009. The fair value of the stock options was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as prescribed by SFAS No. 123 using the following weighted-average assumptions: Risk-free interest rate of 4.03%; contractual life of 2.58 years; dividend yield of 0%; and volatility of 70%.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

NOTE 15 — Income Taxes

The Company has the following net deferred tax assets (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 31,205	\$ 28,124
Research and development tax credit carryforwards	1,262	858
Stock-based compensation	2,419	1,960
Reserves and accruals	1,553	349
Other deferred tax assets	18	3
Total deferred tax assets	\$ 36,457	\$ 31,294
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Basis difference in assets	(26)	(27)
Net deferred tax asset	36,431	31,267
Valuation allowance	(36,431)	(31,267)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ —	\$ —

The Company's recorded income tax benefit, net of the change in the valuation allowance, for each of the periods presented is as follows:

	Years Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Income tax benefit	\$ 5,164	\$ 6,535
Change in valuation allowance	(5,164)	(6,535)
Net income tax benefit	\$ —	\$ —

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

	Years Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Expected federal statutory rate	(34.0)%	(34.0)%
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	(5.8)%	(5.8)%
Research and Development Credit	(1.9)%	(1.7)%
Foreign earnings taxed at different rates	0.8%	1.5%
Recognition of change in estimate of State and Foreign NOL Carryforwards Benefits	9.6%	7.0%
Effect of permanent differences	2.2%	0.9%
Change in valuation allowance	(29.1)%	(32.1)%
Totals	0.0%	0.0%

At March 31, 2009, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards for federal, state and foreign income tax purposes of approximately \$67,077,000, \$57,143,000 and \$19,842,000, respectively. The carryforwards expire at various times beginning March 31, 2020. The Company also had, at March 31, 2009, federal and state research and

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

development credit carryforwards of approximately \$653,000 and \$609,000, respectively. The federal credits expire beginning March 31, 2024 and the state credits do not expire.

The Company has completed a study to assess whether a change in control has occurred or whether there have been multiple changes of control since the Company's formation. The Company determined, based on the results of the study, that no change in control occurred for purposes of Internal Revenue Code section 382. The Company, after considering all available evidence, fully reserved for these and its other deferred tax assets since it is more likely than not such benefits will not be realized in future periods. The Company has incurred losses for both financial reporting and income tax purposes for the year ended March 31, 2009. Accordingly, the Company is continuing to fully reserve for its deferred tax assets. The Company will continue to evaluate its deferred tax assets to determine whether any changes in circumstances could affect the realization of their future benefit. If it is determined in future periods that portions of the Company's deferred income tax assets satisfy the realization standard of SFAS No. 109, the valuation allowance will be reduced accordingly.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes" ("FIN 48"), which became effective for the Company beginning April 1, 2007. FIN 48 addresses how tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. Under FIN 48, the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position can be recognized only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution. The adoption of FIN 48 had no impact on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company has identified its federal tax return and its state tax return in California as major tax jurisdictions. The Company is also subject to certain other foreign jurisdictions, principally Mexico and The Netherlands. The Company's evaluation of FIN 48 tax matters was performed for tax years ended through March 31, 2009. Generally, the Company is subject to audit for the years ended March 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 and may be subject to audit for amounts relating to net operating loss carryforwards generated in periods prior to March 31, 2006. The Company has elected to retain its existing accounting policy with respect to the treatment of interest and penalties attributable to income taxes in accordance with FIN 48, and continues to reflect interest and penalties attributable to income taxes, to the extent they arise, as a component of its income tax provision or benefit as well as its outstanding income tax assets and liabilities. The Company believes that its income tax positions and deductions would be sustained on audit and does not anticipate any adjustments, other than those identified above that would result in a material change to its financial position.

NOTE 16 — Employee Benefit Plan

The Company has a program to contribute and administer individual Simple IRA accounts for regular full time employees. Under the plan, the Company matches employee contributions to the plan up to 3% of the employee's salary. The Company contributed \$91,000 and \$79,000 to the program for the years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTE 17 — Segment and Geographic Information

In accordance with SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("SFAS 131"), operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise for which separate and discreet financial information is available and is used by the chief operating decision maker, or decision-making group, in making decisions on how to allocate resources and assess performance. The Company's chief decision-makers, as defined by SFAS 131, are the Chief Executive Officer and his direct reports.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The Company's chief decision-makers review financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenue and operating profit by operating unit. This information is used for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Segment data includes segment revenue, segment operating profitability, and total assets by segment. Shared corporate operating expenses are reported in the U.S. segment.

The Company is organized primarily on the basis of operating units which are segregated by geography, United States ("U.S."), Europe and Rest of the World ("Europe/ROW") and Mexico. Oculus Japan is insignificant with respect to the Company's consolidated operating results for the year ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 and therefore has been included in the U.S. segment.

	U.S.	Europe/ ROW	Mexico	Total
Year Ended March 31, 2009:				
Product revenues	\$ 298	\$ 844	\$ 3,273	\$ 4,415
Service revenues	973	—	—	973
Total revenues	1,271	844	3,273	5,388
Depreciation and amortization expense	394	213	161	768
Loss from operations	(16,666)	(556)	(85)	(17,307)
Interest expense	(437)	—	—	(437)
Interest income	152	—	—	152

	U.S.	Europe/ ROW	Mexico	Total
Year Ended March 31, 2008:				
Product revenues	\$ 197	\$ 566	\$ 2,118	\$ 2,881
Service revenues	954	—	—	954
Total revenues	1,151	566	2,118	3,835
Depreciation and amortization expense	420	227	93	740
Loss from operations	(19,567)	(1,586)	(1,272)	(22,425)
Interest expense	(1,016)	—	—	(1,016)
Interest income	630	—	—	630

Sales by geography reported in the Europe/ROW segment is as follows (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
India	\$ 116	\$ 83
China	159	—
Europe and other	569	483
Total Europe/ROW	<u>\$844</u>	<u>\$566</u>

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

The following table shows property and equipment balances by segment (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
U.S.	\$ 931	\$ 1,193
Europe/ROW	322	754
Mexico	179	356
	<u>\$ 1,432</u>	<u>\$ 2,303</u>

The following table shows total asset balances by segment (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2009	2008
U.S.	\$ 3,543	\$ 20,974
Europe/ROW	841	1,271
Mexico	1,063	1,367
	<u>\$ 5,447</u>	<u>\$ 23,612</u>

NOTE 18 — Subsequent Events

Increase in Number of Shares Authorized in the 2006 Plan

As provided under the 2006 Plan, the aggregate number of shares authorized for issuance as awards under the 2006 Plan automatically increased on April 1, 2009 by 920,141 shares (which number constitutes 5% of the outstanding shares on the last day of the year ended March 31, 2009). Total shares authorized for issuance subsequent to the increase is 955,999.

Consulting Agreement with Member of Board of Directors

On April 1, 2009, the Company entered into a six month consulting agreement with a member of its Board of Directors, Mr. Bob Burlingame. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Burlingame will provide the Company with sales and marketing expertise and services. In consideration of his services, the Company agreed to issue Mr. Burlingame 435,897 unregistered shares of its common stock. The Company intends to issue the shares in June 2009. The shares are to be non-forfeitable at the time of issuance. The Company has determined the fair value of the common stock is more readily determinable than the fair value of the services rendered. Accordingly, the Company will record \$475,000 of stock compensation expense related to this agreement. The expense will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the six month term of the agreement (April 1, 2009 to October 1, 2009).

Contract Sales Agreement

On April 24, 2009, the Company entered into an agreement with a contract sales organization (“CSO”) that will serve as the Company’s sales force for the sale of wound care products in the United States. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company agreed to pay the CSO a monthly fee that may increase based on achievement of certain levels of sales. The Company may also pay bonuses in the event certain levels of sales are achieved. Additionally, the Company agreed to issue the CSO 7,000 shares of common stock each month as compensation for their services. On May 27, 2009, the Company issued 24,500 shares of common stock in connection with this agreement that represents compensation through July 31, 2009. The Company has determined the fair value of the common stock, which will be calculated as shares are issued, will be more readily determinable than the fair value of the services rendered. Accordingly, the Company will record the fair market value of the stock as compensation expense. The expense will be recognized as the shares of stock are earned.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS — (Continued)

Amendment to Petaluma Building Lease

On May 18, 2009, the Company amended its lease for its facility in Petaluma which resulted in a reduction of the Company's monthly lease payment. Pursuant to the amendment, the Company agreed to surrender 8,534 square feet of office space and extended the lease expiration on the remaining lease to September 30, 2011. The Company also agreed to provide the property owner a cash payment of \$50,000 no later than August 14, 2009. Additionally, at the option of the Company, no later than August 17, 2009, the property owner will either receive 53,847 shares of the Company's common stock or a \$70,000 cash payment.

Sale of Unregistered Securities

On June 1, 2009, the Company issued the remaining securities related to the February 24, 2009 private placement (Note 13). The issuance comprised of an aggregate of 1,709,402 shares of common stock, Series A Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,000,000 shares of common stock and Series B Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,333,333 shares of common stock to the Investors pro rata to the investment amount of each Investor. The Company received \$2,000,000 in connection with this transaction.

ITEM 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financials Disclosure*

None.

ITEM 9A(T). *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of our most recent fiscal year. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2009.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of March 31, 2009. This annual report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit us to provide only management's report in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. *Other Information*

Entry Into Material Definitive Agreements

Consulting Agreement with Member of Board of Directors

On April 1, 2009, we entered into a six month consulting agreement with a member of our Board of Directors, Robert Burlingame. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Burlingame will provide us with sales and marketing expertise and services. In consideration of his services, we agreed to issue Mr. Burlingame 435,897 unregistered shares of our common stock. We intend to issue the shares in June 2009.

Contract Sales Agreement

On April 24, 2009, we entered into a two-year agreement with Advocos, a contract sales organization, or CSO, that will serve as our sales force for product sales, specifically of Microcyn as permitted to be marketed and sold in the United States. We may terminate the agreement with 30 days' notice and the agreement will automatically renew for an additional one year term if no notice of termination is provided prior to the end of the term. Pursuant to the agreement, we agreed to pay Advocos a monthly fee that may increase based on achievement of certain levels of sales. We may also pay bonuses in the event certain levels of sales are achieved. Additionally, we agreed to issue

[Table of Contents](#)

Advocos 3,500 shares of common stock initially, and 7,000 shares of common stock each month as compensation for their services. On May 27, 2009, we issued 24,500 shares of common stock in connection with this agreement.

Amendment to Petaluma Building Lease

On May 18, 2009, we amended our lease for our facility in Petaluma which resulted in a reduction of our monthly lease payment. Pursuant to the amendment, we agreed to surrender 8,534 square feet of office space and extended the lease expiration on the remaining lease to September 30, 2011. We also agreed to provide the property owner a cash payment of \$50,000 no later than August 14, 2009. Additionally, at our option, no later than August 17, 2009, we will either pay the property owner 53,847 shares of our common stock or a \$70,000 cash payment.

Receipt of FDA Approvals

On May 27, 2009, we received a 510(k) clearance from the FDA to market our Microcyn Skin and Wound Gel as both a prescription and over-the-counter formulation. Additionally, on June 4, 2009, we received an expanded 510(k) label clearance from the FDA to market our Microcyn Skin and Wound Cleanser with preservatives as both a prescription and over-the-counter formulation. The new prescription product is indicated for use by health care professionals to manage the debridement of wounds such as stage I-IV pressure ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, post-surgical wounds, first- and second-degree wounds, grafted and donor sites.

PART III

ITEM 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the definitive proxy statement for our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of our fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 (the "2008 Proxy Statement").

Item 405 of Regulation S-K calls for disclosure of any known late filing or failure by an insider to file a report required by 16(a) of the Exchange Act. This disclosure is contained in the section entitled "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement.

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to all of our officers and employees, including our chief executive officer, president, chief operating officer, chief financial officer and other employees who perform financial or accounting functions. The Code of Business Conduct sets forth the basic principles that guide the business conduct of our employees. We have also adopted a Senior Financial Officers' Code of Ethics that specifically applies to our chief executive officer, president and chief operating officer, chief financial officer, and key management employees. Stockholders may request a free copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and our Senior Financial Officers' Code of Ethics by contacting Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc., Attention: Chief Financial Officer, 1129 N. McDowell Blvd., Petaluma, California 94954.

To date, there have been no waivers under our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or Senior Financial Officers' Code of Ethics. We intend to disclose future amendments to certain provisions of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or Senior Officers' Code of Ethics or any waivers, if and when granted, of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or Senior Officers' Code of Ethics on our website at <http://www.oculusis.com> within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Our board of directors has appointed an audit committee, comprised of Mr. Richard Conley, as chairman, Mr. Jay Birnbaum and Mr. Gregg Alton. The board of directors has determined that Mr. Conley qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under the definition outlined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition, Mr. Conley, Mr. Birnbaum and Mr. Alton each qualifies as an "independent director" under the current NASDAQ Marketplace rules and Securities and Exchange Commission rules and regulations.

ITEM 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the 2009 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the 2009 Proxy Statement.

Information about securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans appears under the caption "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in the Proxy Statement. That portion of the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference into this report.

ITEM 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the 2009 Proxy Statement.

ITEM 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is incorporated by reference to the 2009 Proxy Statement.

PART IV

ITEM 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this report

(1) Financial Statements

Reference is made to the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. under Item 8 of Part II hereof.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted that are not applicable or not required or because the information is included elsewhere in the Consolidated Financial Statements or the Notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits

See Item 15(b) below.

(b) Exhibits

Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1(i)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
3.1(ii)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant, as amended effective on June 11, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.2	Warrant to Purchase Series A Preferred Stock of Registrant by and between Registrant and Venture Lending & Leasing III, Inc., dated April 21, 2004 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
4.3	Warrant to Purchase Series B Preferred Stock of Registrant by and between Registrant and Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc., dated June 14, 2006 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.4	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.5	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.6	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement, effective as of September 14, 2006 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.7	Form of Promissory Note issued to Venture Lending & Leasing III, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.8	Form of Promissory Note (Equipment and Soft Cost Loans) issued to Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.9	Form of Promissory Note (Growth Capital Loans) issued to Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.10	Form of Promissory Note (Working Capital Loans) issued to Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.11	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.12	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
4.13	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2007).
4.14	Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 28, 2008).
4.15	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant for April 2009 offering (incorporated by reference to exhibit 4.15 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-158539 filed April 10, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.16*	Warrant issued to Dayl Crow, dated March 4, 2009.
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.2	1999 Stock Plan and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.3	2000 Stock Plan and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.4	2003 Stock Plan and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.5	2004 Stock Plan and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.6	Form of 2006 Stock Incentive Plan and related form stock option plan agreements (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.7	2006 Stock Incentive Plan Notice of Stock Unit Award and Stock and Stock Unit Agreement issued to Robert Miller (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
10.8	Office Lease Agreement, dated October 26, 1999, between Registrant and RNM Lakeville, L.P. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.7 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.9	Amendment to Office Lease No. 1, dated September 15, 2000, between Registrant and RNM Lakeville L.P. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.10	Amendment to Office Lease No. 2, dated July 29, 2005, between Registrant and RNM Lakeville L.P. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.11	Amendment No. 3 to Lease, dated August 23, 2006, between Registrant and RNM Lakeville L.P. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.23 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.12	Office Lease Agreement, dated May 15, 2005, between Oculus Technologies of Mexico, S.A. de C.V. and Antonio Sergio Arturo Fernandez Valenzuela (translated from Spanish) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.10 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.13	Office Lease Agreement, dated July 2003, between Oculus Innovative Sciences, B.V. and Artikona Holding B.V. (translated from Dutch) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.11 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.14	Loan and Security Agreement, dated March 25, 2004, between Registrant and Venture Lending & Leasing III, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.12 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.15	Loan and Security Agreement, dated June 14, 2006, between Registrant and Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.13 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.16	Amendment No. 1 to Supplement to Loan and Security Agreement, dated March 29, 2007, between Registrant and Venture Lending & Leasing IV, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
10.17	Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 2004, between Registrant and Hojabr Alimi (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.14 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.18	Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 2004, between Registrant and Jim Schutz (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.15 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.19	Employment Agreement, dated June 1, 2004, between Registrant and Robert Miller (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.16 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.20	Employment Agreement, dated June 1, 2005, between Registrant and Bruce Thornton (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.17 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.21	Employment Agreement, dated June 10, 2006, between Registrant and Mike Wokasch (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.19 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.22	Form of Director Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.20 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.23	Consultant Agreement, dated October 1, 2005, by and between Registrant and White Moon Medical (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.21 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.24	Leasing Agreement, dated May 5, 2006, by and between Mr. Jose Alfonso I. Orozco Perez and Oculus Technologies of Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.22 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.25	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, by and between Registrant, Quimica Pasteur, S de R.L., Francisco Javier Orozco Gutierrez and Jorge Paulino Hermosillo Martin (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.24 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.26	Framework Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, by and among Javier Orozco Gutierrez, Quimica Pasteur, S de R.L., Jorge Paulino Hermosillo Martin, Registrant and Oculus Technologies de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.25 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.27	Mercantile Consignment Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, between Oculus Technologies de Mexico, S.A. de C.V., Quimica Pasteur, S de R.L. and Francisco Javier Orozco Gutierrez (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.26 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.28	Partnership Interest Purchase Option Agreement, dated June 16, 2005, by and between Registrant and Javier Orozco Gutierrez (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.27 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.29	Termination of Registrant and Oculus Technologies de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. Agreements with Quimica Pasteur, S de R.L. by Jorge Paulino Hermosillo Martin (translated from Spanish) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.28 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.30	Termination of Registrant and Oculus Technologies de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. Agreements with Quimica Pasteur, S de R.L. by Francisco Javier Orozco Gutierrez (translated from Spanish) (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.29 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.31	Loan and Security Agreement, dated November 7, 2006, by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.30 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.32	Non-Negotiable Secured Promissory Note, dated November 10, 2006, by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.31 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.33	Amendment No. 1 to Non-Negotiable Secured Promissory Note, dated March 29, 2007, by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
10.34	Subordination Agreement, dated November 7, 2006, by and among Registrant, Robert Burlingame, Venture Lending & Leasing III, LLC, and Venture Lending & Leasing IV, LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.32 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.35	Amendment No. 1 to Subordination Agreement, dated March 29, 2007, by and among Registrant, Robert Burlingame, Venture Lending & Leasing III, LLC, and Venture Lending & Leasing IV, LLC. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Exhibit</u> <u>Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
10.36	Consulting Agreement, effective November 9, 2006, by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.33 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.37	Director Agreement, dated November 8, 2006, by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.34 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.38†	Exclusive Marketing Agreement, dated December 5, 2005, by and between Registrant and Alkem Laboratories Ltd (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.35 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.39	Settlement Agreement, effective September 21, 2006, by and among Registrant and Messrs. Jorge Ahumada Ayala and Fernando Ahumada Ayala (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.36 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.40	Settlement Agreement, dated October 25, 2006, by and between Registrant and Mr. Kim Kelderman (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.37 filed with Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-135584), as amended, declared effective on January 24, 2007).
10.41	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 7, 2007, by and between Registrant and purchasers identified on the signatures pages thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2007).
10.42	Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 7, 2007, by and between Registrant and purchasers identified on signatures pages thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 13, 2007).
10.43	Amendment No. 4 to Lease, dated September 13, 2007, by and between Registrant and RNM Lakeville L.P. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
10.44	Amendment to Office Lease Agreement, effective February 15, 2008, by and between Oculus Innovative Sciences Netherlands B.V. and Artikona Holding B.V. (translated from Dutch) (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
10.45	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated March 27, 2008, by and between Registrant and each investor signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 23, 2008).
10.46	Purchase Agreement by and between Registrant and Robert Burlingame, dated January 26, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed January 29, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.47	Purchase Agreement by and between Registrant and Non-Affiliated Investors, dated January 26, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K filed January 29, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.48	Revenue Sharing Distribution Agreement by and between Registrant and VetCure, Inc., dated January 26, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.3 to the Form 8-K filed January 29, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.49	Purchase Agreement by and between Registrant and accredited investors, dated February 6, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed February 9, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.50	Purchase Agreement by and between Registrant, Robert Burlingame and Seamus Burlingame, dated February 24, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.4 to the Form 8-K filed February 27, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.51	Amendment to Revenue Sharing Distribution Agreement by and between Registrant and Vetericyn, Inc., dated February 24, 2009 (included as Exhibit 10.5 to the Form 8-K filed February 27, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.52*	Consultant Agreement by and between Registrant and Robert C. Burlingame, dated April 1, 2009.

[Table of Contents](#)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.53*	Microcyn U.S. Commercial Launch Agreement, by and between Registrant and Advocos, dated April 24, 2009.
10.54*	Amendment No. 5 to Lease by and between Registrant and RNM Lakeville, LLC, dated May 18, 2009.
21.1	List of Subsidiaries (incorporated by reference to the exhibit of the same number filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-3216) for the year ended March 31, 2007).
23.1*	Consent of Marcum & Kliegman LLP, independent registered public accounting firm.
24.1*	Power of Attorney (included on page 96).
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a — 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a — 14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1**	Certification of Officers pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1250, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

** In accordance with Item 60(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K and SEC Release Nos. 33-8238 and 34-47986, Final Rule: Management's Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and Certification of Disclosure in Exchange Act Periodic Reports, the certifications furnished in Exhibits 32.1 and 32.2 hereto are deemed to accompany this Form 10-K and will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act. Such certifications will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.

† Confidential treatment has been granted with respect to certain portions of this agreement.

Copies of above exhibits not contained herein are available to any stockholder, upon payment of a reasonable per page fee, upon written request to: Chief Financial Officer, Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc., 1129 N. McDowell Blvd., Petaluma, California 94954.

(c) Financial Statements and Schedules

Reference is made to Item 15(a)(2) above.

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<hr/> <i>/s/ Richard Conley</i> Richard Conley	Director	June 11, 2009
<hr/> <i>/s/ Gregory M. French</i> Gregory M. French	Director	June 11, 2009
<hr/> <i>/s/ James Schutz</i> James Schutz	Director	June 11, 2009

NEITHER THIS WARRANT NOR THE SECURITIES ISSUABLE UPON THE EXERCISE HEREOF HAVE BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE "*SECURITIES ACT*"), OR ANY STATE SECURITIES LAWS AND MAY NOT BE OFFERED, SOLD, PLEDGED, ASSIGNED, OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED UNLESS (1) A REGISTRATION STATEMENT WITH RESPECT THERETO IS EFFECTIVE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT AND ANY APPLICABLE STATE SECURITIES LAWS, OR (2) THE COMPANY RECEIVES AN OPINION OF COMPANY COUNSEL THAT THIS WARRANT OR SUCH SECURITIES, AS APPLICABLE, MAY BE OFFERED, SOLD, PLEDGED, ASSIGNED, OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED IN THE MANNER CONTEMPLATED WITHOUT AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR APPLICABLE STATE SECURITIES LAWS.

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC.

Form of Series A Warrants for the Purchase
of

Shares of Common Stock, Par Value \$0.0001 per Share

No. 331

Issue Date: March 4, 2009

THIS CERTIFIES that, for consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, and other value received, **Dayl Crow** (the "*Holder*") is entitled to subscribe for, and purchase from, **OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC.**, a Delaware corporation (the "*Company*"), upon the terms and conditions set forth herein, at any time or from time to time six months after the date this warrant is issued (the "*Initial Exercise Date*") until five years after the Issue Date (the "*Exercise Period*"), up to an aggregate of **50,000** shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the "*Common Stock*"), of the Company. This Warrant is initially exercisable at a price of \$1.87 per share, subject to adjustment as described in this Warrant. The term "*Exercise Price*" shall mean, depending on the context, the initial exercise price (as set forth above) or the adjusted exercise price per share. The Company may, in its sole discretion, reduce the then current Exercise Price to any amount or extend the Exercise Period, at any time. Such modifications to the Exercise Price or Exercise Period may be temporary or permanent.

As used herein, the term "*this Warrant*" shall mean and include this Warrant and any Warrant or Warrants hereafter issued as a consequence of the exercise or transfer of this Warrant in whole or in part. Each share of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise hereof shall be hereinafter referred to as a "*Warrant Share*."

1. (a) Subject to the terms of this Warrant, this Warrant may be exercised at any time in whole and from time to time in part, at the option of the Holder, on or after the Initial Exercise Date and on or prior to the end of the Exercise Period. This Warrant

shall initially be exercisable in whole or in part for that number of fully paid and nonassessable shares of Common Stock as indicated on the first page of this Warrant, for an exercise price per share equal to the Exercise Price, by delivery to the Company at its office at 1129 North McDowell Blvd., Petaluma, California 94954, or at such other place as is designated in writing by the Company, of:

(i) a completed Election to Purchase, in the form set forth in Exhibit A, executed by the Holder exercising all or part of the purchase rights represented by this Warrant;

(ii) this Warrant; and

(iii) subject to Section 1(c) below, payment of an amount equal to the product of the Exercise Price multiplied by the number of shares of Common Stock being purchased upon such exercise in the form of, at the Holder's option, (A) a certified or bank cashier's check payable to the Company, or (B) a wire transfer of funds to an account designated by the Company.

(b) As used herein:

(i) "*Fair Market Value*" of a security shall mean, on any given day, the average of the closing prices of such security's sales on all securities exchanges on which such security may at the time be listed on such day, or, if there has been no sales on any such exchange on such day, the average of the highest bid and lowest asked prices on all such exchanges at the end of such day, or, if on such day such security is not so listed, the average of the representative bid and asked prices quoted on the over-the-counter bulletin board (the "*OTCBB*") as of 4:00 P.M., New York time, or, if on such day such security is not quoted on the OTCBB, the average of the highest bid and lowest asked prices on such day in the domestic over-the-counter market as reported by the Pink Sheet, LLC, or any similar successor organization. If at any time such security is not listed on any securities exchange or quoted on the OTCBB or the over-the-counter market, the "*Fair Market Value*" shall be as determined by the Board of Directors of the Company in good faith, absent manifest error.

(c) Cashless Exercise. If at any time six months after the date of the issuance of this Warrant there is no effective registration statement registering, or no current prospectus available for, the resale of the Warrant Shares by the Holder, then this Warrant may also be exercised only at such time by means of a "cashless exercise" in which the Holder shall be entitled to receive, without the payment by the Holder of any additional consideration, a certificate for the number of Warrant Shares equal to the number as is computed using the following formula:

$$X = \frac{Y(A-B)}{A}$$

where

X = the number of Warrant Shares to be issued to the Holder pursuant to this Warrant.

Y = the number of Warrant Shares covered by this Warrant with respect to which the cashless exercise election is made pursuant to this Section 1(c).

A = the Fair Market Value (as defined above) of one Warrant Share.

B = the Exercise Price in effect at the time the cashless exercise election is made pursuant to this Section 1(c).

(d) Upon the exercise of this Warrant, the Company shall issue and cause promptly to be delivered upon such exercise to, or upon the written order of, the Holder a certificate or certificates for the number of full Warrant Shares to which such Holder shall be entitled, together with cash in lieu of any fraction of a Warrant Share otherwise issuable upon such exercise.

(e) If this Warrant is exercised in respect of less than all of the Warrant Shares evidenced by this Warrant at any time prior to the end of the Exercise Period, a new Warrant evidencing the remaining Warrant Shares shall be issued to the Holder, or its nominee(s), without charge therefor.

2. The Exercise Price for the Warrants in effect from time to time shall be subject to adjustment as follows:

(a) If the Company, at any time while this Warrant is outstanding: (i) subdivides outstanding shares of Common Stock into a larger number of shares, (ii) combines (including by way of reverse stock split) outstanding shares of Common Stock into a smaller number of shares, or (iii) issues by reclassification of shares of the Common Stock any shares of capital stock of the Company, then in each case the Exercise Price shall be multiplied by a fraction of which the numerator shall be the number of shares of Common Stock (excluding treasury shares, if any) outstanding immediately before such event and of which the denominator shall be the number of shares of Common Stock outstanding immediately after such event. Any adjustment made pursuant to this Section 2(a) shall become effective immediately after the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to receive such dividend or distribution and shall become effective immediately after the effective date in the case of a subdivision, combination or re-classification.

(b) If the Company, at any time while this Warrant is outstanding, shall distribute to all or substantially all holders of Common Stock (and not to the Holder) evidence of its indebtedness or assets (including cash and cash dividends) or rights or warrants to subscribe for or purchase any security other than the Common Stock, then in each such case the Exercise Price shall be adjusted by multiplying the Exercise Price in effect immediately prior to the record date fixed for determination of stockholders entitled to receive such distribution by a fraction of which (i) the denominator shall be the Fair

Market Value per share of Common Stock determined as of the record date mentioned above and (ii) the numerator shall be such Fair Market Value per share of Common Stock on such record date *less* the then per share fair market value at such record date of the portion of such evidence of indebtedness or assets (including cash and cash dividends) or rights or warrants to subscribe for or purchase any security other than the Common Stock so distributed applicable to one outstanding share of the Common Stock, which fair market value shall be reduced by the fair market value of consideration, if any, paid to the Company by holders of Common Stock in exchange for such evidence of indebtedness or assets or rights or warrants so distributed, in each case as such Fair Market Value is determined by the Board of Directors of the Company in good faith. In either case, the adjustments shall be described in a statement provided to the Holder of the portion of evidences of indebtedness or assets (including cash and cash dividends) or rights or warrants to subscribe for or purchase any security other than the Common Stock so distributed or such subscription rights applicable to one share of Common Stock. Such adjustment shall be made whenever any such distribution is made and shall become effective immediately after the record date mentioned above.

(c) All calculations under this Section 2 shall be made to the nearest cent.

(d) The Company shall not be required upon the exercise of this Warrant to issue any fractional shares, but may pay the value thereof to the Holder in cash on the basis of the Fair Market Value per Warrant Share.

(e) No adjustment in the Exercise Price shall be required unless such adjustment would require an increase or decrease of at least one percent (1%) in such price.

3. Unless registered, the Warrant Shares issued on exercise of the Warrants shall be subject to a stop transfer order and the certificate or certificates representing the Warrant Shares shall bear the following legend:

THE SECURITIES REPRESENTED HEREBY HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED (THE “SECURITIES ACT”), OR ANY STATE SECURITIES LAWS AND MAY NOT BE OFFERED, SOLD, PLEDGED, ASSIGNED, OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED UNLESS (1) A REGISTRATION STATEMENT WITH RESPECT THERETO IS EFFECTIVE UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT AND ANY APPLICABLE STATE SECURITIES LAWS, OR (2) THE COMPANY RECEIVES AN OPINION OF COUNSEL WHICH COUNSEL AND OPINION ARE REASONABLY SATISFACTORY TO THE COMPANY, THAT THE SECURITIES MAY BE OFFERED, SOLD, PLEDGED, ASSIGNED, OR OTHERWISE TRANSFERRED IN THE MANNER CONTEMPLATED WITHOUT AN EFFECTIVE REGISTRATION STATEMENT UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OR APPLICABLE STATE SECURITIES LAWS.

4. The Company covenants that upon receipt by the Company of evidence reasonably satisfactory to it of the loss, theft, destruction or mutilation of this Warrant or any stock certificate relating to the Warrant Shares, and in case of loss, theft or destruction, of indemnity or security reasonably satisfactory to it (which, in the case of the Warrant, shall not include the posting of any bond), and upon surrender and cancellation of such Warrant or stock certificate, if mutilated, the Company will make

and deliver a new Warrant or stock certificate, without charge, of like date, tenor and denomination, in lieu of such Warrant or stock certificate.

5. The Company shall not be obligated to issue any shares of Common Stock upon exercise of this Warrant if the issuance of such shares of Common Stock would cause a breach or violation of the Company's obligations under any applicable rules or regulations of any market on which the Company's securities trade.

6. The Company shall not effect any exercise of this Warrant, and a Holder shall not have the right to exercise any portion of this Warrant, to the extent that after giving effect to such issuance after exercise, such Holder (together with such Holder's affiliates, and any other person or entity acting as a group together with such Holder or any of such Holder's affiliates), would beneficially own in excess of the Beneficial Ownership Limitation (as defined below). For purposes of the foregoing sentence, the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by such Holder and its affiliates shall include the number of shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of this Warrant with respect to which such determination is being made, but shall exclude the number of shares of Common Stock which would be issuable upon (A) exercise of the remaining, nonexercised portion of this Warrant beneficially owned by such Holder or any of its affiliates and (B) exercise or conversion of the unexercised or nonconverted portion of any other securities of the Company subject to a limitation on conversion or exercise analogous to the limitation contained herein beneficially owned by such Holder or any of its Affiliates. Except as set forth in the preceding sentence, beneficial ownership shall be calculated in accordance with Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder. The "Beneficial Ownership Limitation" shall be 4.99% of the number of shares of the Common Stock outstanding immediately after giving effect to the issuance of shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of this Warrant. The Beneficial Ownership Limitation provisions of this section may be waived by such Holder, at the election of such Holder, upon not less than 61 days' prior notice to the Company to change the Beneficial Ownership Limitation to 9.99% of the number of shares of the Common Stock outstanding immediately after giving effect to the issuance of shares of Common Stock upon exercise of this Warrant, and the provisions of this section shall continue to apply. Upon such a change by a Holder of the Beneficial Ownership Limitation from such 4.99% limitation to such 9.99% limitation, the Beneficial Ownership Limitation may not be further waived by such Holder. The provisions of this paragraph shall be construed and implemented in a manner otherwise than in strict conformity with the terms of this section to correct this paragraph (or any portion hereof) which may be defective or inconsistent with the intended Beneficial Ownership Limitation herein contained or to make changes or supplements necessary or desirable to properly give effect to such limitation. The limitations contained in this paragraph shall apply to a successor holder of this Warrant.

7. (a) The Holder shall not have, solely on account of its status as a holder of a Warrant, any rights of a stockholder of the Company, either at law or in equity, or to any notice of meetings of stockholders or of any other proceedings of the Company, except as provided in this Warrant.

(b) No provision hereof, in the absence of affirmative action by the Holder to receive Warrant Shares, and no enumeration herein of the rights or privileges of the Holder hereof, shall give rise to any liability of the Holder for the purchase price of any Common Stock or as a stockholder of Company, whether such liability is asserted by Company or by creditors of Company.

8. All notices that are required or permitted hereunder shall be in writing and shall be sufficient if personally delivered, sent by facsimile, or sent by registered or certified mail or Federal Express or other nationally recognized overnight delivery service. Any notices shall be deemed given upon the earlier of the date when received at, the day when delivered via facsimile or the third day after the date when sent by registered or certified mail or the day after the date when sent by Federal Express to, the address set forth below, unless such address is changed by notice to the other party hereto:

if to the Company:

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.
1129 North McDowell Blvd.
Petaluma, California 94954
Attention: Jim Schutz, Vice President Corporate Development and General Counsel
Fax: (707) 283-0551

if to the Holder: As set forth in the Warrant Register of the Company.

The Company or the Holder by notice to the other party may designate additional or different addresses as shall be furnished in writing by such party.

9. The provisions of this Warrant may not be amended, modified or changed except by an instrument in writing signed by each of the Company and the Holder.

10. All the covenants and provisions of this Warrant by or for the benefit of the Company or the Holder shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of their respective permitted successors and assigns hereunder.

11. The validity, interpretation and performance of this Warrant shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, as applied to contracts made and performed within such State, without regard to principles of conflicts of law.

12. The provisions hereof have been and are made solely for the benefit of the Company and the Holder, and their respective successors and assigns, and no other person shall acquire or have any right hereunder or by virtue hereof.

13. The headings in this Warrant are for convenience only and shall not limit or otherwise affect the meaning hereof.

14. If any term, provision, covenant or restriction of this Warrant is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal, void or unenforceable, the remainder of the terms, provisions, covenants and restrictions set forth herein shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired or invalidated, and the parties shall use their best efforts to find and employ an alternative means to achieve the same or substantially the same result as that contemplated by such term, provision, covenant or restriction. It is hereby stipulated and declared to be the intention of the parties that they would have executed the remaining terms, provisions, covenants and restrictions without including any of such which may be hereafter declared invalid, illegal, void or unenforceable.

15. This Warrant is intended by the parties as a final expression of their agreement and intended to be a complete and exclusive statement of the agreement and understanding of the parties hereto in respect of the subject matter contained herein and therein. There are no restrictions, promises, warranties or undertakings, other than those set forth or referred to herein and therein. This Warrant supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the parties with respect to such subject matter.

16. The Company agrees to take such further action and to deliver or cause to be delivered to each other after the date hereof such additional agreements or instruments as any of them may reasonably request for the purpose of carrying out this Warrant and the agreements and transactions contemplated hereby and thereby.

17. Each party hereto acknowledges and agrees that irreparable harm, for which there may be no adequate remedy at law and for which the ascertainment of damages would be difficult, would occur in the event any of the provisions of this Warrant were not performed in accordance with its specific terms or were otherwise breached. Each party hereto accordingly agrees that each other party hereto shall be entitled to an injunction or injunctions to prevent breaches of the provisions of this Warrant, or any agreement contemplated hereunder, and to enforce specifically the terms and provisions hereof or thereof in any court of the United States or any state thereof having jurisdiction, in each instance without being required to post bond or other security and in addition to, and without having to prove the inadequacy of, other remedies at law.

[signature page follows]

Dated as of: **March 4, 2009**

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES, INC.

By: /s/ Hojabr Alimi

Name: Hojabr Alimi

Title: President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board

EXHIBIT A

ELECTION TO PURCHASE

The undersigned hereby irrevocably elects to exercise Warrants represented by this Warrant and to purchase the shares of Common Stock or other securities issuable upon the exercise of said Warrants, and requests that Certificates for such shares be issued and delivered as follows:

PORTION OF WARRANT BEING EXERCISED: (check applicable box or fill in number of Warrant Shares):

Entire Warrant

_____ Warrant Shares

ISSUE TO:

(Name)

(Address, Including Zip Code)

(Social Security or Tax Identification Number)

DELIVER TO:

(Name)

(Address, Including Zip Code)

In payment of the purchase price with respect to this Warrant exercised, the undersigned hereby either (A) tenders payment of \$ _____ by (i) certified or bank cashiers check payable to the order of the Company ; or (ii) a wire transfer of such funds to an account designated by the Company (*check applicable box*) or (B) hereby provides notice to the Company that the undersigned is exercising this Warrant pursuant to the Cashless Exercise set forth in Section 1(c) of the Warrant. If the number of Warrant Shares hereby exercised is fewer than all the Warrant Shares represented by this Warrant, the undersigned requests that a new Warrant representing the number of full Warrant Shares not exercised to be issued and delivered as set forth below:

Name of Holder or Assignee: _____
(Please Print)

Address: _____

Signature: _____ DATED: _____, 20____
(Signature must conform in all respects to name of holder as specified on the fact of this Warrant)

Signature Guaranteed: _____

CONSULTANT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") effective April 1, 2009, (hereinafter "Effective Date") by and between OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES INC., with a place of business located at 1135 N. McDowell Blvd., Petaluma CA 94954 (hereinafter "Oculus"), a Delaware Corporation and Robert C. Burlingame, with a place of business located at 3546 N. Riverside Avenue, Rialto, California 92377 (hereinafter "Consultant").

WHEREAS, Oculus desires that Consultant provide certain services including marketing, sales and advertising (such services, including all know-how, trade secrets, copyrights and patentable inventions, being hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Materials");

WHEREAS, both Oculus and Consultant desire to set forth in writing the terms and conditions of their dealings, including rights as to the Materials;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises hereof and the mutual covenants and conditions hereinafter set forth and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, hereby agree as follows:

Section 1. CONSULTANT SERVICES

1.1 On the terms and conditions set forth herein, Oculus hereby engages Consultant during the term described below and Consultant hereby accepts such engagement. Consultant agrees to use its best efforts, at a level consistent with persons having a similar level of education, experience and expertise in the industry, in the performance of the services called for hereunder. This agreement is nonexclusive.

Section 2. TERM OF AGREEMENT

2.1 The term of this Agreement shall be for 6 months commencing at the Effective Date or such later date as agreed to by Oculus and the Consultant in writing (the "Initial Term") and shall automatically renew subject to Section 10.1 below.

Section 3. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR

3.1 Consultant agrees that he shall be an independent contractor acting for or on behalf of Oculus. Consultant shall have no authority to contract for or bind Oculus in any manner. Consultant shall have no status as employee or any right to any benefits that Oculus grants its employees.

Section 4. COMPENSATION

4.1 Oculus agrees to pay Consultant or its designee 435,897 shares of restricted common stock for the services rendered by Consultant during the Initial Term of this Agreement. If this Agreement renews past the Initial Term, Oculus and Consultant agree to establish additional compensation for such subsequent periods.

Section 5. OBLIGATION FOR EXPENSE

5.1 Oculus will reimburse Consultant for reasonable and appropriate out-of-pocket business expenses according a budget as agreed by Oculus. Consultant shall be responsible for sending in an expense report per month. From time to time, in anticipation of certain expenses such as airfare, extended travel & living expenses, Oculus may advance certain monies pursuant to a mutually agreed upon expense budget.

Section 6. OWNERSHIP OF MATERIALS

- 6.1** Consultant agrees that all Materials, reports and other data or materials generated or developed by Consultant under this Agreement or furnished by Oculus to Consultant shall be and remain the property of Oculus. Consultant specifically agrees that all copyrightable Material generated or developed under this Agreement shall be considered works made for hire and that such material shall, upon creation, be owned exclusively by Oculus. To the extent that any such Material, under applicable law, may not be considered works made for hire, Consultant hereby assigns to Oculus the ownership of copyright in such Materials, without the necessity of any further consideration, and Oculus shall be entitled to obtain and hold in its own name all copyrights in respect of such Materials.
- 6.2** If and to the extent Consultant may, under applicable law, be entitled to claim any ownership interest in the Materials, reports and other data or materials generated or developed by Consultant under this Agreement, Consultant hereby transfers, grants, conveys, assigns and relinquishes exclusively to Oculus all of Consultant's right, title and interest in and to such Materials, under patent, copyright, trade, secret and trademark law, in perpetuity or for the longest period otherwise permitted by law.
- 6.3** Consultant shall perform any acts that may be deemed necessary or desirable by Oculus to evidence more fully transfer of ownership of all Materials designated under this Section 6 to Oculus to the fullest extent possible, including but not limited to the making of further written assignments in a form determined by Oculus.
- 6.4** To the extent that any preexisting rights are embodied or reflected in the Materials, Consultant hereby grants to Oculus the irrevocable, perpetual, non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free right and license to (1) use, execute, reproduce, display, perform, distribute copies of, and prepare derivative works based upon such preexisting rights and any derivative works thereof and (2) authorize others to do any or all of the foregoing.
- 6.5** Consultant hereby represents and warrants that it has full right and authority to perform its obligations and grant the rights and licenses herein granted and that it has neither assigned nor otherwise entered into an agreement by which it purports to assign or transfer any right, title, or interest to any technology or intellectual property right

that would conflict with its obligations under this Agreement. Consultant covenants and agrees that it shall not enter into any such agreements.

Section 7. PROTECTION OF PROPRIETARY MATERIALS

- 7.1** From the date of execution hereof and for as long as the information or data remain Trade Secrets, Consultant shall not use, disclose, or permit any person to obtain any Trade Secrets of Oculus, including any materials developed or generated hereunder (whether or not the Trade Secrets are in written or tangible form), except as specifically authorized by Oculus.
- 7.2** As used herein, "Trade Secret" shall mean a whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, or improvement that is valuable and not generally known to competitors of Oculus.
- 7.3** Irreparable harm should be presumed if Consultant breaches any covenant in this Agreement for any reason. This Agreement is intended to protect Oculus's proprietary rights pertaining to the Materials, and any misuse of such rights would cause substantial harm to Oculus's business. Therefore, Consultant agrees that a court of competent jurisdiction should immediately enjoin any breach of this Agreement, upon a request by Oculus.

Section 8. RETURN OF MATERIALS

- 8.1** Upon the request of Oculus, but in any event upon termination of this Agreement, Consultant shall surrender to Oculus all memoranda, notes, records, drawings, manuals, computer services and other documents or materials (and all copies of same) pertaining to the Materials, reports and other data or materials generated or developed by Consultant or furnished by Oculus to the Consultant, including all materials embodying any Trade Secrets. This Section is intended to apply to all materials made or compiled by Consultant, as well as to all materials furnished to Consultant by Oculus or by anyone else that pertain to the Materials.

Section 9. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

- 9.1** This Agreement is intended by the parties hereto to be the final expression of their agreement and it constitutes the full and entire understanding between the parties with respect to the subject hereof, notwithstanding any representations, statements, or agreements to the contrary heretofore made. This Agreement may be amended only in writing signed by the parties to this Agreement.
- 9.2** For purpose of enforcing this Agreement, all sections of this Agreement, except Section 4.1 hereof, shall be construed as covenants independent of one another and as obligations distinct from all other contracts and agreements between the parties hereto.

Section 10. TERMINATION

- 10.1** After the Initial Term, this Agreement may be terminated by either party upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other party. In the event of termination under this

Section by either party prior to the expiration of the term hereof, Oculus shall be obligated to compensate Consultant at the rate established herein for services performed prior to the date of such termination. The respective obligations and covenants of the parties und this Agreement, which by their nature extend beyond the expiration or termination of this Agreement, including, without limitation, its confidentiality and warranty provisions, shall survive the termination or expiration of this Agreement.

Section 11. GOVERNING LAW

11.1 This Agreement is made under and in all respects shall be interpreted, construed and governed by and in accordance with the Laws of the State of California. Sole and exclusive jurisdiction in any case or controversy arising under this Agreement or by reason of this Agreement shall be with the Sonoma County Superior Court or the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, and for this purpose each party hereby expressly and irrevocably consents to the exclusive jurisdiction of such courts.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be duly executed on the day and year first above written.

CONSULTANT

OCULUS INNOVATIVE SCIENCES INC.

By: /s/ Robert C. Burlingame
Robert C. Burlingame

By: /s/ Jim Schutz
Jim Schutz
Vice President of Corporate Development and General Counsel

Oculus and Advocos
Microcyn U.S. Commercial Launch Agreement

This service ("Agreement") is made and effective this April 24, 2009, by and between Oculus Innovative Sciences and Advocos.

Advocos is in the business of providing outsourcing solutions that are tailored to meet the unique needs of our partners in return for an agreed professional fee and bonus.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual agreements and covenants herein contained the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Engagement

- a. Oculus engages Advocos to render, and Advocos agrees to render to Oculus, commercial promotional services as follows:

i. Personnel

- | | |
|--|---------------------|
| 1. Dedicated "Outside Sales Specialists" | mutually agreed on. |
| 2. Dedicated "Inside Sales Specialists" | mutually agreed on. |
| 3. Project Leader | mutually agreed on. |

ii. Territory Covered

1. United States

2. Services

- a. Advocos' sales specialists will provide direct contact with clinicians who are involved in the process of choosing wound care cleansers for their respective facilities/offices.
- b. Advocos' "Outside Sales Specialists" will conduct Microcyn sales presentations in person through face to face interaction with clinicians who have been identified as targets.
- c. Advocos' "Inside Sales Specialists" will conduct Microcyn sales presentations through the use of telephone and interactive computer sessions with clinicians who have been identified as targets.
- d. Each sales specialist will provide valuable Microcyn information to target clinicians by way of product presentations supported with Microcyn promotional materials that have been approved by Oculus.

3. Products

- a. Advocos' engagement shall relate to the following products and services of Oculus: Microcyn as permitted to be marketed and sold in the United States

4. Samples

- a. Oculus will provide Advocos adequate Microcyn samples for outside sales promotions on a monthly basis. Samples will be shipped to Advocos as needed. Oculus agrees to make every effort to provide Advocos with the necessary samples.
-

- b. Oculus agrees to ship samples directly to targeted clinicians who request samples as a result of sales presentations conducted with Advocos' "Inside Sales Specialists".

5. Promotional Materials

- a. Oculus will provide Advocos with an adequate supply of promotional materials on a quarterly basis. Promotional materials will be used for all sales calls made by "Outside Sales Specialists" in the field.
- b. Oculus will provide Advocos with an electronic version of all promotional materials to be used for this project. Electronic versions of promotional materials will be used by "Inside Sales Specialists" during clinician computer presentations.
- c. All Advocos employees must adhere to marketing the label claims cleared by FDA. Each personnel must complete a sales training session by Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.

6. Compensation

- a. Advocos shall receive monthly "professional fees" and "stock payments" in relation to this project based on the following Sales Representative model. 38,500 shares of Oculus common stock will be granted to Advocos with 3,500 shares vested at the end of April and 7,000 shares vested each month thereafter that this contract is in effect. At the end of September additional shares will be granted. Attached is Exhibit A, which displays the compensation plan for Advocos.
 - i. Level 2 Staffing: Total of 2 Outside/2.5 Inside and 1 Project Leader
 - 1. Monthly "Cash" Payment of \$17,000 paid on the first week of each month.
 - 2. Monthly "Oculus Stock" Payment of 7,000 shares.
 - ii. Level 3 Staffing: Total of 3 Outside/3.5 Inside and 1 Project Leader
 - 1. Monthly "Cash" Payment of \$28,600 paid on the first week of each month.
 - 2. Monthly "Oculus Stock" Payment of 7,000 shares.
 - iii. Level 4 Staffing: Total of 4 Outside/4.5 Inside and 1 Project Leader
 - 1. Monthly "Cash" Payment of \$39,000 paid on the first week of each month.
 - 2. Monthly "Oculus Stock" Payment of 7,000 shares.
 - iv. Level 5 Staffing: Total of 5 Outside/5.5 Inside and 1 Project Leader
 - 1. Monthly "Cash" Payment of \$49,400 paid on the first week of each month.
 - 2. Monthly "Oculus Stock" Payment of 7,000 shares.
 - v. Level 6 Staffing: Total of 6 Outside/ 6 Inside and 1 Project Leader
 - 1. Monthly "Cash" Payment of \$59,800 paid on the first week of each month.
 - 2. Monthly "Oculus Stock" Payment of 7,000 shares.
-

- b. In addition to the above, Advocos shall receive a “bonus” payment in relation to this project based on the following “Sales” model. Monthly sales are defined as 22 days of sales of recognizable revenue based on GAAP.
- i. One time bonus of \$10,000 upon attainment of sales equal to or exceeding \$50,000.
 - ii. Level 2 Staffing: A bonus of \$10,663 for each month of sales promotion that is triggered upon the achievement of attaining the US monthly sales target of \$70,000. Upon achieving \$70,000 if achieved in 3 months, Oculus will pay Advocos \$10,663 x three months of promotion to that date. In the 4th month of duration for this level of staffing, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 80% of \$10,663 x four months and in the 5th month of duration for this level, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 65% of \$10,663 x five months.
 - iii. Level 3 Staffing: A bonus of \$10,813 for each month of sales promotion that is triggered upon the achievement of attaining the US monthly sales target of \$90,000. Upon achieving \$90,000 if achieved in 3 months, Oculus will pay Advocos \$10,813 x 3 months of promotion since and including the \$70,000 US sales target. In the 4th month of duration for this level, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 80% of \$10,813 x four months and in the 5th month of duration for this level of staffing, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 65% of \$10,813 x five months.
 - iv. Level 4 Staff: A bonus of \$12,163 for each month of sales promotion that is triggered upon the achievement of attaining the US monthly sales target of \$110,000. Upon achieving \$110,000 if achieved in 3 months, Oculus will pay Advocos \$12,163 x three months of promotion since and including the \$90,000 US sales target (Example: If Advocos achieves \$90,000 in monthly sales in month 9 and then attains sales of \$110,000 per month in month 12, Oculus will pay Advocos a bonus of \$36,489 which is equivalent to \$12,163 x 3 months of promotion since there were 3 months from the time the \$90,000 target was achieved.). In the 4th month of duration for this level of staffing, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 80% of \$12,163 x four months and in the 5th month of duration for this level, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 65% of \$12,163 x five months.
 - v. Level 5 Staffing: A bonus of \$16,450 for each month of sales promotion that is triggered upon the achievement of attaining the US monthly sales target of \$130,000. Upon achieving \$130,000 if achieved in 3 months, Oculus will pay Advocos \$16,450 x 3 months of promotion since and including the \$110,000 US sales target. In the 4th month of duration for this level of staffing, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 80% of \$16,450 x four months and in the 5th month of duration for this level, the cumulative amount of the bonus is discounted at 65% of \$16,450 x five months.
 - vi. Oculus will pay Advocos a monthly bonus of \$15,000 for each subsequent calendar month for sales exceeding \$140,000.
-

Oculus reserves the right to increase the size of the sales team and related bonuses at anytime given a reasonable notice is provided to Advocos.

7. Billing

- a. Advocos shall invoice Oculus for all service and performance related payments on the 15th day of each month prior to the month services are being rendered.
- b. All “pre-approved” Oculus expenses that occur outside the scope of this agreement shall be pre-approved by Oculus and submitted in an itemized format and shall be paid by Oculus within thirty (30) days of the invoice date.

8. Competitors

- a. During the term of this Agreement and for a period of 360 days following termination of this agreement, Advocos may not partner, render services to, represent or otherwise be affiliated with any person, firm, corporation or entity in connection with any product or service directly competitive with Oculus with respect to which Advocos is providing any service pursuant to this agreement. Advocos’ client list belongs exclusively to oculus for purposes of marketing a topical antimicrobial with Microcyn like properties, and at any time, Oculus can request a full client list which Advocos must furnish within 10 days of receipt of such notice.

9. Ownership and Use

- a. Oculus warrants and represents that it possesses good title to, or the right to use, any and all trademarks of the Oculus Products, free and clear of any claims or encumbrances that would impede the performance by either party under the terms of this agreement. In addition, Oculus owns or controls the patents or appropriate licenses in connection with all Oculus products, and has no knowledge of the existence of any claim or adverse rights which would restrict or prevent Oculus or Advocos from performing its obligations under this service agreement.

10. Termination

- a. Upon termination, Oculus shall (a) pay to Advocos all Fees for Service rendered which are due and owing to Advocos because of any completed performance of Advocos’ obligations prior to the effective date of termination; and (b) pay any other costs which have been expressly identified as being due upon termination.

11. Indemnification

- a. Advocos shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Oculus, its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees and agents from and against any and all losses, claims, actions, damages, liabilities penalties, costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys’ fees and court costs) (collectively, “Losses”), resulting from any (i) breach by Advocos or its employees of its obligations hereunder; (ii) willful misconduct or negligent acts or omissions of Advocos or its employees; and (iii) violation by Advocos or its employees of any municipal, county, state or federal laws, rules or regulations applicable to the performance of Advocos’ obligations under this service agreement, except to the extent such losses are determined to have resulted from the negligence or willful misconduct of Oculus or its employees.
-

- b. Oculus shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless Advocos, its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees and agents from and against any and all losses, claims, actions, damages, liabilities, penalties, costs and expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees and court costs) (collectively, "Losses"), resulting from (i) the manufacture, storage, packaging, production, transportation, distribution, sale or other disposition of the products by Oculus or its agents; (ii) breach by Oculus or its employees of its obligations hereunder; (iii) willful misconduct or negligent acts or omissions of Oculus or its employees; and (iv) violation by Oculus or its employees of any municipal, county, state or federal laws, rules or regulations applicable to the performance of Oculus' obligations under this service agreement, except to the extent such losses are determined to have resulted from the negligence or willful misconduct of Advocos or its employees.

12. Term

- a. The term of this agreement shall commence on April 24th, 2009 and shall continue in full force and effect until April 23rd, 2011. Oculus may terminate agreement upon at least a Thirty(30) day written notice. The rights, duties and obligations of the parties shall continue in full force during or following the period of the termination notice until termination.

13. Renewal

- a. This agreement will automatically renew for an additional 1 year term if no written notice of termination is provided 90 days prior (Jan 23rd, 2011) to the end of agreement.

14. Rights Upon Termination

- a. Upon termination of agreement, Advocos shall transfer, assign and make available to Oculus all property, materials and customer lists in Advocos' possession or subject to Advocos' control that are the property of Oculus, subject to payment in full of amounts due pursuant to this agreement.

15. Authority to Perform

- a. Advocos hereby represents and warrants that it has full right and authority to perform its obligations and grant the rights and licenses herein granted and that it has neither assigned nor otherwise entered into an agreement by which it purports to assign or transfer any right, title, or interest to any technology or intellectual property right that would conflict with its obligation under this agreement. Advocos covenants and agrees that it shall not enter into any such agreements.

16. Protection of Proprietary Materials

- a. From the date of execution hereof and for as long as the information or data remain Trade Secrets, Advocos shall not use, disclose, or permit any person to obtain any Trade Secrets of Oculus, including any materials developed or generated hereunder (whether or not the Trade Secrets are in written or tangible form), except as specifically authorized by Oculus.
 - b. As used herein, "Trade Secret" shall mean a whole or any portion or phrase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, or improvement that is valuable and not generally known to competitors of Oculus.
-

- c. Irreparable harm should be presumed if Advocos breaches any covenant in this agreement for any reason. This agreement is intended to protect Oculus's proprietary rights pertaining to the materials, and any misuse of such rights would cause substantial harm to Oculus's business. Therefore, Advocos agrees that a court of competent jurisdiction should immediately enjoin any breach of this agreement, upon a request by Oculus.

17. Return of Materials

- a. Upon the request of Oculus, but in any event upon termination of this agreement, Advocos shall surrender to Oculus all memoranda, notes, records, drawings, manuals, computer services, and other documents or materials (and all copies of same) pertaining to the materials, reports and other data or materials generated or developed by Advocos or furnished by Oculus to Advocos, including all materials embodying any Trade Secrets. This section is intended to apply to all materials made or compiled by Advocos, as well as to all materials furnished to Advocos by Oculus or by anyone else that pertain to the materials.

18. Default

- a. In the event of any default of any material obligation by or owed by a party pursuant to this agreement, then the other party may provide written notice of such default and if such default is not cured within Forty-Five (45) days of the written notice, then the non-defaulting party may terminate this agreement.

19. Notices

- a. Any notice required by this agreement or given in connection with it, shall be in writing and shall be given to the appropriate party by personal delivery or by certified mail, postage prepaid, or recognized overnight delivery services.

If to Oculus:

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.

1135 North McDowell Blvd

Petaluma, CA 94954

Attn: Hoji Alimi

If to Advocos:

Advocos

2687 McCollum Parkway #111

Kennesaw, GA 30144

Attn: Robert Godfrey

20. Headings

- a. Headings used in this agreement are provided for convenience only and shall not be used to construe meaning or intent.
-

21. Final Agreement

- a. This agreement terminates and supersedes all prior understandings or agreements on the subject matter hereof. This agreement may be modified only by a further writing that is duly executed by both parties.

22. Governing Law

- a. This agreement is made under and in all respects shall be interpreted, construed and governed by and in accordance with the laws of the State of Delaware.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this agreement as of the date first above written.

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc

Advocos

By: /s/ Hojabr Alimi

By: /s/ Robert Godfrey

Print: Hojabr Alimi

Print: Robert Godfrey

Title: President

Title: President

Date: 4/23/09

Date: 4/23/09

**AMENDMENT NO. 5
TO LEASE**

This Amendment No. 5 to Lease (“*Amendment*”) is made and entered into as of May 18, 2009, by and between **RNM Lakeville, LLC**, a Delaware limited liability company (successor to RNM Lakeville, L.P.) (“*Landlord*”), and **Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.** (f/k/a MicroMed Laboratories, Inc.), a California corporation (“*Tenant*”).

Recitals

A. Landlord and Tenant are parties to that certain Lease dated as of October 26, 1999, as amended by Amendment No. 1 to Lease dated as of September 15, 2000, Amendment No. 2 to Lease dated as of July 29, 2005, Amendment No. 3 to Lease dated as of August 23, 2006 and Amendment No 4 to lease dated as of September 13, 2007 (collectively the “*Lease*”), pursuant to which Landlord leases to Tenant, and Tenant leases from Landlord, approximately 22,374 square feet at 1129 North McDowell Boulevard and 1135 North McDowell Boulevard in Petaluma, California. Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Lease.

B. The term of the Lease expires on September 30, 2010.

C. The parties wish to amend the Lease to reduce the size of the Premises, extend the Lease Term, and otherwise amend the Lease as provided herein.

Therefore, for consideration, the adequacy and receipt of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

1. Surrender of Portion of Premises. On the Effective Date (as defined below), the Term of the Lease shall expire as to 8,534 square feet of Rentable Area located at 1135 North McDowell Boulevard and designated on **Exhibit A** to this Amendment (“*Surrender Space*”) effective as of May 1, 2009 (“*Surrender Date*”). From and after the Surrender Date, the Surrender Premises shall no longer be part of the Premises under the Lease, and the Premises shall consist of 13,840 square feet. Except as otherwise expressly set forth herein, Landlord is accepting the Surrender Space AS IS and WITH ALL FAULTS. For purposes of this Amendment, the term “*Effective Date*” shall mean the date when Tenant has delivered to Landlord (1) the portion of the Termination Fee set forth in Paragraph 3(a) below and the reconciliation payment described in Paragraph 6 below, and (2) authorized the issuance or transfer to Landlord of the Common Stock described in Paragraph 3(b) below.

2. Furniture. No later than May 29 2009, Tenant shall remove from the Surrender Space all furniture, equipment and other personal property within the Surrender Space, other than such furniture as Landlord and Tenant agree shall remain in the Surrender Space and be transferred to Landlord. Landlord and Tenant shall attach a schedule of such furniture to this Amendment as **Exhibit B**. As to such furniture, Tenant hereby transfers to Landlord all right, title and interest in and to such furniture, AS IS, WHERE and WITH ALL FAULTS. This Amendment shall serve as a Bill of Sale with respect to such transferred furniture.

3. Termination Fee. In consideration of Landlord's agreement to the early expiration of the Term with respect to the Surrender Premises, Tenant agrees to pay and deliver to Landlord the following (the "**Surrender Fee**"):

(a) \$16,506.00 in cash due and payable immediately upon execution of this Amendment;

(b) 53,847 shares of Oculus Common Stock subject to Board approval. Tenant shall take commercially reasonable efforts to cause such shares to be registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and thereby fully tradable as soon as practical. If these Oculus common shares are not registered and tradable on or before August 17th, 2009, then Oculus will pay \$70,000 to the Landlord

(c) \$50,000 in cash due and payable no later than August 14, 2009.

4. Base Rent. Commencing as the Surrender Date and subject to adjustment as provided in Paragraph 9 below, Base Rent for the Premises per month shall be \$15,224.00.

5. May 2009 Rent. The parties acknowledge that Tenant has paid Base Rent and estimated Operating Expenses for May 2009 in the amount of \$33,424.00. Upon the Effective Date, Landlord shall credit \$13,494.00 of such rental payment to Tenant's rental obligation for June 2009.

6. Operating Expense Reconciliation. Upon execution of this Amendment, Tenant shall immediately pay to Landlord its outstanding portion of Additional Rent (including Operating Expenses) for 2008 in the amount of \$13,280.00.

7. Term. The Termination Date is hereby extended to September 30, 2011.

8. Tenant's Share. As of the Surrender Date, Tenant's Share shall be 23.62% of the 1129 North McDowell Boulevard.

9. Landlord's Right to Terminate Lease as to Warehouse Portion. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Amendment or the Lease, Landlord shall have the right to terminate the Lease as to the warehouse portion of the Premises by providing to Tenant not less than sixty (60) days prior written notice. Upon the effective date of such termination, Base Rent for the remainder of the Premises shall be adjusted to equal \$1.10 per square foot within the Premises and Tenant's Share shall be appropriately adjusted.

10. Confirmation of Lease. Tenant hereby represents and warrants to Landlord that, as of the date hereof, (a) the Lease is in full force and effect and has not been modified except pursuant to this Amendment; (b) Tenant has not subleased or assigned any of its right, title and interest in and to the Lease and has full power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations hereunder, (c) Tenant is not in default under the Lease, and to the best of Tenant's knowledge, there are no defaults on the part of Landlord existing under the Lease; (d) to the best of Tenant's knowledge, there exists no valid abatements, causes of action, counterclaims, disputes, defenses, offsets, credits, deductions, or claims against the enforcement of any of the terms and conditions of the Lease; (e) this Amendment has been duly authorized, executed and

delivered by Tenant and constitutes the legal, valid and binding obligation of Tenant; and (f) there are no actions, whether voluntary or otherwise, pending against Tenant under the bankruptcy or insolvency laws of the United States or any state thereof. Except as expressly modified herein, the Lease shall remain in full force and effect. Any failure by Tenant to perform hereunder shall, at Landlord's election, be deemed a default under the Lease.

11. Brokers. Each of Landlord and Tenant warrants to the other that it has had no dealing with any finder, broker or agent in connection with this Amendment. Each party shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the other party from and against any and all costs, expenses or liability for commissions or other compensation or charges claimed by any finder, broker or agent based on dealings with the indemnifying party with respect to this Agreement.

12. Attorneys' Fees. Should any dispute arise between the parties hereto or their legal representatives, successors and assigns concerning any provision of this Agreement or the rights and duties of any person in relation thereto, the party prevailing in such dispute shall be entitled, in addition to such other relief that may be granted, to recover reasonable attorneys' fees and legal costs in connection with such dispute. Each party shall pay its own legal fees and costs incurred in connection with the negotiation and preparation of this Agreement.

13. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed and construed under the laws of the State of California.

14. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but such counterparts, when taken together, shall constitute one (1) agreement.

15. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of, and shall be binding upon, the parties hereto and their respective legal representatives, successors and assigns.

16. Further Assurances. Landlord and Tenant hereby agree to execute such further documents or instruments as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the intention of this Agreement.

17. Voluntary Agreement. The parties have read this Agreement, and on the advice of counsel they have freely and voluntarily entered into this Agreement. This Agreement is the product of negotiation between the parties and their respective counsel, and the parties agree that it shall be interpreted in accordance with its fair and apparent meaning and not for or against either party.

18. Merger; Amendment. This Agreement sets forth the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereto and all prior negotiations or agreements, whether oral or written, are superseded and merged herein. This Agreement may not be altered or amended except by a writing duly authorized and executed by the party against whom enforcement is sought. To the extent inconsistent with the Lease, this Agreement shall modify and amend the Lease.

In Witness Whereof, the parties executed this Amendment No. 5 to Lease as of the date first written above.

Landlord:

RNM Lakeville, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company

By: **RNM Petaluma, Inc.**,
a California corporation,
its Manager

Name: /s/ Paul B. Elmore
Paul B. Elmore, President

Date: May 18, 2009

Tenant:

Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.,
a California corporation

By: /s/ Robert E. Miller

Its: Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 15, 2009

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM'S CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. on Form S-3 (File No. 333-149223) and Form S-8 (File No. 333-141017) of our report which includes an explanatory paragraph as to the Company's ability to continue as a going concern dated June 10, 2009, with respect to our audits of the consolidated financial statements of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the years then ended, which report is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc. for the year ended March 31, 2009.

/s/ Marcum Ilp

New York, New York
June 10, 2009

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

I, Hojabr Alimi, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: June 11, 2009

By: /s/ Hojabr Alimi
Hojabr Alimi
Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

I, Robert E. Miller, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: June 11, 2009

By: /s/ Robert E. Miller

Robert E. Miller
Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
(18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350)**

Pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18, United States Code), each of the undersigned officers of Oculus Innovative Sciences, Inc., a California corporation (the "Company"), does hereby certify, to such officer's knowledge, that:

The annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009 (the "Form 10-K") of the Company fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the information contained in the Form 10-K fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

DATE: June 11, 2009

/s/ Hojabr Alimi

Hojabr Alimi

Chief Executive Officer and Principal Executive Officer

/s/ Robert E. Miller

Robert E. Miller

Chief Financial Officer, Principal Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer